FINANCE ACT 2014

EXPLANATORY NOTES

INTRODUCTION

Section 102: Penalties under Section 26 of FA 2003: Extension to Excise Duty

Summary

1. This section will introduce legislation to apply provisions of the Finance Act 2003 to include excise duty as a relevant tax in respect of any duty, obligation, requirement or condition imposed by section 78 of Customs and Excise Management Act 1979 (CEMA). The new penalty will then be introduced by amendment to the secondary legislation to describe as a relevant rule a failure to declare goods in excess of the allowance under section 78(1).

Details of the Section

- 2. Subsection 1 defines dutiable excise goods as goods subject to excise duty whether or not that duty is charged or paid
- 3. Subsection 1(a) defines a relevant excise rule to mean any duty, obligation or requirement imposed under section 78 when it relates to dutiable excise goods that a person has obtained outside the United Kingdom where they are not entitled to be exempt from relief of the payment of duty.
- 4. Subsection 1(b) defines a relevant excise rule to mean any duty, obligation or requirement imposed under section 78 when it relates to dutiable excise goods that a person has obtained in the United Kingdom without payment of duty, where they are not entitled to be exempt from relief of the payment of duty.
- 5. Subsection 2 provides for the application of the penalty provisions of the Finance Act 2003 to include excise duty as a relevant tax in respect of a contravention of a rule under section 78 of CEMA.

Background Note

- 6. This measure has been introduced to provide for a customs civil penalty, in cases where there is no allegation of dishonest conduct, when goods are wrongfully imported from a non-EU country.
- 7. HM Revenue & Customs (HMRC) will provide for the issue of a customs civil penalty to travellers entering the UK from outside the EU who have failed to declare goods in excess of their allowance when stopped before clearing customs controls. This penalty will be used in cases where we find there is no dishonest conduct, as an alternative to existing customs civil evasion penalties and existing criminal penalties, for use in the case of less serious contraventions, and to allow us more flexibility in our treatment of customers. As with all customs civil penalties there will be strict liability subject to reasonable excuse.