

This document is meant purely as a documentation tool and the institutions do not assume any liability for its contents

► B

**COMMISSION DECISION**

**of 24 June 1996**

**on the procedure for attesting the conformity of construction products pursuant to Article 20 (2) of  
Council Directive 89/106/EEC as regards sanitary appliances**

(Text with EEA relevance)

(96/578/EC)

(OJ L 254, 8.10.1996, p. 49)

Amended by:

|   | Official Journal |      |          |
|---|------------------|------|----------|
|   | No               | page | date     |
| ► <u>M1</u> Commission Decision 2001/596/EC of 8 January 2001 | L 209            | 33   | 2.8.2001 |

▼B**COMMISSION DECISION****of 24 June 1996****on the procedure for attesting the conformity of construction products pursuant to Article 20 (2) of Council Directive 89/106/EEC as regards sanitary appliances****(Text with EEA relevance)**

(96/578/EC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 89/106/EEC of 21 December 1988 on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to construction products<sup>(1)</sup>, as amended by Directive 93/68/EEC<sup>(2)</sup>, and in particular Article 13 (4) thereof,

Whereas the Commission is required to select, as between the two procedures under Article 13 (3) of Directive 89/106/EEC for attesting the conformity of a product, the 'least onerous possible procedure consistent with safety'; whereas this means that it is necessary to decide whether, for a given product or family of products, the existence of a factory production control system under the responsibility of the manufacturer is a necessary and sufficient condition for an attestation of conformity, or whether, for reasons related to compliance with the criteria mentioned in Article 13 (4), the intervention of an approved certification body is therefore required;

Whereas Article 13 (4) requires that the procedure thus determined must be indicated in the mandates and in the technical specifications; whereas, therefore, it is desirable to define the concept of products or family of products as used in the mandates and in the technical specifications;

Whereas the two procedures provided for in Article 13 (3) are described in detail in Annex III to Directive 89/106/EEC; whereas it is necessary therefore to specify clearly the methods by which the two procedures must be implemented, by reference to Annex III, for each product or family of products, since Annex III gives preference to certain systems;

Whereas the procedure referred to in point (a) of Article 13 (3) corresponds to the systems set out in the first possibility, without continuous surveillance, and the second and third possibilities of point (ii) of section 2 of Annex III, and the procedure referred to in point (b) of Article 13 (3) corresponds to the systems set out in point (i) of section 2 of Annex III, and in the first possibility, with continuous surveillance, of point (ii) of section 2 of Annex III;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Construction,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

*Article 1*

The products and families of products set out in Annex I shall have their conformity attested by a procedure whereby the manufacturer has under its sole responsibility a factory production control system ensuring that the product is in conformity with the relevant technical specifications.

*Article 2*

The products set out in Annex II shall have their conformity attested by a procedure whereby, in addition to a factory production control system operated by the manufacturer, an approved certification body is involved

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ No L 40, 11. 2. 1989, p. 12.

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ No L 220, 30. 8. 1993, p. 1.

▼B

in assessment and surveillance of the production control or of the product itself.

*Article 3*

The procedure for attesting conformity as set out in Annex III shall be indicated in mandates for harmonized standards.

*Article 4*

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

▼ **B**

*ANNEX I*

**SANITARY APPLIANCES**

Sinks, basins and communal troughs; bath tubs; shower trays; bidets; urinals; water closet bowls or pans; earth, chemical and composting closets; macerating toilets; squatting toilets; flushing cisterns; whirlpool baths; shower and bath screens or enclosures.

▼ **M1**

Modular public toilets and toilet pods other than those specified in Annex II.

▼ **B**

*ANNEX II*

**SANITARY APPLIANCES**

▼ **M1**

Modular public toilets and toilet pods with finishes using materials falling into classes A1 <sup>(1)</sup>, A2 <sup>(1)</sup>, B <sup>(1)</sup>, C <sup>(1)</sup>.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Products/materials for which a clearly identifiable stage in the production process results in an improvement of the reaction to fire classification (e.g. an addition of fire retardants or a limiting of organic material).



## ANNEX III

## PRODUCT FAMILY

## SANITARY APPLIANCES (1/1)

## System of attestation of conformity

For the product(s) and intended use(s) listed below, European Committee for Standardization/European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization (CEN/Cenelec) are requested to specify the following system(s) of attestation of conformity in the relevant harmonized standard(s):

| Product(s)  | Intended use(s)  | Level(s) or class(es)<br>Reaction to fire <sup>(1)</sup>   | Attestation of<br>conformity<br>system(s) |
|---|------------------|--|---|
| Sinks, basins and communal troughs; bath tubs and shower trays; bidets; urinals; water closet bowls or pans; earth, chemical and composting closets; macerating toilets; squatting toilets; flushing cisterns; whirlpool baths; shower and bath screens and enclosures and preformed toilet modules | Personal hygiene | —  | 4 <sup>(4)</sup>                          |
| Modular public toilets, and prefabricated toilet pods   | Personal hygiene | ► <b>M1</b> A1 <sup>(2)</sup> ,<br>A2 <sup>(2)</sup> , B <sup>(2)</sup> ,<br>C <sup>(2)</sup> ◀        | 1 <sup>(5)</sup>                          |
|   |                  | ► <b>M1</b> A1 <sup>(3)</sup> ,<br>A2 <sup>(3)</sup> , B <sup>(3)</sup> , C <sup>(3)</sup> ,<br>D, E ◀ | 3 <sup>(6)</sup>                          |
|   |                  | ► <b>M1</b> (A1 to E) <sup>(7)</sup> ,<br>F ◀  | 4 <sup>(4)</sup>                          |

<sup>(1)</sup> For reaction to fire, see ► **M1** Commission Decision 2000/147/EC (OJ L 50, 23.2.2000, p. 14) ◀

<sup>(2)</sup> ► **M1** Products/materials for which a clearly identifiable stage in the production process results in an improvement of the reaction to fire classification (e.g. an addition of fire retardants or a limiting of organic material) ◀.

<sup>(3)</sup> ► **M1** Products/materials not covered by footnote 2 ◀.

<sup>(5)</sup> System 1: See Annex III section 2 point (i) of Directive 89/106/EEC, without audit-testing of samples.

<sup>(6)</sup> System 3: See Annex III section 2 point (ii) of Directive 89/106/EEC, second possibility.

<sup>(4)</sup> System 4: See Annex III section 2 point (ii) of Directive 89/106/EEC, third possibility.

► **M1** <sup>(7)</sup> Products/materials that do not require to be tested for reaction to fire (e.g. Products/materials of Classes A1 according to Commission Decision 96/603/EC). ◀

The specification for the system should be such that it can be implemented even where performance does not need to be determined for a certain characteristic, because at least one Member State has no legal requirement at all for such characteristic (see Article 2 (1) of Directive 89/106/EEC and, where applicable, clause 1.2.3. of the Interpretative Documents). In those cases the verification of such a characteristic must not be imposed on the manufacturer if he does not wish to declare the performance of the product in that respect.