

## COMMISSION DECISION

of 24 June 1996

on the procedure for attesting the conformity of construction products pursuant to Article 20 (2) of Council Directive 89/106/EEC as regards curtain walling

(Text with EEA relevance)

(96/580/EC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 89/106/EEC of 21 December 1988 on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to construction products <sup>(1)</sup>, as amended by Directive 93/68/EEC <sup>(2)</sup>, and in particular Article 13 (4) thereof,

Whereas the Commission is required to select, as between the two procedures under Article 13 (3) of Directive 89/106/EEC for attesting the conformity of a product, the 'least onerous possible procedure consistent with safety'; whereas this means that it is necessary to decide whether, for a given product or family of products, the existence of a factory production control system under the responsibility of the manufacturer is a necessary and sufficient condition for an attestation of conformity, or whether, for reasons related to compliance with the criteria mentioned in Article 13 (4), the intervention of an approved certification body is therefore required;

Whereas Article 13 (4) requires that the procedure thus determined must be indicated in the mandates and in the technical specifications; whereas, therefore, it is desirable to define the concept of products or family of products as used in the mandates and in the technical specifications;

Whereas the two procedures provided for in Article 13 (3) are described in detail in Annex III to Directive 89/106/EEC; whereas it is necessary therefore to specify clearly the methods by which the two procedures must be implemented, by reference to Annex III, for each product or family of products, since Annex III gives preference to certain systems;

Whereas the procedure referred to in point (a) of Article 13 (3) corresponds to the systems set out in the first possibility, without continuous surveillance, and the second and third possibilities of point (ii) of section 2 of Annex III, and the procedure referred to in point (b) of Article 13 (3) corresponds to the systems set out in point (i) of section 2 of Annex III, and in the first possibility, with

continuous surveillance, of point (ii) of section 2 of Annex III;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Construction,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

*Article 1*

The products and families of products set out in Annex I shall have their conformity attested by a procedure whereby the manufacturer has under its sole responsibility a factory production control system ensuring that the product is in conformity with the relevant technical specifications.

*Article 2*

The products set out in Annex II shall have their conformity attested by a procedure whereby, in addition to a factory production control system operated by the manufacturer, an approved certification body is involved in assessment and surveillance of the production control or of the product itself.

*Article 3*

The procedure for attesting conformity as set out in Annex III shall be indicated in mandates for harmonized standards.

*Article 4*

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 24 June 1996.

*For the Commission*

Martin BANGEMANN

*Member of the Commission*

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ No L 40, 11. 2. 1989, p. 12.

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ No L 220, 30. 8. 1993, p. 1.

*ANNEX I***CURTAIN WALLING**

Curtain wall kits to be used either as external walls not subject to reaction to fire requirements or as external walls subject to reaction to fire requirements but not being in any of the circumstances mentioned for these products in Annex II.

---

*ANNEX II***CURTAIN WALLING**

Curtain wall kits to be used as external walls subject to reaction to fire requirements, classified under one of the Euroclasses A, B or C where the reaction to fire performance of the components is either susceptible to change during the production process (in general, those made with combustible raw materials) or has been altered by means of incorporation of certain agents, like fire retardants, but only when these components are likely to be exposed to fire in their end-use situation.

---

## ANNEX III

## PRODUCT FAMILY:

## CURTAIN WALLING (1/1)

## Systems of attestation of conformity

For the product(s) and intended use(s) listed below, European Committee for Standardization/European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization (CEN/Cenelec) are requested to specify the following system(s) of attestation of conformity in the relevant harmonized standard(s):

Product(s)	Intended use(s)	Level(s) or class(es) (Reaction to fire) <sup>(1)</sup>	Attestation of conformity system(s)
Curtain wall kits	As external walls subject to reaction to fire requirements	A, B, C <sup>(2)</sup>	1 <sup>(4)</sup>
		A, B or C <sup>(3)</sup> D, E or F	3 <sup>(5)</sup>
	As external walls not subject to reaction to fire requirements	—	3 <sup>(5)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> For reaction to fire, see Commission Decision 94/611/EC (OJ No L 241, 16. 9. 1994, p. 25).

<sup>(2)</sup> Materials for which the reaction to fire performance is susceptible to change during the production process (in general, those made with combustible raw materials) or has been altered by means of incorporation of certain agents, like fire retardants.

<sup>(3)</sup> Materials for which the reaction to fire performance is not susceptible to change during the production process (in general, those made with non-combustible raw materials).

<sup>(4)</sup> System 1: See Annex III Section 2 point (i) of Directive 89/106/EEC, without audit-testing of samples.

<sup>(5)</sup> System 3: See Annex III Section 2 point (ii) of Directive 89/106/EEC, second possibility.

The specification for the system should be such that it can be implemented even where performance does not need to be determined for a certain characteristic, because at least one Member State has no legal requirement at all for such characteristic (see Article 2 (1) of Directive 89/106/EEC and, where applicable, clause 1.2.3 of the Interpretative Documents). In those cases the verification of such a characteristic must not be imposed on the manufacturer if he does not wish to declare the performance of the product in that respect.