

This document is meant purely as a documentation tool and the institutions do not assume any liability for its contents

► **B**

COMMISSION DECISION

of 13 October 1998

**on the procedure for attesting the conformity of construction products pursuant to Article 20(2) of
Council Directive 89/106/EEC as regards road construction products**

(notified under document number C(1998) 2925)

(Text with EEA relevance)

(98/601/EC)

(OJ L 287, 24.10.1998, p. 41)

Amended by:

	Official Journal		
	No	page	date
► <u>M1</u> Commission Decision 2001/596/EC of 8 January 2001	L 209	33	2.8.2001



COMMISSION DECISION
of 13 October 1998

**on the procedure for attesting the conformity of construction
products pursuant to Article 20(2) of Council Directive 89/106/EEC
as regards road construction products**

(notified under document number C(1998) 2925)

(Text with EEA relevance)

(98/601/EC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 89/106/EEC of 21 December 1988 on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to construction products⁽¹⁾, as amended by Directive 93/68/EEC⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 13(4) thereof,

Whereas the Commission is required to select, as between the two procedures pursuant to Article 13(3) of Directive 89/106/EEC for attesting the conformity of a product, the ‘least onerous possible procedure consistent with safety’; whereas this means that it is necessary to decide whether, for a given product or family of products, the existence of a factory production control system under the responsibility of the manufacturer is a necessary and sufficient condition for an attestation of conformity, or whether, for reasons related to compliance with the criteria mentioned in Article 13(4), the intervention of an approved certification body is required;

Whereas Article 13(4) requires that the procedure thus determined must be indicated in the mandates and in the technical specifications; whereas, therefore, it is desirable to define the concept of products or family of products as used in the mandates and in the technical specifications;

Whereas the two procedures provided for in Article 13(3) are described in detail in Annex III to Directive 89/106/EEC; whereas it is necessary therefore to specify clearly the methods by which the two procedures must be implemented, by reference to Annex III, for each product or family of products, since Annex III gives preference to certain systems;

Whereas the procedure referred to in point (a) of Article 13(3) corresponds to the systems set out in the first possibility, without continuous surveillance, and the second and third possibilities of point (ii) of Section 2 of Annex III, and the procedure referred to in point (b) of Article 13(3) corresponds to the systems set out in point (i) of Section 2 of Annex III, and in the first possibility, with continuous surveillance, of point (ii) of Section 2 of Annex III;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Construction,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The products and families of products set out in Annex I shall have their conformity attested by a procedure whereby the manufacturer has under its sole responsibility a factory production control system ensuring that the product is in conformity with the relevant technical specifications.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 40, 11. 2. 1989, p. 12.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 220, 30. 8. 1993, p. 1.

▼B*Article 2*

The products set out in Annex II shall have their conformity attested by a procedure whereby, in addition to a factory production control system operated by the manufacturer, an approved certification body is involved in assessment and surveillance of the production control or of the product itself.

Article 3

The procedure for attesting conformity as set out in Annex III shall be indicated in mandates for harmonised standards.

Article 4

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

▼ B

ANNEX I

Ancillary products (e.g. dowels, joint fillers, joint sealants)

For uses in concrete roads

▼B*ANNEX II*

Bitumen (e.g. pure bitumen, polymer modified bitumen, fluxed bitumen, fluxed polymer modified bitumen, cut-back bitumen, bitumen emulsion, fluxed bitumen emulsion, polymer modified bitumen emulsion, fluxed polymer modified bitumen emulsion, naturally occurring asphalt/bitumen)

For uses in road construction and surface treatment of roads

Bituminous mixtures (e.g. asphalt concrete including very soft asphalt and those for very thin layers, porous asphalt, mastic asphalt (Gussasphalt), stone mastic asphalt, hot rolled asphalt)

For uses in road construction and surface treatment of roads

Surface treatments (e.g. slurry for surfacing, microsurfacing, surface dressing)

For uses in surface treatment of roads

Bridge deck waterproofing products and kits (e.g. mastic asphalt, prefabricated membranes, preformed bituminous sheets, resins/polyurethane)

For uses in bridge decks



ANNEX III

Note: For products having more than one of the intended uses specified in the following families, the tasks for the approved body, derived from the relevant systems of attestation of conformity, are cumulative.

PRODUCT FAMILY

ROAD CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTS (1/2)

1. Systems of attestation of conformity

For the product(s) and intended use(s) listed below, CEN/Cenelec are requested to specify the following system(s) of attestation of conformity in the relevant harmonised standard(s):

Product	Intended use	Level/s or class/es	Attestation of conformity system
Bitumen	For road construction and surface treatment of roads	—	2+
Bituminous mixtures	For road construction and surface treatment of roads	—	2+
Surface treatments	For surface treatment of roads	—	2+
Bridge deck waterproofing products and kits	For bridge decks	—	2+
Ancillary products	For concrete roads	—	4

System 2+: See CPD Annex III(2)(ii), first possibility, including certification of the factory production control by an approved body on the basis of initial inspection of factory and of factory production control as well as of continuous surveillance assessment and approval of factory production control.

System 4: See CPD Annex III(2)(ii), third possibility.

The specification for the system should be such that it can be implemented even where performance does not need to be determined for a certain characteristic, because at least one Member State has no legal requirement at all for such characteristic (see Article 2(1) of Directive 89/106/EEC and, where applicable, point 1.2.3 of the interpretative documents). In those cases the verification of such a characteristic must not be imposed on the manufacturer if he does not wish to declare the performance of the product in that respect.



PRODUCT FAMILY

ROAD CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTS (2/2)

1. Systems of attestation of conformity

For the product(s) and intended use(s) listed below, CEN/Cenelec are requested to specify the following system(s) of attestation of conformity in the relevant harmonised standard(s):

Product	Intended use	Level/s or class/es (reaction to fire)	Attestation of conformity system(s)
Bituminous mixtures Surface treatments	For uses subject to reaction to fire regulations	► M1 A1 _{FL} ⁽¹⁾ , A2 _{FL} ⁽¹⁾ , B _{FL} ⁽¹⁾ , C _{FL} ⁽¹⁾ ◀	1
		—	—
		3	—
		► M1 A1 _{FL} ⁽²⁾ , A2 _{FL} ⁽²⁾ , B _{FL} ⁽²⁾ , C _{FL} ⁽²⁾ , D _{FL} , E _{FL} ◀	4
		—	—
		► M1 (A1 _{FL} to E _{FL}) ⁽³⁾ , F _{FL} ◀	

System 1: See CPD Annex III(2)(i), without audit-testing of samples.

System 3: See CPD Annex III(2)(ii), second possibility.

System 4: See CPD Annex III(2)(ii), third possibility.

⁽¹⁾ ► **M1** Products/materials for which a clearly identifiable stage in the production process results in an improvement of the reaction to fire classification (e.g. an addition of fire retardants or a limiting of organic material). ◀

⁽²⁾ ► **M1** Products/materials not covered by footnote 1. ◀

⁽³⁾ ► **M1** Products/materials that do not require to be tested for reaction to fire (e.g. Products/materials of Classes A1 according to Commission Decision 96/603/EC). ◀

The specification for the system should be such that it can be implemented even where performance does not need to be determined for a certain characteristic, because at least one Member State has no legal requirement at all for such characteristic (see Article 2(1) of Directive 89/106/EEC and, where applicable, point 1.2.3 of the interpretative documents). In those cases the verification of such a characteristic must not be imposed on the manufacturer if he does not wish to declare the performance of the product in that respect.