Ι

(Acts whose publication is obligatory)

COMMISSION REGULATION (EEC) N° 3798/88

of 24 November 1988

laying down detailed rules concerning follow-up reports on projects granted financial aid in the framework of Community measures to improve and adapt structures in the fisheries and aquaculture sector

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86 of 18 December 1986 on Community measures to improve and adapt structures in the fisheries and aquaculture sector (¹), and in particular Article 39 (3) thereof,

Whereas, in accordance with Article 39 of Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86, the beneficiary should forward to the Commission, through the Member State concerned, a report on the results of the project (hereinafter referred to as 'the follow-up report');

Whereas these follow-up reports should enable the Commission to make checks on Community expenditure and cumulatively contribute to information on the economic state and development of the fisheries sector, which is necessary to ensure the proper functioning of the structural element of the common fisheries policy;

Whereas the follow-up reports, in particular on the financial results, should indicate clearly the operating costs and viability of projects, in particular with reference to those envisaged when community financial aid was granted to them;

Whereas the information contained in follow-up reports should be presented in standard forms appropriate to the types of project;

Whereas beneficiaries of the Community grant should ensure that the follow-up report is forwarded at the time it is due;

Whereas the measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Fishing Industry,

(¹) OJ No L 376, 31. 12. 1986, p. 7.

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

1. Follow-up reports, forwarded by the beneficiary through the Member State concerned, shall reach the Commission not later than three months after the period fixed in Article 39 (1) of Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86, namely two years after the last payment of aid for projects referred to in Title II and in Article 11 (1) (a) and five years after the last payment of aid for projects referred to in Article 11 (1) (b) of the aforementioned Regulation.

2. The data which the follow-up report must contain are indicated in the Annex according to the types of project concerned.

3. If the report is not received by the due date it shall be considered that the beneficiary has not fulfilled the obligation laid down in Article 39 (1) of Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86.

Article 2

If, after the last payment by the Commission, there are changes of ownership or status of the undertaking which is a beneficiary of Community aid in accordance with existing Community provisions, the notified report shall be forwarded by the new owner.

In this event, the beneficiary shall remain responsible for ensuring that the obligations referred to in Article 1 (3) are fulfilled.

Article 3

Comprehensive records on the results of the project shall be kept at the disposal of the Commission by the beneficiary for a period of three years after the forwarding of the follow-up report to the Commission.

Article 4

This Regulation shall enter into force on the third day following its publication in the Official Journal of the European Communities.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 24 November 1988.

For the Commission António CARDOSO E CUNHA Member of the Commission

ANNEX

FOLLOW-UP REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF OPERATING A NEW INSTALLATION FOR FARMING OF FISH, CRUSTACEANS OR MOLLUSCS BUILT WITH THE SUPPORT OF COMMUNITY FUNDS WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC) No 4028/86

(NB: Before completing this form please read the notes overleaf)

(Space reserved for official use)

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

(To be completed by the beneficiary/owner)

I. 1.	Application/Project	— No:		
	Commission Decision	— No:	Date:	
I. 2.	Work on project: Date	e of commencement of works:		
ŝ	Date	e of completion:		
I. 3.	Cost of investment:	Estimate:		
		Actual:		
	Amount of grant paid:	EEC:		••••••
		Member State:		×

II. I/we hereby certify that the information in this project is correct to the best of my/our knowledge and authorize the Commission to use the data for statistical purposes.

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the owner (all owners if more than one):

.....

.....

.....

(To be completed by the national administration)

III. This report is forwarded to the Commission of the European Communities through:

		•	
	Member State:		
	Authority:		
	· · ·		
Seal/Signature:			Date:

Notes:

- 1. (a) According to Article 39 of Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86 of 18 December 1986 on Community measures to improve and adapt structures in the fisheries and aquaculture sector, beneficiaries of aid shall forward to the Commission, through the Member State concerned, a report on the results of the project;
 - (b) this information is required in accordance with the conditions of approval and payment of a grant from the Community and is to be provided by the owner(s) two years after the last payment of aid.
- 2. Such 'follow-up' reports are required so that the economic state and development of the fishing sector may be continuously assessed by the Commission.
- 3. The information provided is treated as confidential and will not be disclosed by the Commission to anybody without prior permission of the owner(s), however the Commission reserves the right to use these data for statistical purposes.

4. This report is in two parts:

Part I should comprise the observations, suggestions and comments on the results of the project.

Part II should indicate the viability of the project. Tax data are not required, but operating costs must be clearly and comprehensively indicated, so that the actual results achieved by the project may be fully understood.

5. Any significant differences which occur between the actual results and those forecast in the application for a grant from the Community should be explained.

PART I

1.	Time delay between your application to the national administration and the date of the first payment by Community:		
1.1.	No of months:		
1.2.	Causes of eventual delay:		

ſ

	The Community decision process			
	Authorization procedures			
	Contractors' delay			
	Other			
	Specify:			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			••••••	
			••••••	
	Suggestions:		-	
			·	
				·····
2.	Time delay between your first and last payment by	Renecelc.		• •
2.	The delay between your mist and last payment by	Di distris.		
2.1.	No of months			
	No of months Difficulties encountered with			
	Difficulties encountered with			
	Difficulties encountered with Delay in works			
	Difficulties encountered with Delay in works Administrative payment process Other			
	Difficulties encountered with Delay in works Administrative payment process Other (Specify if possible):			
	Difficulties encountered with Delay in works Administrative payment process Other (Specify if possible):		······	
	Difficulties encountered with Delay in works Administrative payment process Other (Specify if possible):			
2.2.	Difficulties encountered with Delay in works Administrative payment process Other (Specify if possible):			
2.2.	Difficulties encountered with Delay in works Administrative payment process Other (Specify if possible):			
2.2.	Difficulties encountered with Delay in works Administrative payment process Other (Specify if possible):			
2.2.	Difficulties encountered with Delay in works Administrative payment process Other (Specify if possible):			
2.2.	Difficulties encountered with Delay in works Administrative payment process Other (Specify if possible):			
2.2.	Difficulties encountered with Delay in works Administrative payment process Other (Specify if possible):			

Official Journal of the European Communities

3.	EEC and State aid requirements:	are given after you start your project. How did you cope with the initial financial
	Your own funds	
	Bank loans:	Amount:
		Duration:
		Interest rate: Type of guarantees:
		Type of guarantees.
	Other:	
4.	If no Community ai	d had been given, would the project have had to be modified?
	YES	Explain the reasons:
	NO	
5.	How did the Comm	unity aid respond to your expectations?
	Adequately	
	Inadequately	
	Comments if any:	
	·····	
6.	Did vou encounter o	lifficulties in obtaining the concession/licence for your fish-farm?
	Yes	Of what kind?
	NO	
7.	Has the project dev	cloped as anticipated?
	Thas the project devi	
	YES	
	NO	For what reasons?
	· · · · ·	
8.	Could it have been	more successful if implemented differently?
	YES	Explain how?
	NO	

9.	Have changes been	made one						
	NO							
	YES		No of basins:					
		···	No of cages:					
•			Rearing area:					••
			Species:					••
			Species.				••••••	••
).	Do the initially esti	mated para	meters maintain	their validity?				
	YES							
	NO							
		L		•				
	Changes in stock d	ensity:						••
	Changes in juvenile	e survival ra	ite, per species ra	ised and per age	group:	•••••	•••••	••
	*							
			· ·					
	·····						······	
	Changes in convers	ion rate, pe	r species raised a	nd per age group):			
	Changes in convers	ion rate, pe	r species raised a):			
	Changes in convers	ion rate, pe	er species raised a					
	Changes in convers	ion rate, pe	er species raised a					
				·····				
	Other (specify):			······			······	
	Other (specify):			·····			······	
	Other (specify):			······			······	
	Other (specify):			······			······	••
	Other (specify):			······			······	
•	Other (specify):			······			······	
•	Other (specify):			······			······	
•	Other (specify): Have you had prob			······			······	
· · ·	Other (specify): Have you had prob			······			······	••
•	Other (specify): Have you had prot Water supply 2. Pollution			······			······	••
•	Other (specify): Have you had prob			······			······	••
•	Other (specify): Have you had prob Water supply Pollution Juvenile supply			······			······	••
•	Other (specify): Have you had prot Water supply 2. Pollution			······			······	
· ·	Other (specify): Have you had prob Water supply Pollution Juvenile supply Nutrition			······			······	
•	Other (specify): Have you had prob Water supply Pollution Juvenile supply			······			······	

.

	What improvements of	did this project	bring to you	r firm?				
	Increase in revenue		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Lower operating costs	S		Which? .				
					••••••		т	•••••
	Increase/Decrease in labour (*)			Howman	v persons?			
	Improvement in value	e of assets	:					
	D 111							
	Decrease in debt							
	Higher rate of return	-						
	Other							
	6				,			
	Specify:							
13.	What developments in	n the fishing an	d aquacultur	e sector inf	uenced you	r operation	s?	
			i	 1	,	- · · · ·		
	Available fish resourc	es						
		÷ .	L	1				
	Fishing conditons)	[]				
	Fishing conditons	,]				
	Fishing conditons Prices:	— higher						•
		1					•	•
		— higher — lower					- *	•
		1			· · ·			•
	Prices:	— lower — higher						•
	Prices:	— lower			• • •			
	Prices: Operating costs:	— lower — higher			· · ·			
	Prices:	— lower — higher						
	Prices: Operating costs:	— lower — higher						
•	Prices: Operating costs: Processing facilities Other	— lower — higher — lower						
•	Prices: Operating costs: Processing facilities	— lower — higher — lower						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Prices: Operating costs: Processing facilities Other	— lower — higher — lower						
Com	Prices: Operating costs: Processing facilities Other	— lower — higher — lower						

(*) Delete as applicable.

PART II/A

PARTICULARS OF PROJECT No/...../

New installation for the farming of fish, crustaceans and molluscs

Production forecasts (1) and actual levels (f = forecast, a = actual):

It was anticipated that the project would be completed in:
 Actual:
 Normal production level would be reached in:
 Actual:
 years
 years

1. Juveniles/spat

		Indvidual weight or diameter for molluscs		or	number total ity (kg)	Total value (national currency)		
		f	a	f	a	f	a	
A.	For sale:	:						
1.					·			
2.								
3.								
4.								
5.								
	Total value (only)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-		
В.	For use on site:						1	
1.								
2.	······							
3.								
4.								
5.								
(1)	Names of species in Latin, e. g: Salmo Crassostrea gigas, Mytilus edulis, Pe	salar, Salmo cten maximus	trutta, Scoph	thalmus maxim	us, Dicentrar	chus labrax, Sp	arus auratus,	

2. Adults

Product (1)	Individual weight		Total number or total quantity (tonnes)		Total value (national currency)	
	f	а	f	a	f	а
For sale:	-	•				
1						
3	-					
4		- 				
Total value (only)						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(1) Names of species in Latin, e. g. Salmo salar, Salmo trutta, Scophthalmus maximus, Dicentrarchus labrax, Sparus auratus, Crassostrea gigas, Mytilus edulis, Pecten maximus.						

(1) Forecasts: as made at time of application for grant.

PART II/B

OPERATING COSTS AND PROJECT VIABILITY

	Forecast	Ac	tual
	19	Year I	Year II
1. Turnover (value)			
(quantity in tonnes)			
2. Costs of production			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2.1. Energy costs	· ·····		
2.2. Feed		•	······
2.3. Purchase of juveniles/spat (Number)			
2.4. Cost of labour			
2.5. Insurance			
2.6. Maintenance			
2.7. Other operating expenses (*)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3. Operating profit before depreciation (1 - 2)			
4. Depreciation		·····	
5. Operating profit before interest and taxes (3 - 4)			
6. Financial charges			
7. Operating profit before tax $(5-6)$			

Explanatory notes:

(*) Please specify nature of expenses.

FOLLOW-UP REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF OPERATING A MODERNIZED OR EXTENDED INSTALLATION FOR THE FARMING OF FISH, CRUSTACEANS OR MOLLUSCS BUILT WITH THE SUPPORT OF COMMUNITY FUNDS WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC) No 4028/86

(NB: Before completing this form please read the notes overleaf)

(space reserved for official use)

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

(To be completed by the beneficiary/owner)

.I. 1.	Application/Project	— No:			
	Commission Decision	— No:	Date		
I. 2.	Work on project: Date	e of commencement of works:		y.	
	Date	e of completion:			
I. 3.	Cost of investment:	Estimate:	а. 		
		Actual:		••••••	
,	Amount of grant paid:	EEC:		••••••	
		Member State:			

II. I/we hereby certify that the information in this project is correct to the best of my/our knowledge and authorize the Commission to use the data for statistical purposes.

.....

.....

Signature of the owner (all owners if more than one):

.....

.....

.....

(To be completed by the national administration)

III. This report is forwarded to the Commission of the European Communities through:

Member State:		
6 1/C		
Seal/Signature:	Date:	•••

Notes:

- 1. (a) According to Article 39 of Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86 of 18 December 1986 on Community measures to improve and adapt structures in the fisheries and aquaculture sector, beneficiaries of aid shall forward to the Commission, through the Member State concerned, a report on the results of the project;
 - (b) this information is required in accordance with the conditions of approval and payment of a grant from the Community and is to be provided by the owner(s) two years after the last payment of aid.
- 2. Such 'follow-up' reports are required so that the economic state and development of the fishing sector may be continuously assessed by the Commission.
- 3. The information provided is treated as confidential and will not be disclosed by the Commission to anybody without prior permission of the owner(s), however the Commission reserves the right to use these data for statistical purposes.
- 4. This report is in two parts:

Part I should comprise the observations, suggestions and comments on the results of the project.

Part II should indicate the viability of the project. Tax data are not required, but operating costs must be clearly and comprehensively indicated, so that the actual results achieved by the project may be fully understood.

5. Any significant differences which occur between the actual results and those forecast in the application for a grant from the Community should be explained.

D /	۳Ф	' 1
	vu i	- 1

	No. of months
.1.	No of months:
.2.	Causes of eventual delay:
	The Community decision process
	Authorization procedures
	Contractors' delay
	Other
	Specify:
	Suggestions:
	Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels:
.1.	
.1.	Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels:
.1.	Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels: No of months:
.1.	Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels: No of months: Difficulties encountered with:
1.	Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels: No of months: Difficulties encountered with: Delay in works
1.	Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels: No of months: Difficulties encountered with: Delay in works
.1.	Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels: No of months: Difficulties encountered with: Delay in works Administrative payment process Other Specify if possible:
1.	Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels: No of months: Difficulties encountered with: Delay in works Administrative payment process Other Specify if possible:
1.	Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels: No of months:
1.	Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels: No of months:
1.	Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels: No of months: Difficulties encountered with: Delay in works Administrative payment process Other Specify if possible:

3. EEC and State aid are given after you start your project. How did you cope with the initial financial requirements: Your own funds Bank loans Amount: Duration: Interest rate: · Type of guarantees: Other If no Community aid had been given, would the project have had to be modified? 4. YES Explain the reasons: NO How did the Community aid respond to your expectations? 5. Adequately Inadequately Comments if any: 6. Objective of investment: Extension of rearing area Increase in No of basins Increase in No of cages Changes in technology Rearing of new species Which? Increase in productivity Other Specify:

7.	Do the initially estimated parameters maintain their validity?
	YES
	ΝΟ
	Changes in stock density:
	Changes in juvenile survival rate, per species raised and per age group:
	Changes in conversion rate, per species raised and per age group:
	Other (specify):
8.	Have you had problems of:
	1. Water supply
	2. Pollution
	3. Juvenile supply
	4. Nutrition
	5. Diseases Of what kind?
9.	Could the project have been more successful if implemented differently?
	NO
	YES How?
10.	What improvements did this project bring to your firm?
	Increase in revenues
	Lower operating costs Which?

No L 339/16

Official Journal of the European Communities

Higher rate of return	Increase/Decrease in labour (*)		How many persons?		
Improvement in value of assets					
Improvement in value of assets			•	, · ·	
Decrease in liabilities	Higher rate of return				
Decrease in liabilities					
Decrease in liabilities	Improvement in value of assets				
Other					
Other		[]			
Specify:	Decrease in liabilities				
Specify:		L			
Specify:	Other				
What developments in the fishing and aquaculture sector influenced your operations? Available fish resources Fishing conditions Prices: - higher - lower - Operating costs: - higher - lower - Processing facilities - Other - Specify: - ment on each development if possible: -	Other				
What developments in the fishing and aquaculture sector influenced your operations? Available fish resources Fishing conditions Prices: - higher - lower - Operating costs: - higher - lower - Processing facilities - Other - Specify: - ment on each development if possible: -					
What developments in the fishing and aquaculture sector influenced your operations? Available fish resources Fishing conditions Prices: - higher - lower - Operating costs: - higher - lower - Processing facilities - Other - Specify: - ment on each development if possible: -	Specify			1	
What developments in the fishing and aquaculture sector influenced your operations? Available fish resources Fishing conditions Prices: higher - lower Operating costs: higher - lower Processing facilities Other Specify: ment on each development if possible:	Speeny.				
Available fish resources Fishing conditions Prices: - higher - lower Operating costs: - higher - lower Processing facilities Other Specify: ment on each development if possible:					••••••
Available fish resources Fishing conditions Prices: - higher - lower Operating costs: - higher - lower Processing facilities Other Specify: specify: ment on each development if possible:					
Available fish resources Fishing conditions Prices: - higher - lower Operating costs: - higher - lower Processing facilities Other Specify: specify: ment on each development if possible:		••••••			
Available fish resources Fishing conditions Prices: - higher - lower Operating costs: - higher - lower Processing facilities Other Specify: ment on each development if possible:		••••••			••••••
Available fish resources Fishing conditions Prices: - higher - lower Operating costs: - higher - lower Processing facilities Other Specify: ment on each development if possible:					
Fishing conditions Prices: - higher - lower Operating costs: - higher - lower Processing facilities Other Specify: ment on each development if possible:	What developments in the fishing an	nd aquacultu	re sector influenced you	r operations?	
Fishing conditions Prices: - higher - lower Operating costs: - higher - lower Processing facilities Other Specify: ment on each development if possible:		· · · · ·			
Prices: - higher - lower - specify:	Available fish resources				
Prices: - higher - lower - second se					
Prices: - higher - lower - specify:					
Operating costs: - higher - lower - lower - lower Processing facilities Other Specify:	Fishing conditions				
Operating costs: - higher - lower - lower - lower Processing facilities Other Specify:					
Operating costs: - higher - lower - lower - lower Processing facilities Other Specify:	Deigen List-				
Operating costs: – higher – lower	rites: — nigner				
Operating costs: – higher – lower					
Operating costs: – higher – lower	— lower			,	
- lower Processing facilities Other Specify: nent on each development if possible:					
- lower Processing facilities Other Specify: nent on each development if possible:				•	
Processing facilities	Operating costs: — higher				1. State 1.
Processing facilities					
Processing facilities	_				
Other	— lower				
Other		L			
Other	Processing facilities				
Specify:	i roccoming racing				
Specify:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Specify:	Other				
nent on each development if possible:					
nent on each development if possible:	Specify				
nent on each development if possible:	Specify		······		
nent on each development if possible:					
nent on each development if possible:					
ment on each development if possible:					••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	ment on each development if nossible				
	inent on each development if possible	•			
					•••••
·	•				

(*) Delete as applicable.

PART II/A

PARTICULARS OF PROJECT No/...../...../

Modernized or extended installation for the farming of fish, crustaceans and molluscs

Production forecasts (1) and actual levels (p = previous, f = forecast, a = actual):

- It was anticipated that the project would be completed in:	4		 years
		Actual:	 years
Normal production level would be reached in:			 years
		Actual:	 years

1. Juveniles/spat

Product (1)	Ind	Indvidual weight			Total number or total quantity (kg)			Total value (national currency)		
	Р	f	a ,	р	f	a	р	f	a	
A. For sale:										
1										
2										
3										
4							:			
5								Х.		
Total value (only)										
B. For use on site:										
1										
2										
3										
4										
5										
(1) Names of species in Latin, e.g. Salmo Crassostrea gigas, Mytilus edulis, Per	salar, Sal ten maxi	mo trutta mus.	, Scophth	almus ma	aximus, D	icentrarc	hus labras	c, Sparus	auratus,	

2. Adults

Product (1)	Indi	Individual we		Individual weight			Total number or total quantity (kg)			Total value (national currency		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	р	f	'a	р	f	a	р	f	a			
For sale:												
1									~			
2												
3												
4									ł			
5												
Total value (only)						1		··· · · .				

 $\overline{(^1)}$ Forecasts: as made at time of application for grant.

,

PART II/B

OPERATING COSTS AND PROJECT VIABILITY

		Barriana	Forecast	Act	rual
		Previous	19	Year I	Year II
1.	Turnover (value) (quantity in tonnes)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·····	
2.	Costs of production			•••••	
2.1.	Energy costs		на страна 	•	i
2.2.	Feed			•••••	
2.3.	Purchase of juveniles/spat				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2.4.	Cost of labour	·····	· ······		
2.5.	Insurance				
2.6.	Maintenance				
2.7.	Other operating expenses (*)				
3.	Operating profit before depreciation $(1 - 2)$	8. 			
4.	Depreciation	••••••		••••••	•••••
5.	Operating profit before interest and taxes $(3 - 4)$				
6.	Financial charges	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••		
7.	Operating profit before tax $(5 - 6)$				·····

Explanatory notes:

(*) Please specify nature of expenses.

FOLLOW-UP REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF OPERATING A NEW FISHING VESSEL BUILT WITH THE SUPPORT OF COMMUNITY FUNDS WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC) No 4028/86

(NB: Before completing this form please read the notes overleaf)

(space reserved for official use)

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

(To be completed by the beneficiary/owner)

I. 1.	Application/Project	— No.:		
	Commission Decision	— No.:	Date	
I. 2.	Work on project: Date	of signature of contract with the shipyard		
	Date	e of entry into service (*)		
I. 3.	Cost of investment:	Estimate:		
		Actual:	_1	
	Amount of grant paid:	EEC:		
		Member State:		
				······

II. I/we hereby certify that the information in this project is correct to the best of my/our knowledge and authorize the Commission to use the data for statistical purposes.

Signature of the owner (all owners if more than one):

 ····		
•	· · · · ·	
 •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••

(*) As defined in Article 6 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 2930/86 (OJ No L 274, 25. 9. 1986, p. 1).

S

(To be completed by the national administration)

III. This report is forwarded to the Commission of the European Communities through:

Member State:		
Authority:		
. 1		
eal/Signature:	Date:	 •••••

Notes:

- (a) According to Article 39 of Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86 of 18 December 1986 on Community measures to improve and adapt structures in the fisheries and aquaculture sector, beneficiaries of aid shall forward to the Commission, through the Member State concerned, a report on the results of the project;
 - (b) this information is required in accordance with the conditions of approval and payment of a grant from the Community and is to be provided by the owner(s) two years after the last payment of aid.
- 2. Such 'follow-up' reports are required so that the economic state and development of the fishing sector may be continuously assessed by the Commission.
- 3. The information provided is treated as confidential and will not be disclosed by the Commission to anybody without prior permission of the owner(s), however the Commission reserves the right to use these data for statistical purposes.
- 4. This report is in two parts:

Part I should comprise the observations, suggestions and comments on the results of the project.

Part II should indicate the viability of the project. Tax data are not required, but operating costs must be clearly and comprehensively indicated, so that the actual results achieved by the project may be fully understood.

5. Any significant differences which occur between the actual results and those forecast in the application for a grant from the Community should be explained.

PART I

	Community:
1.	No of months:
2.	Causes of eventual delay:
	The Community decision process
	Shipyard delay
	Otger
	Specify:
	Suggestions:
	Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels:
.1.	No of months
.2.	Difficulties encountered with:
•	
	Shipyard delay
	Administrative payment process
	Other
	Specify it possible:
	Suggestions:
	Suggestions:
	Suggestions:
	Suggestions:
	Suggestions:

requirements:	,ou out you p	roject. How did you cope with the initial financial
Your own funds		
Bank loans	Amount:	
	Duration: Interest rate:	
	Type of guarantees	•
Other	[]	
If no Community aid	had been given, would he pro	ject have had to be modified?
YES	Explain the reasons	š
		
NO		
How did the Commu	inity aid respond to your expec	tations?
Adequately		
•••		•
Inadequately		
Comments if any:		
Have you changed yo	our shipyard since the first pres	entation of your project to the Commission?
NO		
YES		
Due te		х — .
Due to:		
Price changes		
Delays		•
Improvements in quality		
Other		
 		
Specify:		

Official Journal of the European Communities

Has the project de	veloped as an	paicu						
YES			,					2
11.5								
NO		Why?						· · ·
Changes in:								
Length between perpendiculars				. *				
Power of main engine								
GRT	:							
	[]							
Fishing gear								
Equipment:								
rdubuent:								
Fishing grounds								
Other								
Other						1		
Specify:					 	•••••	••••••	 •••
Specify:					 	•••••	••••••	 •••
Specify: Could it have been					 	•••••	••••••	 •••
Specify: Could it have been					 	•••••	••••••	 •••
Specify: Could it have been			plemented		 			 •••
Specify: Could it have been		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			 ••• •
Specify: Could it have been NO YES		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			••• •
Specify: Could it have been NO YES With changes in:		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			••• •
Specify: Could it have been NO YES With changes in: Length between		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			••• •
Specify: Could it have been NO YES With changes in: Length between perpendiculars		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			••• •
Specify: Could it have been NO YES With changes in: Length between perpendiculars Power of main		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			••• •
Specify: Could it have been NO YES With changes in: Length between perpendiculars Power of main engine		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			••• •
Specify: Could it have been NO YES With changes in: Length between perpendiculars Power of main engine		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			••• •
Specify: Could it have been NO YES With changes in: Length between perpendiculars Power of main engine GRT		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			••• •
Specify: Could it have been NO YES With changes in: Length between perpendiculars Power of main engine GRT		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			••• •
Specify: Could it have been NO YES With changes in: Length between perpendiculars Power of main engine GRT Fishing gear		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			••• •
Specify: Could it have been NO YES With changes in: Length between perpendiculars Power of main engine GRT Fishing gear		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			••• •
Specify: Could it have been NO YES With changes in: Length between perpendiculars Power of main engine GRT Fishing gear Equipment		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			••• •
Specify: Could it have been NO YES With changes in: Length between perpendiculars Power of main engine GRT Fishing gear Equipment		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			••• •
Specify: Could it have been NO YES With changes in: Length between perpendiculars Power of main engine GRT Fishing gear Equipment Fishing grounds		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			••• •
Specify: Specify: Could it have been NO YES With changes in: Length between perpendiculars Power of main engine GRT Fishing gear Equipment Fishing grounds Other		sful if im	plemented	differently	 			

9.

Specify:			·····
What improvements did this project			
Increase in revenue			
Lower operating costs			
- Fuel and lubricants			
Labour			
— Other			• •
Specify:		2	
Increase/Decrease in labour (*)		× .	
Higher rate of return			
Improvement in value in liabilities			
Decrease in liabilities			
Other			
What developments in the fishing an	d aquaculture s	ector influenced your operation	ons?
Available fish resources			•
Fishing conditions	-		
Prices: — higher			•
— lower			

Operating costs: - higher

- lower

(*) Delete if not applicable.

10.

9.12.88

,

Processing fac	ilities	•			
Other			•		
Specify:				 •••••	
	······	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		 	
Comment on each de	evelopment if possible:				a.
		•			

PART II/A

•

ACTIVITY OF VESSEL

(covering the two years from completion of project

1.			Registration No.: Port of registry:	
	Member State:		Main port of unloading:	
	Region:		Second port of unloading:	
			Other ports of unloading:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Length (pp):	•	Number of crew:	
	Tonnage (GRT):	••••••	Vessel majority owner aboa	ard: YES/NO
	Engine power (hp/kW):		

2. Schedule of fishing (f = forecast, a = actual)

Year		umber of Total No of trips fishing days per year		Fishing zones (1)		Fishing method (²)		Fuel consumption (1 000 kg)		
	f	a	f	a	f	a	f	a	. f	· a
1										
2										
2										
-										
			, enter the IC					bbean, Me	diterranea	n maritime
			laid down b l/vessel type				• 1			

3. Landings

		For human consumption					Ot	her	
	Benthic/ Demersal		Pelagic		Crutacea and molluscs		uses (1)		Grand totals
	f	a	f	a	f	a	f	a	
Quantity (tonnes):									
— First year									
— Second year									
Total									
Value (1 000 national currency)							· ·		
First yearSecond year									
Total				-					-
(1) Where appropriate, enter the	(1) Where appropriate, enter the number of months spent fishing for purposes other than 'human consumption'.								

PART II/B

OPERATING COSTS AND PROJECT VIABILITY

(Complete this Annex if the crew work on share contracts; otherwise complete Annex II/C)

	Forecast		
1	Forecast	Year I	Year II
1. Turnover/Sales		·	
2. Shared expenses			
2.1. Fuel and lubricants	•••		
2.2. Ice and provisions			
2.3. Other (*)			
. —			
_			
3. Turnover minus expenses at	2		
4. Value of crew's share (% of 3)			
5. Value of shipowner's share (% of 3)			
5. Shipowner's expenses			
5.1. Financial charges			
5.2. Insurance			
5.3. Maintenance		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5.4. Other (*)			
7. Operating profit before tax ((5 - 6)		

Explanatory notes:

PART II/C

OPERATING COSTS

(Complete this Annex if the crew work on wage contracts)

	•	E	· Ac	rual
		Forecast	Year I	Year II
1.	Turnover/Sales		·····	
2.	Costs of production	· ······		
2.1.	Fuel and lubricants			
2.2.	Ice and provisions		: <u></u>	
2.3.	Labour	······		
2.4.	Insurance	n		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2.5.	Maintenance	,		·
2.6.	Other operating expenses (*)	······		
3.	Operating profit before depreciation $(1 - 2)$: 		·
4.	Depreciation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
5.	Operating profit before interest and taxes $(3 - 4)$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6.	Financial charges			
7.	Operating profit before tax $(5-6)$			·

Explanatory notes:

(*) Please specify nature of expenses.

Annex I

VESSELS' CODES

Vessels		
v esseis		Code
1.	Trawlers	ТО
1.2.	Side trawlers	TS
1.2.1.	Wet-fish	TSW
1.2.2.	Freezers	TSF
1.3.	Stern trawlers	ТТ
1.3.1.	Wet-fish	TTW
1.3.2.	Freezers	TTF
1.3.3.	Factory trawlers	TTP
1.4.	Outrigger trawlers	TU
1.5.	Trawlers (not elsewhere included)	тох
2.	Sciners	so
2.1.	Purse seiners	SP
2.1.1.	North American type	SPA
2.1.2	European type	SPE
2.2.	Tuna purse seiners	SPT
2.3.	Seine netters	SN
2.4.	Seiners (not elsewhere included)	SOX
3.	Dredgers	DO
3.1.	Non-continuous dredging	DB
3.2.	Continuous dredging	DM
3.3.	Dredgers (not elsewhere included)	DOX
4.	Lift-setters	NO
4.1.	Using one boat-operated net	NB
4.2.	Lift-netters (not elsewhere included)	NOX
5.	Trap-netters	WO
5.1.	Pot vessels	WOL
5.2.	Trap setters (not elsewhere included)	WOX
6.	Liners	LO
6.1.	Handliners	LH
6.2.	Longliners	LL
6.3.	Tuna longliners	LLT
6.4.	Pole and line vessels	LP
6.4.1.	Japanese type	LPJ
6.4.2.	American type	LPA
6.5.	Trollers	LT
6.6	Liners (not elsewhere included)	LOX
7.	Vessels using pumps for fishing	РО
8.	Multipurpose vessels	МО
8.1.	Seiner-handliners	MSN
8.2.	Trawler-purse seiners	MTS
8.3.	Trawler-drifters	MTG
8.4.	Multipurpose vessels (not elsewhere included)	MOX
9.	Fishing vessels (not elsewhere included)	FX

. •

FOLLOW-UP REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF OPERATING A NEW PROJECT OF STRUCTURAL WORKS TO MAKE FULLER USE OF COASTAL WATERS BUILT WITH THE SUPPORT OF COMMUNITY FUNDS WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC) No 4028/86

(NB: Before completing this form please read the notes overleaf)

(space reserved for official use)

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

(To be completed by the beneficiary/owner)

I. 1.	Application/Project	— No:		
	Commission Decision	— No:	Date	
I. 2.	Work on project: Date	e of commencement of works		
	Date	e of on-site positioning		
I. 3.	Cost of investment:	Estimate:		······
		Actual:		
	Amount of grant paid:	EEC:		
		Member State:		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
II.		at the information in this project is correct t sion to use the data for statistical purposes.	to the best of my/o	ur knowledge and

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the owner (all owners if more than one):

.....

.....

.....

(To be completed by the Member State)

III. This report is forwarded to the Commission of the European Communities through:

Member State			
Authority:			
Seal/Signature:	Date:	 	

Notes:

- (a) According to Article 39 of Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86 of 18 December 1986 on Community measures to improve and adapt structures in the fisheries and aquaculture sector, beneficiaries of aid shall forward to the Commission, through the Member State concerned, a report on the results of the project;
 - (b) this information is required in accordance with the conditions of approval and payment of a grant from the Community and is to be provided by the owner(s) five years after the last payment of aid.
- 2. Such 'follow-up' reports are required so that the economic state and development of the fishing sector may be continuously assessed by the Commission.
- 3. The information provided is treated as confidential and will not be disclosed by the Commission to anybody without prior permission of the owner(s), however the Commission reserves the right to use these data for statistical purposes.
- 4. This report is in two parts:

Part I should comprise the observations, suggestions and comments on the results of the project.

Part II shall consist of the conclusions of the three year scientific monitoring as well as the assessment and verification of changes in fishery resources within the area concerned, referred to in Article 11 (5) of Council Regulation (EEC) No 4028/86.

5. Any significant differences which occur between the actual results and those forecast in the application for a grant from the Community should be explained.

PART I

1.	Time delay between your application to the national administration and the date of the first payment by the Community:	
1.1.	No of months:	
1.2.	Causes of eventual delay:	
	The Community decision process	
	Contractors' delay	
	Other	
	Specify:	
	Suggestions:	
2.	Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels:	
	Time delay between your first and last payment by Brussels: No of months:	
2.1.		
2.1.	No of months:	
2.1.	No of months: Difficulties encountered with:	
2.1.	No of months: Difficulties encountered with: Delay in works	
2.1.	No of months: Difficulties encountered with: Delay in works Administrative payment process	
2.1.	No of months: Difficulties encountered with: Delay in works Administrative payment process Other	
2.1.	No of months: Difficulties encountered with: Delay in works Administrative payment process Other Specify if possible:	
2.1.	No of months:	
2.1.	No of months: Difficulties encountered with: Delay in works Administrative payment process Other Specify if possible:	
2.1.	No of months: Difficulties encountered with: Delay in works Administrative payment process Other Specify if possible: Suggestions:	

Official Journal of the European Communities

3.	EEC and State aid requirements:	are given after you start your project. How did you cope with the initial financial	
	Your own funds		
	Bank loans	Amount: Duration:	
		Interest rate:	
		Type of guarantees:	
	Other		
4.	If no Community a	aid had been given, would the project have had to be modified?	
	YES	Explain the reasons:	
	NO		
5.	How did the Comm	nunity aid respond to your expectations?	
	Adequately		
	Inadequately		
	Comments if any: .		
6.	Has the project dev	veloped as anticipated?	
0.	Thas the project dev		
	YES		
	NO	Why?	
7.	Could it have been	more successful if implemented differently?	
	YES	How?	
	NO		
8.	What improvement	ts did this project bring to:	
	Tourism:		
	Environment		

.

	Fishing operations		
	Fish prices		
	Operating costs		
	Other		
	Specify:		
Ohan		/	
Ubsei			
			-
			•
			•

PART II

CONSISTS OF THE REPORT OF THE THREE-YEAR SCIENTIFIC MONITORING REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 11 (5) OF COUNCIL REGULATION (EEC) No 4028/86