Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 of 15 November 2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs (Text with EEA relevance)

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 2073/2005

of 15 November 2005

on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the hygiene of foodstuffs⁽¹⁾, and in particular Articles 4(4) and 12 thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) A high level of protection of public health is one of the fundamental objectives of food law, as laid down in Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 January 2002 laying down the general principles and requirements of food law, establishing the European Food Safety Authority and laying down procedures in matters of food safety⁽²⁾. Microbiological hazards in foodstuffs form a major source of food-borne diseases in humans.
- (2) Foodstuffs should not contain micro-organisms or their toxins or metabolites in quantities that present an unacceptable risk for human health.
- (3) Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 lays down general food safety requirements, according to which food must not be placed on the market if it is unsafe. Food business operators have an obligation to withdraw unsafe food from the market. In order to contribute to the protection of public health and to prevent differing interpretations, it is appropriate to establish harmonised safety criteria on the acceptability of food, in particular as regards the presence of certain pathogenic micro-organisms.
- (4) Microbiological criteria also give guidance on the acceptability of foodstuffs and their manufacturing, handling and distribution processes. The use of microbiological criteria should form an integral part of the implementation of HACCP-based procedures and other hygiene control measures.
- (5) The safety of foodstuffs is mainly ensured by a preventive approach, such as implementation of good hygiene practice and application of procedures based on hazard analysis and critical control point (HACCP) principles. Microbiological criteria can be used in validation and verification of HACCP procedures and other hygiene control measures. It is therefore appropriate to set microbiological criteria defining the acceptability of the processes, and also food safety microbiological criteria setting a limit above which a foodstuff should be considered unacceptably contaminated with the micro-organisms for which the criteria are set.

- (6) According to Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 852/2004, food business operators are to comply with microbiological criteria. This should include testing against the values set for the criteria through the taking of samples, the conduct of analyses and the implementation of corrective actions, in accordance with food law and the instructions given by the competent authority. It is therefore appropriate to lay down implementing measures concerning the analytical methods, including, where necessary, the measurement uncertainty, the sampling plan, the microbiological limits, the number of analytical units that should comply with these limits. Furthermore, it is appropriate to lay down implementing measures concerning the foodstuff to which the criterion applies, the points of the food chain where the criterion applies, as well as the actions to be taken when the criterion is not met. The measures to be taken by the food business operators in order to ensure compliance with criteria defining the acceptability of a process may include, among other things, controls of raw materials, hygiene, temperature and shelf-life of the product.
- (7) Regulation (EC) No 882/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on official controls performed to ensure the verification of compliance with feed and food law, animal health and animal welfare rules⁽³⁾ requires the Member States to ensure that official controls are carried out regularly, on a risk basis and with appropriate frequency. Those controls should take place at appropriate stages of the production, processing and distribution of food to ensure that the criteria laid down in this Regulation are complied with by food business operators.
- (8) The Communication from the Commission on the Community Strategy for setting microbiological criteria for foodstuffs⁽⁴⁾ describes the strategy to lay down and revise the criteria in Community legislation, as well as the principles for the development and application of the criteria. This strategy should be applied when microbiological criteria are laid down.
- (9) The Scientific Committee on Veterinary Measures relating to Public Health (SCVPH) issued an opinion on 23 September 1999 on the evaluation of microbiological criteria for food products of animal origin for human consumption. It highlighted the relevance of basing microbiological criteria on formal risk assessment and internationally approved principles. The opinion recommends that microbiological criteria should be relevant and effective in relation to consumer health protection. The SCVPH proposed, while awaiting formal risk assessments, certain revised criteria as interim measures.
- (10) The SCVPH issued at the same time a separate opinion on *Listeria monocytogenes*. That opinion recommended that it be an objective to keep the concentration of *Listeria monocytogenes* in food below 100 cfu/g. The Scientific Committee on Food (SCF) agreed with these recommendations in its opinion of 22 June 2000.
- (11) The SCVPH adopted an opinion on *Vibrio vulnificus* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* on 19 and 20 September 2001. It concluded that currently available scientific data do not support setting specific criteria for pathogenic *V. vulnificus* and *parahaemolyticus* in seafood. However, it recommended that codes of practice should be established to ensure that good hygiene practice has been applied.

- (12) The SCVPH issued an opinion on Norwalk-like viruses (NLVs, noroviruses) on 30-31 January 2002. In that opinion it concluded that the conventional faecal indicators are unreliable for demonstrating the presence or absence of NLVs and that the reliance on faecal bacterial indicator removal for determining shellfish purification times is unsafe practice. It also recommended using *E. coli* rather than faecal coliforms to indicate faecal contamination in shellfish harvesting areas, when applying bacterial indicators.
- (13) On 27 February 2002 the SCF adopted an opinion on specifications for gelatine in terms of consumer health. It concluded that the microbiological criteria set in Chapter 4 of Annex II to Council Directive 92/118/EEC of 17 December 1992 laying down animal health and public health requirements governing trade in and imports into the Community of products not subject to the said requirements laid down in specific Community rules referred to in Annex A(I) to Directive 89/662/EEC and, as regards pathogens, to Directive 90/425/EEC⁽⁵⁾ in terms of consumer health were excessive, and considered it sufficient to apply a mandatory microbiological criterion for salmonella only.
- (14) The SCVPH issued an opinion on verotoxigenic *E. coli* (VTEC) in foodstuffs on 21 and 22 January 2003. In its opinion it concluded that applying an end-product microbiological standard for VTEC O157 is unlikely to deliver meaningful reductions in the associated risk for the consumers. However, microbiological guidelines aimed at reducing the faecal contamination along the food chain can contribute to a reduction in public health risks, including VTEC. The SCVPH identified the following food categories where VTEC represents a hazard to public health: raw or undercooked beef and possibly meat from other ruminants, minced meat and fermented beef and products thereof, raw milk and raw milk products, fresh produce, in particular sprouted seeds, and unpasteurised fruit and vegetable juices.
- (15) On 26 and 27 March 2003 the SCVPH adopted an opinion on staphylococcal enterotoxins in milk products, particularly in cheeses. It recommended revising the criteria for coagulase-positive staphylococci in cheeses, in raw milk intended for processing and in powdered milk. In addition, criteria for staphylococcal enterotoxins should be laid down for cheeses and powdered milk.
- (16) The SCVPH adopted an opinion on salmonellae in foodstuffs on 14 and 15 April 2003. According to the opinion, food categories possibly posing a high risk to public health include raw meat and some products intended to be eaten raw, raw and undercooked products of poultry meat, eggs and products containing raw eggs, unpasteurised milk and some products thereof. Sprouted seeds and unpasteurised fruit juices are also of concern. It recommended that the decision on the need for microbiological criteria should be taken on the basis of its ability to protect the consumers and its feasibility.
- (17) The Scientific Panel on Biological Hazards (BIOHAZ Panel) of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) issued an opinion on the microbiological risks in infant formulae and follow-on formulae on 9 September 2004. It concluded that *Salmonella* and *Enterobacter sakazakii* are the micro-organisms of greatest concern in infant formulae, formulae for special medical purposes and follow-on formulae. The presence of these pathogens constitutes a considerable risk if conditions after reconstitution

permit multiplication. Enterobacteriaceae, which are more often present, could be used as an indicator for risk. Monitoring and testing of Enterobacteriaceae was recommended in both the manufacturing environment and the finished product by the EFSA. However, besides pathogenic species the family Enterobacteriaceae includes also environmental species, which often appear in the food manufacturing environment without posing any health hazard. Therefore, the family Enterobacteriaceae can be used for routine monitoring, and if they are present testing of specific pathogens can be started.

- (18) International guidelines for microbiological criteria in respect of many foodstuffs have not yet been established. However, the Commission has followed the Codex Alimentarius guideline 'Principles for the establishment and application of microbiological criteria for foods CAC/GL 21 1997' and in addition, the advice of the SCVPH and the SCF in laying down microbiological criteria. Existing Codex specifications in respect of dried milk products, foods for infants and children and the histamine criterion for certain fish and fishery products have been taken account. The adoption of Community criteria should benefit trade by providing harmonised microbiological requirements for foodstuffs and replacing national criteria.
- (19) The microbiological criteria set for certain categories of food of animal origin in Directives that were repealed by Directive 2004/41/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 April 2004 repealing certain Directives concerning food hygiene and health conditions for the production and placing on the market of certain products of animal origin intended for human consumption and amending Council Directives 89/662/EEC and 92/118/EEC and Council Decision 95/408/EC⁽⁶⁾ should be revised and certain new criteria set in the light of the scientific advice.
- (20) The microbiological criteria laid down in Commission Decision 93/51 EEC of 15 December 1992 on the microbiological criteria applicable to the production of cooked crustaceans and molluscan shellfish⁽⁷⁾ are incorporated in this Regulation. It is therefore appropriate to repeal that Decision. Since Commission Decision 2001/471/EC of 8 June 2001 laying down rules for the regular checks on the general hygiene carried out by the operators in establishments according to Directive 64/433/EEC on health conditions for the production and marketing of fresh meat and Directive 71/118/ EEC on health problems affecting the production and placing on the market of fresh poultrymeat⁽⁸⁾ is repealed with effect from the 1 January 2006, it is appropriate to incorporate microbiological criteria set for carcases in this Regulation.
- (21) The producer or manufacturer of a food product has to decide whether the product is ready to be consumed as such, without the need to cook or otherwise process it in order to ensure its safety and compliance with the microbiological criteria. According to Article 3 of Directive 2000/13/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 March 2000 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to the labelling, presentation and advertising of foodstuffs⁽⁹⁾, the instructions for use of a foodstuff are compulsory on the labelling when it would be impossible to make appropriate use of the foodstuff in the absence of such instructions. Such instructions should be taken into account by food business operators when deciding appropriate sampling frequencies for the testing against microbiological criteria.

- (22) Sampling of the production and processing environment can be a useful tool to identify and prevent the presence of pathogenic micro-organisms in foodstuffs.
- (23) Food business operators should decide themselves the necessary sampling and testing frequencies as part of their procedures based on HACCP principles and other hygiene control procedures. However, it may be necessary in certain cases to set harmonised sampling frequencies at Community level, particularly in order to ensure the same level of controls to be performed throughout the Community.
- (24) Test results are dependent on the analytical method used, and therefore a given reference method should be associated with each microbiological criterion. However, food business operators should have the possibility to use analytical methods other than the reference methods, in particular more rapid methods, as long as the use of these alternative methods provides equivalent results. Moreover, a sampling plan needs to be defined for each criterion in order to ensure harmonised implementation. It is nevertheless necessary to allow the use of other sampling and testing schemes, including the use of alternative indicator organisms, on condition that these schemes provide equivalent guarantees of food safety.
- (25) Trends in test results should be analysed, as they are able to reveal unwanted developments in the manufacturing process enabling the food business operator to take corrective actions before the process is out of control.
- (26) The microbiological criteria set in this Regulation should be open to review and revised or supplemented, if appropriate, in order to take into account developments in the field of food safety and food microbiology. This includes progress in science, technology and methodology, changes in prevalence and contamination levels, changes in the population of vulnerable consumers, as well as the possible outputs from risk assessments.
- (27) In particular, criteria for pathogenic viruses in live bivalve molluscs should be established when the analytical methods are developed sufficiently. There is a need for development of reliable methods for other microbial hazards too, e.g. *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*.
- (28) It has been demonstrated that the implementation of control programmes can markedly contribute to a reduction of the prevalence of salmonella in production animals and products thereof. The purpose of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 November 2003 on the control of salmonella and other specified food-borne zoonotic agents⁽¹⁰⁾ is to ensure that proper and effective measures are taken to control salmonella at relevant stages of the food chain. Criteria for meat and products thereof should take into account the expected improvement in the salmonella situation at the level of primary production.
- (29) For certain food safety criteria, it is appropriate to grant the Member States a transitional derogation, enabling them to comply with less stringent criteria but provided that the foodstuffs would only be marketed on the national market. The Member States should notify the Commission and other Member States where this transitional derogation is used.

(30) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

Subject-matter and scope

This Regulation lays down the microbiological criteria for certain micro-organisms and the implementing rules to be complied with by food business operators when implementing the general and specific hygiene measures referred to in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 852/2004. The competent authority shall verify compliance with the rules and criteria laid down in this Regulation in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 882/2004, without prejudice to its right to undertake further sampling and analyses for the purpose of detecting and measuring other micro-organisms, their toxins or metabolites, either as a verification of processes, for food suspected of being unsafe, or in the context of a risk analysis.

This Regulation shall apply without prejudice to other specific rules for the control of micro-organisms laid down in Community legislation and in particular the health standards for foodstuffs laid down in Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁽¹¹⁾, the rules on parasites laid down under Regulation (EC) No 854/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁽¹²⁾ and the microbiological criteria laid down under Council Directive 80/777/EEC⁽¹³⁾.

Article 2

Definitions

The following definitions shall apply:

- (a) 'micro-organisms' means bacteria, viruses, yeasts, moulds, algae, parasitic protozoa, microscopic parasitic helminths, and their toxins and metabolites;
- (b) 'microbiological criterion' means a criterion defining the acceptability of a product, a batch of foodstuffs or a process, based on the absence, presence or number of microorganisms, and/or on the quantity of their toxins/metabolites, per unit(s) of mass, volume, area or batch;
- (c) 'food safety criterion' means a criterion defining the acceptability of a product or a batch of foodstuff applicable to products placed on the market;
- (d) 'process hygiene criterion' a criterion indicating the acceptable functioning of the production process. Such a criterion is not applicable to products placed on the market. It sets an indicative contamination value above which corrective actions are required in order to maintain the hygiene of the process in compliance with food law;
- (e) 'batch' means a group or set of identifiable products obtained from a given process under practically identical circumstances and produced in a given place within one defined production period;

- (f) 'shelf-life' means either the period corresponding to the period preceding the 'use by' or the minimum durability date, as defined respectively in Articles 9 and 10 of Directive 2000/13/EC;
- (g) 'ready-to-eat food' means food intended by the producer or the manufacturer for direct human consumption without the need for cooking or other processing effective to eliminate or reduce to an acceptable level micro-organisms of concern;
- (h) 'food intended for infants' means food specifically intended for infants, as defined in Commission Directive 91/321/EEC⁽¹⁴⁾;
- (i) 'food intended for special medical purposes' means dietary food for special medical purposes, as defined in Commission Directive 1999/21/EC⁽¹⁵⁾;
- (j) 'sample' means a set composed of one or several units or a portion of matter selected by different means in a population or in an important quantity of matter, which is intended to provide information on a given characteristic of the studied population or matter and to provide a basis for a decision concerning the population or matter in question or concerning the process which has produced it;
- (k) 'representative sample' means a sample in which the characteristics of the batch from which it is drawn are maintained. This is in particular the case of a simple random sample where each of the items or increments of the batch has been given the same probability of entering the sample;
- (l) 'compliance with microbiological criteria' means obtaining satisfactory or acceptable results set in Annex I when testing against the values set for the criteria through the taking of samples, the conduct of analyses and the implementation of corrective action, in accordance with food law and the instructions given by the competent authority.

Article 3

General requirements

1 Food business operators shall ensure that foodstuffs comply with the relevant microbiological criteria set out in Annex I. To this end the food business operators at each stage of food production, processing and distribution, including retail, shall take measures, as part of their procedures based on HACCP principles together with the implementation of good hygiene practice, to ensure the following:

- a that the supply, handling and processing of raw materials and foodstuffs under their control are carried out in such a way that the process hygiene criteria are met,
- b that the food safety criteria applicable throughout the shelf-life of the products can be met under reasonably foreseeable conditions of distribution, storage and use.

2 As necessary, the food business operators responsible for the manufacture of the product shall conduct studies in accordance with Annex II in order to investigate compliance with the criteria throughout the shelf-life. In particular, this applies to ready-to-eat foods that are able to support the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* and that may pose a *Listeria monocytogenes* risk for public health.

Food businesses may collaborate in conducting those studies.

Guidelines for conducting those studies may be included in the guides to good practice referred to in Article 7 of Regulation (EC) No 852/2004.

Article 4

Testing against criteria

1 Food business operators shall perform testing as appropriate against the microbiological criteria set out in Annex I, when they are validating or verifying the correct functioning of their procedures based on HACCP principles and good hygiene practice.

2 Food business operators shall decide the appropriate sampling frequencies, except where Annex I provides for specific sampling frequencies, in which case the sampling frequency shall be at least that provided for in Annex I. Food business operators shall make this decision in the context of their procedures based on HACCP principles and good hygiene practice, taking into account the instructions for use of the foodstuff.

The frequency of sampling may be adapted to the nature and size of the food businesses, provided that the safety of foodstuffs will not be endangered.

Article 5

Specific rules for testing and sampling

1 The analytical methods and the sampling plans and methods in Annex I shall be applied as reference methods.

2 Samples shall be taken from processing areas and equipment used in food production, when such sampling is necessary for ensuring that the criteria are met. In that sampling the ISO standard 18593 shall be used as a reference method.

Food business operators manufacturing ready-to-eat foods, which may pose a *Listeria monocytogenes* risk for public health, shall sample the processing areas and equipment for *Listeria monocytogenes* as part of their sampling scheme.

Food business operators manufacturing dried infant formulae or dried foods for special medical purposes intended for infants below six months which pose an *Enterobacter sakazakii* risk shall monitor the processing areas and equipment for Enterobacteriaceae as part of their sampling scheme.

3 The number of sample units of the sampling plans set out in Annex I may be reduced if the food business operator can demonstrate by historical documentation that he has effective HACCP-based procedures.

4 If the aim of the testing is to specifically assess the acceptability of a certain batch of foodstuffs or a process, the sampling plans set out in Annex I shall be respected as a minimum.

5 Food business operators may use other sampling and testing procedures, if they can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the competent authority that these procedures provide at least equivalent guarantees. Those procedures may include use of alternative sampling sites and use of trend analyses.

Testing against alternative micro-organisms and related microbiological limits as well as testing of analytes other than microbiological ones shall be allowed only for process hygiene criteria.

The use of alternative analytical methods is acceptable when the methods are validated against the reference method in Annex I and if a proprietary method, certified by a third party in accordance with the protocol set out in EN/ISO standard 16140 or other internationally accepted similar protocols, is used.

If the food business operator wishes to use analytical methods other than those validated and certified as described in paragraph 3 the methods shall be validated according to internationally accepted protocols and their use authorised by the competent authority.

Article 6

Labelling requirements

1 When the requirements for *Salmonella* in minced meat, meat preparations and meat products intended to be eaten cooked of all species set down in Annex I are fulfilled, the batches of those products placed on the market must be clearly labelled by the manufacturer in order to inform the consumer of the need for thorough cooking prior to consumption.

2 As from 1 January 2010 labelling as referred to in paragraph 1 in respect of minced meat, meat preparations and meat products made from poultrymeat will no longer be required.

Article 7

Unsatisfactory results

1 When the results of testing against the criteria set out in Annex I are unsatisfactory, the food business operators shall take the measures laid down in paragraphs 2 to 4 of this Article together with other corrective actions defined in their HACCP-based procedures and other actions necessary to protect the health of consumers.

In addition, they shall take measures to find the cause of the unsatisfactory results in order to prevent the recurrence of the unacceptable microbiological contamination. Those measures may include modifications to the HACCP-based procedures or other food hygiene control measures in place.

2 When testing against food safety criteria set out in Chapter 1 of Annex I provides unsatisfactory results, the product or batch of foodstuffs shall be withdrawn or recalled in accordance with Article 19 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002. However, products placed on the market, which are not yet at retail level and which do not fulfil the food safety criteria, may be submitted to further processing by a treatment eliminating the hazard in question. This treatment may only be carried out by food business operators other than those at retail level.

The food business operator may use the batch for purposes other than those for which it was originally intended, provided that this use does not pose a risk for public or animal health and provided that this use has been decided within the procedures based on HACCP principles and good hygiene practice and authorised by the competent authority.

3 A batch of mechanically separated meat (MSM) produced with the techniques referred to in Chapter III, paragraph 3, in Section V of Annex III to Regulation (EC) No 853/2004, with unsatisfactory results in respect of the *Salmonella* criterion, may be used in the food chain only to manufacture heat-treated meat products in establishments approved in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 853/2004.

4 In the event of unsatisfactory results as regards process hygiene criteria the actions laid down in Annex I, Chapter 2 shall be taken.

Article 8

Transitional derogation

1 A transitional derogation is granted until 31 December 2009 at the latest pursuant to Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 as regards compliance with the value set in Annex I to this Regulation for *Salmonella* in minced meat, meat preparations and meat products intended to be eaten cooked placed on the national market of a Member State.

2 The Member States using this possibility shall notify the Commission and other Member States thereof. The Member State shall:

- a guarantee that the appropriate means, including labelling and a special mark, which cannot be confused with the identification mark provided for in Annex II, Section I to Regulation (EC) No 853/2004, are in place to ensure that the derogation applies only to the products concerned when placed on the domestic market, and that products dispatched for intra-Community trade comply with the criteria laid down in Annex I;
- b provide that the products to which such transitional derogation applies shall be clearly labelled that they must be thoroughly cooked prior to consumption;
- c undertake that when testing against the *Salmonella* criterion pursuant to Article 4, and for the result to be acceptable as regards such transitional derogation, no more than one out of five sample units shall be found to be positive.

Article 9

Analyses of trends

Food business operators shall analyse trends in the test results. When they observe a trend towards unsatisfactory results, they shall take appropriate actions without undue delay to remedy the situation in order to prevent the occurrence of microbiological risks.

Article 10

Review

This Regulation shall be reviewed taking into account progress in science, technology and methodology, emerging pathogenic micro-organisms in foodstuffs, and information from risk assessments. In particular, the criteria and conditions concerning the presence of salmonella in carcases of cattle, sheep, goats, horses, pigs and poultry shall be revised in the light of the changes observed in salmonella prevalence.

Article 11

Repeal

Decision 93/51/EEC is repealed.

Article 12

This Regulation shall enter into force on the 20th day following its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

It shall apply from 1 January 2006.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Status: Point in time view as at 01/12/2011.

Changes to legislation: There are currently no known outstanding effects for the Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005. (See end of Document for details)

[^{F1}ANNEX I

Microbiological criteria for foodstuffs

Textual Amendments

F1 Substituted by Commission Regulation (EC) No 1441/2007 of 5 December 2007 amending Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs (Text with EEA relevance).

Chapter 1.

Food safety criteria

Food	Micro-	Sampling plan ^a		Limits ^b	Limits ^b		l Stage
category	organisms their toxins, metabolit	^{s/} n	c	m	М	reference method ^c	where the criterion applies
	Listeria Ready- to- eat foods intended for infants and ready- to- eat foods for special medical purposes ^d	10 enes	0	Absence i	n 25 g	EN/ISO 11290-1	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
	<i>Listeria</i> Ready <i>iocytog</i> to- eat foods able to support	5 enes	0	100 cfu/g		EN/ISO 11290-2 ^r	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
-	the growth of <i>L. monocytogen</i> other than	5 es,	0	Absence i	n 25 g ^g	EN/ISO 11290-1	Before the food has left the immediate control of the food business

	those intended for infants and for special medical					operator, who has produced it
1.3	purposes Listeria Readviocytog to- eat foods unable to support the growth of L monocytogen other than those intended for infants and for special medical purposes ^{dh}		0	100 cfu/g	EN/ISO 11290-2 ^f	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
1.4	<i>Salmonella</i> Minced meat and meat preparations intended to be eaten raw	5	0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
[^{F2} 1.5	<i>Salmonella</i> Minced meat and meat preparations made from	5	0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life]

	poultry meat intended to be eaten cooked					
1.6	Salmonella Minced meat and meat preparations made from other species than poultry intended to be eaten cooked	5	0	Absence in 10 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
1.7	Salmonella Mechanically separated meat (MSM) ⁱ	5	0	Absence in 10 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
1.8	Salmonella Meat products intended to be eaten raw, excluding products where the manufacturin process or the composition of the product will		0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life

[^{F2} 1.9	eliminate the salmonella risk <i>Salmonella</i> Meat products made from poultry meat intended to be eaten cooked	5	0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life]
1.10	Salmonella Gelatine and collagen	5	0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
1.11	Salmonella Cheeses, butter and cream made from raw milk or milk that has undergone a lower heat treatment than pasteurisation	ŀ	0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
1.12	Salmonella Milk powder and whey powder	5	0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life

Status: Point in time view as at 01/12/2011.

Changes to legislation: There are currently no known outstanding effects for the Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005. (See end of Document for details)

1.13	Salmonella Ice cream ^k , excluding products where the manufacturin process or the composition of the product will eliminate the salmonella risk		0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
1.14	Salmonella Egg products, excluding products where the manufacturin process or the composition of the product will eliminate the salmonella risk		0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
1.15	Salmonella Ready- to- eat foods containing raw egg, excluding products where the	5	0	Absence in 25 g or ml	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life

	manufacturin process or the composition of the product will eliminate the salmonella risk	g				
1.16	Salmonella Cooked crustaceans and molluscan shellfish	5	0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
1.17	Salmonella Live bivalve molluscs and live echinoderms, tunicates and gastropods		0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
1.18	Salmonella Sprouted seeds (ready- to- eat) ¹	5	0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
1.19	Salmonella Precut fruit and vegetables (ready- to- eat)	5	0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
1.20	Salmonella Unpasteurised fruit and vegetable juices	5 d	0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during

	(ready- to- eat)					their shelf-life
1.21	Staphyloco Cheffierotoxin milk powder and whey powder, as referred to in the coagulase- positive staphylococc criteria in Chapter 2.2 of this Annex	15	0	Not detected in 25 g	European screening method of the CRL for coagulase positive staphyloco	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life cci ^m
1.22	Salmonella Dried infant formulae and dried dietary foods for special medical purposes intended for infants below six months of age	30	0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
1.23	Salmonella Dried follow- on formulae	30	0	Absence in 25 g	EN/ISO 6579	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life

[^{F2} 1.24	<i>Cronobacte</i> Drugg inf <i>athterobace</i> for <i>mulaekii</i>) and dried dietary foods for special medical purposes intended for infants below 6 months of age ⁿ		0	Absence in 10 g		ISO/TS 22964	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life]
1.25	Live coli ^o bivalve molluscs and live echinoderms, tunicates and gastropods	1 ^p	0	230 MPN/100 g of flesh and intra- valvular liquid		ISO TS 16649-3	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
1.26	Histamine Fishery products from fish species associated with a high amount of histidine ⁴	9 ^r	2	100 mg/ kg	200 mg/ kg	HPLC ^s	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life
1.27	Histamine Fishery products which have undergone enzyme maturation treatment	9	2	200 mg/ kg	400 mg/ kg	HPLC ^s	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life

		in brine, manufactured from fish species associated with a high amount of							
		histidine ^q							
[^{F4}]	1.20	Salmonella Fresh typhimuriu podftry enteritidis meat	15 m"Salmonel	0 la	Absence in	25 g	EN/ISO 6579 (for detection) White- Kaufmann- Le Minor scheme (for serotyping)	Products placed on the market during their shelf-life]	
a	n = nun	nber of units comp	rising the sampl	e; c = number of	f sample units gi	ving values betw	veen m and M.		
b	For point	nts 1.1-1.25 m = N	И.						
c	The mo	st recent edition o	f the standard sh	all be used.					
d	th re fr br bc su su	testing against the ose which have re contamination is m esh, uncut and unp ead, biscuits and so titled or packed wa gar, honey and co ve bivalve mollusc ³ food grade salt.]	ceived heat treat not possible after processed vegeta similar products, aters, soft drinks nfectionery, incl	this treatment (bles and fruits, e beer, cider, wir	ocessing effective for example, pro- excluding sproute ne, spirits and sir	ve to eliminate L ducts heat treate ed seeds, nilar products,	. monocytogenes	, when	
e	product	terion shall apply will not exceed th that must be low o	ne limit 100 cfu/g	g throughout the	shelf-life. The o	perator may fix	intermediate lim	its during the	
f	1 ml of	inoculum is plated	l on a Petri dish	of 140 mm diam	neter or on three	Petri dishes of 9	0 mm diameter.		
g	when h	terion shall apply e is not able to der cfu/g throughout th	nonstrate, to the						
h	days sh	is with $pH \le 4,4$ or all be automaticall y, subject to scient	y considered to	belong to this ca					
i		terion shall apply oter III of Section							
j	Excluding products when the manufacturer can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the competent authorities that, due to the ripening time and a_w of the product where appropriate, there is no salmonella risk.								
k	Only ic	e creams containir	ng milk ingredier	nts.					
1		nary testing of the here the highest p				ess or the sampl	ing must be carri	ed out at the	

- **m** *Reference:* Community reference laboratory for coagulase positive staphylococci. European screening method for the detection of staphylococcal enterotoxins in milk and milk products.
- **n** Parallel testing for Enterobacteriaceae and *E. sakazakii* shall be conducted, unless a correlation between these microorganisms has been established at an individual plant level. If Enterobacteriaceae are detected in any of the product samples tested in such a plant, the batch must be tested for *E. sakazakii*. It shall be the responsibility of the manufacturer to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the competent authority whether such a correlation exists between Enterobacteriaceae and *E. sakazakii*.
- *E. coli* is used here as an indicator of faecal contamination.
- **p** A pooled sample comprising a minimum of 10 individual animals.
- **q** Particularly fish species of the families: *Scombridae, Clupeidae, Engraulidae, Coryfenidae, Pomatomidae, Scombresosidae.*
- r Single samples may be taken at retail level. In such a case the presumption laid down in Article 14(6) of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002, according to which the whole batch is to be deemed unsafe, shall not apply.
- s References: 1. Malle P., Valle M., Bouquelet S. Assay of biogenic amines involved in fish decomposition. J. AOAC Internat. 1996, 79, 43-49. 2. Duflos G., Dervin C., Malle P., Bouquelet S. Relevance of matrix effect in determination of biogenic amines in place (*Pleuronectes platessa*) and whiting (*Merlangus merlangus*. J. AOAC Internat. 1999, 82, 1097-1101.
- t [^{F4}This criterion shall apply to fresh meat from breeding flocks of *Gallus gallus*, laying hens, broilers and breeding and fattening flocks of turkeys.
- **u** As regards monophasic *Salmonella typhimurium* only 1,4,[5],12:i:- is included.]

Textual Amendments

- **F2** Substituted by Commission Regulation (EU) No 365/2010 of 28 April 2010 amending Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs as regards Enterobacteriaceae in pasteurised milk and other pasteurised liquid dairy products and Listeria monocytogenes in food grade salt (Text with EEA relevance).
- **F3** Inserted by Commission Regulation (EU) No 365/2010 of 28 April 2010 amending Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs as regards Enterobacteriaceae in pasteurised milk and other pasteurised liquid dairy products and Listeria monocytogenes in food grade salt (Text with EEA relevance).
- F4 Inserted by Commission Regulation (EU) No 1086/2011 of 27 October 2011 amending Annex II to Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Annex I to Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 as regards salmonella in fresh poultry meat (Text with EEA relevance).

Interpretation of the test results

The limits given refer to each sample unit tested, excluding live bivalve molluscs and live echinoderms, tunicates and gastropods in relation to testing *E. coli*, where the limit refers to a pooled sample.

The test results demonstrate the microbiological quality of the batch tested⁽¹⁶⁾.

L. monocytogenes in ready-to-eat foods intended for infants and for special medical purposes:

- satisfactory, if all the values observed indicate the absence of the bacterium,
- unsatisfactory, if the presence of the bacterium is detected in any of the sample units.

L. monocytogenes in ready-to-eat foods able to support the growth of *L. monocytogenes* before the food has left the immediate control of the producing food business operator when he is not able to demonstrate that the product will not exceed the limit of 100 cfu/g throughout the shelf-life:

satisfactory, if all the values observed indicate the absence of the bacterium,

- unsatisfactory, if the presence of the bacterium is detected in any of the sample units.
- L. monocytogenes in other ready-to-eat foods and E. coli in live bivalve molluscs:
- satisfactory, if all the values observed are \leq the limit,
- unsatisfactory, if any of the values are > the limit.

Salmonella in different food categories:

- satisfactory, if all the values observed indicate the absence of the bacterium,
- unsatisfactory, if the presence of the bacterium is detected in any of the sample units.

Staphylococcal enterotoxins in dairy products:

- satisfactory, if in all the sample units the enterotoxins are not detected,
- unsatisfactory, if the enterotoxins are detected in any of the sample units.

Enterobacter sakazakii in dried infant formulae and dried dietary foods for special medical purposes intended for infants below 6 months of age:

- satisfactory, if all the values observed indicate the absence of the bacterium,
- unsatisfactory, if the presence of the bacterium is detected in any of the sample units.

Histamine in fishery products from fish species associated with a high amount of histidine:

- satisfactory, if the following requirements are fulfilled:
 - 1. the mean value observed is $\leq m$
 - 2. a maximum of c/n values observed are between m and M
 - 3. no values observed exceed the limit of M,
- unsatisfactory, if the mean value observed exceeds m or more than c/n values are between m and M or one or more of the values observed are > M.

Chapter 2.

Process hygiene criteria

2.1 *Meat and products thereof*

Food	Micro-	Sampling plan ^a		Limits ^b		Analytic	0	Action
category	y organisr	nş _h	C	m	М	referenc method ^c	the	in case of unsatisfactory results
	Aerobic Carcases ofount cattle, sheep, goats and horses ^d			3,5 log cfu/cm ² daily mean log	5,0 log cfu/cm ² daily mean log	ISO 4833	Carcases after dressing but before chilling	Improvements in slaughter hygiene and review of process controls
	Enterobac	teriaceae		1,5 log cfu/cm ²	2,5 log cfu/cm ²	ISO 21528-2	Carcases after	Improvements in

				daily mean log	daily mean log		dressing but before chilling	slaughter hygiene and review of process controls
2.1.2	Aerobic Carcases of ount pigs			4,0 log cfu/cm ² daily mean log	5,0 log cfu/cm ² daily mean log	ISO 4833	Carcases after dressing but before chilling	Improvements in slaughter hygiene and review of process controls
	Enterobac	teriaceae		2,0 log cfu/cm ² daily mean log	3,0 log cfu/cm ² daily mean log	ISO 21528-2	Carcases after dressing but before chilling	Improvements in slaughter hygiene and review of process controls
2.1.3	Salmonel. Carcases of cattle, sheep, goats and horses	[Æ0¢	2 ^f	Absence : area teste carcase		EN/ISO 6579	Carcases after dressing but before chilling	Improvements in slaughter hygiene, review of process controls and of origin of animals
2.1.4	Salmonel. Carcases of pigs	[Φ0°	5 ^r	Absence area teste carcase		EN/ISO 6579	Carcases after dressing but before chilling	Improvements in slaughter hygiene and review of process controls, origin of animals and of the biosecurity measures

[^{F5} 2.1.5	Salmonela Poultry SpD carcases of broilers and turkeys	⁷ 3 0 (⁵)	7 (⁶) From 1.1.2012 c = 5 for broilers From 1.1.2013 c = 5 for turkeys	Absence i a pooled s neck skin		EN/ISO 6579 (for detection)	Carcases after chilling	in the farms of origin Improvement in slaughter hygiene and review of process controls, origin of animals and biosecurity measures in the farms of
2.1.6	Aerobic Wilfing meat count ^g	5	2	5×10^5 cfu/g	5×10^6 cfu/g	ISO 4833	End of the manufactu process	origin] Improvements in upingluction hygiene and improvements in selection and/or origin of raw materials
	E. coli ^h	5	2	50 cfu/g	500 cfu/ g	ISO 16649-1 or 2	End of the manufactu process	Improvements in apingluction hygiene and improvements in selection and/or origin of raw materials
2.1.7	Aerobic Mechanicz separated meat (MSM) ⁱ	1 ⁵ y	2	5×10^5 cfu/g	5×10^{6} cfu/g	ISO 4833	End of the manufactu process	Improvements in apingluction hygiene and improvements in

									selection and/or origin of raw materials
		E. coli ^h	5	2	50 cfu/g	500 cfu/ g	ISO 16649-1 or 2	End of the manufacto process	Improvements in apingluction hygiene and improvements in selection and/or origin of raw materials
2.1.		<i>E. coli</i> ^h Meat preparatior	5 ns	2	500 cfu/ g or cm ²	5 000 cfu/g or cm ²	ISO 16649-1 or 2	End of the manufacto process	Improvements in apirogluction hygiene and improvements in selection and/or origin of raw materials
a	n = num	ber of units co	omprising the	sample; c = nu	mber of sampl	e units giving	values betweer	n m and M.	
b	For poin	nts 2.1.3-2.1.5	m = M.						
c	The mo	st recent editio	n of the stand	ard shall be use	ed.				
		its (m and M) taking a log va						nean log shall l log values.	be calculated
		samples shall b cies laid down			ve sampling se	essions in acco	rdance with the	e sampling rule	es and
	into acc		ess made in re	ducing the salr	nonella preval			review in orde ons having low	
	This cri hours.	terion shall not	t apply to min	ced meat produ	iced at retail le	evel when the s	helf-life of the	product is less	then 24
h	<i>E. coli</i> i	s used here as	an indicator o	f faecal contan	nination.				
								erred to in paragement and of the	
j	(F43371	e Salmonella s	onn is found t	he isolates sha	ll bo furthor or	rotupad for Sa			.1

Textual Amendments

F5 Substituted by Commission Regulation (EU) No 1086/2011 of 27 October 2011 amending Annex II to Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Annex I to Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 as regards salmonella in fresh poultry meat (Text with EEA relevance).

Interpretation of the test results

The limits given refer to each sample unit tested, excluding testing of carcases where the limits refer to pooled samples.

The test results demonstrate the microbiological quality of the process tested.

Enterobacteriaceae and aerobic colony count in carcases of cattle, sheep, goats, horses and pigs:

- satisfactory, if the daily mean log is $\leq m$,
- acceptable, if the daily mean log is between m and M,
- unsatisfactory, if the daily mean log is > M.

Salmonella in carcases:

- satisfactory, if the presence of *Salmonella* is detected in a maximum of c/n samples,
- unsatisfactory, if the presence of *Salmonella* is detected in more than c/n samples.

After each sampling session, the results of the last ten sampling sessions shall be assessed in order to obtain the n number of samples.

E. coli and aerobic colony count in minced meat, meat preparations and mechanically separated meat (MSM):

- satisfactory, if all the values observed are $\leq m$,
- acceptable, if a maximum of c/n values are between m and M, and the rest of the values observed are \leq m,
- unsatisfactory, if one or more of the values observed are > M or more than c/n values are between m and M.
- 2.2 Milk and dairy products

Food	Micro-	Samplin	g planª	Limits ^b		Analytic		Action
category	organisn	nsh	c	m	Μ	referenc method ^c	_	in case of unsatisfactory results
[^{F2} 2.2.1	Entero- Pasteurisee milk and other pasteurisec liquid dairy products ^d		0	10 cfu/ml		ISO 21528-2	End of the manufactu process	Check on the utilityciency of heat- treatment and prevention of recontamination as well as the quality

								of raw materials]
2.2.2	<i>E. coli</i> ^e Cheeses made from milk or whey that has undergone heat treatment	5	2	100 cfu/ g	1 000 cfu/g	ISO 16649-1 or 2	At the time during the manufactu process when the <i>E.</i> <i>coli</i> count is expected to be highest ^f	Improvements in production hygiene naing selection of raw materials
2.2.3	Coagulass Clossifice Maaphyloc from raw milk		2	10 ⁴ cfu/ g	10 ⁵ cfu/ g	EN/ISO 6888-2	At the time during the manufactu process	Improvements in production hygiene namy selection
2.2.4	Coagulase positive stabhyloc from milk that has undergone a lower heat treatment than pasteurisat and ripened cheeses made from milk or whey that has undergone pasteurisat or a stronger	ion ^g	2	100 cfu/ g	1 000 cfu/g	EN/ISO 6888-1 or 2	when the number of staphyloc is expected to be highest	of raw materials. If values > 10 ⁵ cfu/ Stre detected, the cheese batch has to be tested for staphylococcal enterotoxins.

	heat treatment ^g							
2.2.5	Coagulase Unrinewed Soffaphyloc cheeses (fresh cheeses) made from milk or whey that has undergone pasteurisat or a stronger heat treatment ^g	occi	2	10 cfu/g	100 cfu/ g	EN/ISO 6888-1 or 2	End of the manufacta process	Improvements in upingluction hygiene. If values $> 10^5$ cfu/g are detected, the cheese batch has to be tested for staphylococcal enterotoxins.
2.2.6	<i>E</i> coli ^e Butter and cream made from raw milk or milk that has undergone a lower heat treatment than pasteurisat		2	10 cfu/g	100 cfu/ g	ISO 16649-1 or 2	End of the manufacta process	Improvements in upingluction hygiene and selection of raw materials
2.2.7	Enterobad Milk powder and whey powder ^d	teriaceae	0	10 cfu/g		ISO 21528-2	End of the manufactu process	Check on the effig ciency of heat treatment and prevention of recontamination

	Coagulase positive staphyloco		2	10 cfu/g	100 cfu/ g	EN/ISO 6888-1 or 2	End of the manufactu process	Improvements in upingluction hygiene. If values $> 10^5$ cfu/g are detected, the batch has to be tested for staphylococcal enterotoxins.
2.2.8	Enterobact Ice cream ^h and frozen dairy desserts		2	10 cfu/g	100 cfu/ g	ISO 21528-2	End of the manufactu process	Improvements in pingluction hygiene
2.2.9	Enterobact Dried infant formulae and dried dietary foods for special medical purposes intended for infants below six months of age		0	Absence i	-	ISO 21528-1	End of the manufactu process	Improvements in upingluction hygiene to minimise contamination ⁱ
2.2.10	Enterobact Dried follow- on formulae	feriaceae	0	Absence i	n 10 g	ISO 21528-1	End of the manufactu process	Improvements in apingluction hygiene to minimise contamination

Status: Point in time view as at 01/12/2011.

Changes to legislation: There are currently no known outstanding effects for the Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005. (See end of Document for details)

Presumptive 1 $50 \, \text{cfu/g}$ 500 cfu/ EN/ISO End Improvements 2.2.11 Bucillus of the 7932^j in g ntant manufactupinguction formulae process hygiene. and Prevention dried of dietary recontamination. foods Selection for of raw special material. medical purposes intended for infants below six months bf age n = number of units comprising the sample; c = number of sample units giving values between m and M. a b [F2For points 2.2.1, 2.2.7, 2.2.9 and 2.2.10 m=M.] с The most recent edition of the standard shall be used. d The criterion shall not apply to products intended for further processing in the food industry. e E. coli is used here as an indicator for the level of hygiene. f For cheeses which are not able to support the growth of E. coli, the E. coli count is usually the highest at the beginning of the ripening period, and for cheeses which are able to support the growth of E. coli, it is normally at the end of the ripening period. Excluding cheeses where the manufacturer can demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the competent authorities, that the g product does not pose a risk of staphylococcal enterotoxins. h Only ice creams containing milk ingredients. i Parallel testing for Enterobacteriaceae and E. sakazakii shall be conducted, unless a correlation between these microorganisms has been established at an individual plant level. If Enterobacteriaceae are detected in any of the product samples tested in such a plant, the batch has to be tested for E. sakazakii. It shall be the responsibility of the manufacturer to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the competent authority whether such a correlation exists between Enterobacteriaceae and E_sakazakii 1 ml of inoculum is plated on a Petri dish of 140 mm diameter or on three Petri dishes of 90 mm diameter. i Interpretation of the test results

The limits given refer to each sample unit tested.

The test results demonstrate the microbiological quality of the process tested.

Enterobacteriaceae in dried infant formulae, dried dietary foods for special medical purposes intended for infants below six months of age and dried follow-on formulae:

- satisfactory, if all the values observed indicate the absence of the bacterium,
- unsatisfactory, if the presence of the bacterium is detected in any of the sample units.

E. coli, Enterobacteriaceae (other food categories) and coagulase-positive staphylococci:

- satisfactory, if all the values observed are \leq m,

<i>Status:</i> Point in time view as at 01/12/2011.	
Changes to legislation: There are currently no known outstanding effects for the	
Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005. (See end of Document for details)	

- acceptable, if a maximum of c/n values are between m and M, and the rest of the values observed are \leq m,
- unsatisfactory, if one or more of the values observed are > M or more than c/n values are between m and M.

Presumptive *Bacillus cereus* in dried infant formulae and dried dietary foods for special medical purposes intended for infants below six months of age:

- satisfactory, if all the values observed are $\leq m$,
- acceptable, if a maximum of c/n values are between m and M, and the rest of the values observed are \leq m,
- -- unsatisfactory, if one or more of the values observed are > M or more than c/n values are between m and M.

Food	Micro-	Samplin	g plan ^a	Limits		Analytic		Action in case of unsatisfactory results
category	organisn	ns _h	c	m	М		reference where method ^b the criterion applies	
2.3.1	Enterobac Egg products	teriaceae	2	10 cfu/g or ml	100 cfu/ g or ml	ISO 21528-2	End of the manufacto process	Checks on the ueiffig:iency of the heat treatment and prevention of recontamination

2.3 *Egg products*

Interpretation of the test results

The limits given refer to each sample unit tested.

The test results demonstrate the microbiological quality of the process tested.

Enterobacteriaceae in egg products:

- satisfactory, if all the values observed are $\leq m$,
- acceptable, if a maximum of c/n values are between m and M, and the rest of the values observed are \leq m,
- -- unsatisfactory, if one or more of the values observed are > M or more than c/n values are between m and M.
- 2.4 Fishery products

	ood M itegory or	icro- ganisn	Sampling plan ^a ns	Limits					
a	n = number of units comprising the sample; c = number of sample units giving values between m and M.								
b	The most recent edition of the standard shall be used.								

Status: Point in time view as at 01/12/2011.

Changes to legislation: There are currently no known outstanding effects for the Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005. (See end of Document for details)

		n	c	m	Μ	Analytic referenc method ^b	e where	Action in case of unsatisfactory results
2.4.1	<i>E. coli</i> Shelled and shucked products	5	2	1/g	10/g	ISO TS 16649-3	End of the manufactu process	Improvements in upingluction hygiene
	products of coagulase cposited/e cstaphydaa and molluscan shellfish		2	100 cfu/ g	1 000 cfu/g	EN/ISO 6888-1 or 2	End of the manufactu process	Improvements in apingluction hygiene

b The most recent edition of the standard shall be used.

Interpretation of the test results

The limits given refer to each sample unit tested.

The test results demonstrate the microbiological quality of the process tested.

E. coli in shelled and shucked products of cooked crustaceans and molluscan shellfish:

- satisfactory, if all the values observed are $\leq m$,
- acceptable, if a maximum of c/n values are between m and M, and the rest of the values observed are \leq m,
- -- unsatisfactory, if one or more of the values observed are > M or more than c/n values are between m and M.

Coagulase-positive staphylococci in shelled and cooked crustaceans and molluscan shellfish:

- satisfactory, if all the values observed are $\leq m$,
- acceptable, if a maximum of c/n values are between m and M, and the rest of the values observed are \leq m,
- unsatisfactory, if one or more of the values observed are > M or more than c/n values are between m and M.

Food	Micro-	Samplin	g plan ^a	Limits		Analytic		Action in case of unsatisfactory results
category	organisn	n _{\$h}	c	m	М	referenc method ^b		
2.5.1	<i>E. coli</i> Precut fruit and	5	2	100 cfu/ g	1 000 cfu/g	ISO 16649-1 or 2	Manufact process	ultimprovements in production hygiene,
a n = nun	nber of units co	omprising the s	sample; c = nu	mber of sampl	e units giving	values between	m and M.	
b The mo	st recent editio	on of the standa	ard shall be use	ed.				

2.5 *Vegetables, fruits and products thereof*

	vegetables (ready- to- eat)							selection of raw materials
2.5.2	<i>E. coli</i> Unpasteur fruit and vegetable juices (ready- to- eat)	5 ised	2	100 cfu/ g	1 000 cfu/g	ISO 16649-1 or 2	Manufact process	uhimprovements in production hygiene, selection of raw materials
a n = n	umber of units co	omprising the s	sample; c = nu	mber of sampl	e units giving	values between	m and M.	·
b The	nost recent edition	on of the standa	ard shall be use	ed.				

Interpretation of the test results

The limits given refer to each sample unit tested.

The test results demonstrate the microbiological quality of the process tested.

E. coli in precut fruit and vegetables (ready-to-eat) and in unpasteurised fruit and vegetable juices (ready-to-eat):

- satisfactory, if all the values observed are $\leq m$,
- acceptable, if a maximum of c/n values are between m and M, and the rest of the values observed are \leq m,
- unsatisfactory, if one or more of the values observed are > M or more than c/n values are between m and M.

Chapter 3.

Rules for sampling and preparation of test samples

3.1 *General rules for sampling and preparation of test samples*

In the absence of more specific rules on sampling and preparation of test samples, the relevant standards of the ISO (International Organisation for Standardisation) and the guidelines of the Codex Alimentarius shall be used as reference methods.

[^{F5}3.2 Bacteriological sampling in slaughterhouses and at premises producing minced meat, meat preparations, mechanically separated meat and fresh meat Sampling rules for carcases of cattle, pigs, sheep, goats and horses

The destructive and non-destructive sampling methods, the selection of the sampling sites and the rules for storage and transport of samples to be used are set out in standard ISO 17604.

Five carcases shall be sampled at random during each sampling session. Sample sites must be selected taking into account the slaughter technology used in each plant.

When sampling for analyses of Enterobacteriaceae and aerobic colony counts, four sites of each carcase shall be sampled. Four tissue samples representing a total of 20 cm^2 shall be obtained by

the destructive method. When using the non-destructive method for this purpose, the sampling area shall cover a minimum of 100 cm^2 (50 cm² for small ruminant carcases) per sampling site.

When sampling for salmonella analyses, an abrasive sponge sampling method shall be used. Areas most likely to be contaminated shall be selected. The total sampling area shall cover a minimum of 400 cm^2 .

When samples are taken from the different sampling sites on the carcase, they shall be pooled before examination.

Sampling rules for poultry carcases and fresh poultry meat

Slaughterhouses shall sample whole poultry carcases with neck skin for salmonella analyses. Other establishments processing fresh poultry meat shall take samples for salmonella analysis giving priority to whole poultry carcases with neck skin, if available, but ensuring that also chicken portions with skin and/or chicken portions without skin or with only a small amount of skin are covered, and that choice shall be risk-based.

Slaughterhouses shall include in their sampling plans poultry carcases from flocks with an unknown salmonella status or with a status known to be positive for *Salmonella enteritidis* or *Salmonella typhimurium*.

When testing against the process hygiene criterion set out in Row 2.1.5 of Chapter 2 for salmonella in poultry carcases in slaughterhouses, neck skins from a minimum of 15 poultry carcases shall be sampled at random after chilling during each sampling session. A piece of approximately 10 g from neck skin shall be obtained from each poultry carcase. On each occasion the neck skin samples from three poultry carcases from the same flock of origin shall be pooled before examination in order to form 5×25 g final samples. These samples shall also be used to verify the compliance with the food safety criterion set out in Row 1.28 of Chapter 1.

For the salmonella analyses for fresh poultry meat other than poultry carcases, five samples of at least 25 g of the same batch shall be collected. The sample taken from chicken portions with skin shall contain skin and a thin surface muscle slice in case the amount of skin is not sufficient to form a sample unit. The sample taken from chicken portions without skin or with only a small amount of skin shall contain a thin surface muscle slice or slices added to any skin present to make a sufficient sample unit. The slices of meat shall be taken in a way that includes as much as possible of the surface of the meat.

Guidelines for sampling

More detailed guidelines on the sampling of carcases, in particular concerning the sampling sites, may be included in the guides to good practice referred to in Article 7 of Regulation (EC) No 852/2004.

Sampling frequencies for carcases, minced meat, meat preparations, mechanically separated meat and fresh poultry meat

The food business operators of slaughterhouses or establishments producing minced meat, meat preparations, mechanically separated meat or fresh poultry meat shall take samples for microbiological analysis at least once a week. The day of sampling shall be changed each week to ensure that each day of the week is covered.

As regards the sampling of minced meat and meat preparations for *E. coli* and aerobic colony count analyses and the sampling of carcases for Enterobacteriaceae and aerobic colony count analyses, the frequency may be reduced to fortnightly testing if satisfactory results are obtained for six consecutive weeks.

In the case of sampling for salmonella analyses of minced meat, meat preparations, carcases and fresh poultry meat, the frequency may be reduced to fortnightly if satisfactory results have been

obtained for 30 consecutive weeks. The salmonella sampling frequency may also be reduced if there is a national or regional salmonella control programme in place and if this programme includes testing that replaces the sampling laid down in this paragraph. The sampling frequency may be further reduced if the national or regional salmonella control programme demonstrates that the salmonella prevalence is low in animals purchased by the slaughterhouse.

However, when justified on the basis of a risk analysis and consequently authorised by the competent authority, small slaughterhouses and establishments producing minced meat, meat preparations and fresh poultry meat in small quantities may be exempted from these sampling frequencies.]]

ANNEX II

The studies referred to in Article 3(2) shall include:

- -- specifications for physico-chemical characteristics of the product, such as pH, a_w, salt content, concentration of preservatives and the type of packaging system, taking into account the storage and processing conditions, the possibilities for contamination and the foreseen shelf-life, and
- consultation of available scientific literature and research data regarding the growth and survival characteristics of the micro-organisms of concern.

When necessary on the basis of the abovementioned studies, the food business operator shall conduct additional studies, which may include:

- predictive mathematical modelling established for the food in question, using critical growth or survival factors for the micro-organisms of concern in the product,
- tests to investigate the ability of the appropriately inoculated micro-organism of concern to grow or survive in the product under different reasonably foreseeable storage conditions,
- studies to evaluate the growth or survival of the micro-organisms of concern that may be present in the product during the shelf-life under reasonably foreseeable conditions of distribution, storage and use.

The above mentioned studies shall take into account the inherent variability linked to the product, the micro-organisms in question and the processing and storage conditions.

- (1) OJ L 139, 30.4.2004, p. 1, corrected by OJ L 226, 25.6.2004, p. 3.
- (2) OJ L 31, 1.2.2002, p. 1. Regulation as amended by Regulation (EC) No 1642/2003 (OJ L 245, 29.9.2003, p. 4).
- (3) OJ L 165, 30.4.2004, p. 1, corrected by OJ L 191, 28.5.2004, p. 1.
- (4) SANCO/1252/2001 Discussion paper on strategy for setting microbiological criteria for foodstuffs in Community legislation, p. 34.
- (5) OJ L 62, 15.3.1993, p. 49. Directive as last amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 445/2004 (OJ L 72, 11.3.2004, p. 60).
- (6) OJ L 157, 30.4.2004, p. 33, corrected by OJ L 195, 2.6.2004, p. 12.
- (7) OJ L 13, 21.1.1993, p. 11.
- (8) OJ L 165, 21.6.2001, p. 48. Decision as amended by Decision 2004/379/EC (OJ L 144, 30.4.2004, p. 1).
- (9) OJ L 109, 6.5.2000, p. 29. Directive as last amended by Directive 2003/89/EC (OJ L 308, 25.11.2003, p. 15).
- (**10**) OJ L 325, 12.12.2003, p. 1.
- (11) OJ L 139, 30.4.2004, p. 55, corrected by OJ L 226, 25.6.2004, p. 22.
- (12) OJ L 139, 30.4.2004, p. 206, corrected by OJ L 226, 25.6.2004, p. 83.
- (13) OJ L 229, 30.8.1980, p. 1.
- (14) OJ L 175, 4.7.1991, p. 35.
- (15) OJ L 91, 7.4.1999, p. 29.
- (16) [^{F1}The test results may be used also for demonstrating the effectiveness of the hazard analysis and critical control point principles or good hygiene procedure of the process.]

Textual Amendments

F1 Substituted by Commission Regulation (EC) No 1441/2007 of 5 December 2007 amending Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs (Text with EEA relevance).

Status:

Point in time view as at 01/12/2011.

Changes to legislation:

There are currently no known outstanding effects for the Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005.