Commission Regulation (EU) No 655/2013 of 10 July 2013 laying down common criteria for the justification of claims used in relation to cosmetic products (Text with EEA relevance)

- Article 1 This Regulation shall apply to claims in the form of...
- Article 2 The responsible person referred to in Article 4 of Regulation...
- Article 3 This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following... Signature

ANNEX

COMMON CRITERIA

- 1. Legal compliance
 - (1) Claims that indicate that the product has been authorised or...
 - (2) The acceptability of a claim shall be based on the...
 - (3) Claims which convey the idea that a product has a...
- 2. Truthfulness
 - (1) If it is claimed on the product that it contains...
 - (2) Ingredient claims referring to the properties of a specific ingredient...
 - (3) Marketing communications shall not imply that expressions of opinions are...
- 3. Evidential support
 - (1) Claims for cosmetic products, whether explicit or implicit, shall be...
 - (2) Evidence for claim substantiation shall take into account state of...
 - (3) Where studies are being used as evidence, they shall be...
 - (4) The level of evidence or substantiation shall be consistent with...
 - (5) Statements of clear exaggeration which are not to be taken...
 - (6) A claim extrapolating (explicitly or implicitly) ingredient properties to the...
 - (7) Assessment of the acceptability of a claim shall be based...
- 4. Honesty
 - (1) Presentations of a product's performance shall not go beyond the...
 - (2) Claims shall not attribute to the product concerned specific (i.e....
 - (3) If the action of a product is linked to specific...
- 5. Fairness
 - (1) Claims for cosmetic products shall be objective and shall not...
 - (2) Claims for cosmetic products shall not create confusion with the...
- 6. Informed decision-making
 - (1) Claims shall be clear and understandable to the average end...
 - (2) Claims are an integral part of products and shall contain...
 - (3) Marketing communications shall take into account the capacity of the...

Status: This is the original version (as it was originally adopted).

- (**1**) OJ L 342, 22.12.2009, p. 59.
- (**2**) OJ L 364, 9.12.2004, p. 1.
- (**3**) OJ L 149, 11.6.2005, p. 22.
- (4) OJ L 376, 27.12.2006, p. 21.
- (5) See e.g. Case C-220/98, Estée Lauder Cosmetics vs. Lancaster [2000] ECR I-00117, paragraph 29.