

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO
The Rules of the Court of Judicature (Northern Ireland)
(Amendment) 2014

SR 2014 No. 220

1. Introduction

- 1.1. This Explanatory Memorandum has been prepared by the Department of Justice to accompany the Statutory Rule (detailed above) which is laid before the Northern Ireland Assembly.
- 1.2. The Statutory Rule is made under section 55 and 55A of the Judicature (Northern Ireland) Act 1978 and is subject to the negative resolution procedure.

2. Purpose

- 2.1. These Rules repeal Order 60 (Appeals to the Court of Appeal from the Restrictive Practices Court) and make minor amendments to Order 107 (Commissioners for oaths and notaries public) and Form 54.
- 2.2. These Rules introduce a new Order 127 to give effect to the requirements of Directive 2010/64/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20th October 2010 on the right to interpretation and translation in criminal proceedings (“the Directive”). Order 127 makes provision for interpretation and translation services, where necessary, for parties to proceedings in criminal cases or matters dealt with under the Rules.

3. Background

- 3.1. The Repeal of Order 60 and minor amendment are consequential to the repeal of the Restrictive Trade Practices Court Act 1976.
- 3.2. The minor amendments to Order 107 and Form 54 are to replace references to ‘magistrates’ with ‘District Judges (Magistrates’ Courts)’
- 3.3. This instrument amends the Rules of the Court of Judicature (Northern Ireland) 1980 to introduce consequential and minor amendments and to make provision for interpretation and translation services.
- 3.4. A right to interpretation for defendants who do not speak or understand English in criminal proceedings in Northern Ireland has long been recognised at common law. It is the court’s responsibility to satisfy itself that the interpretation is adequate. The court also has the inherent power to order written translations.
- 3.5. The European Convention on Human Rights contains guarantees that bear on interpretation and translation and the Directive facilitates the application of that right in practice.

- 3.6. The amendment codifies the procedure where the defendant needs interpretation, that is, where he or she does not speak or understand English. The right to interpretation includes appropriate assistance for persons with hearing or speech impediments.
- 3.7. The amendment creates a procedure to ascertain whether a defendant needs interpretation; a power for the court to deal with complaints about the provision of interpretation or translation and a requirement for the court to record where interpretation is used and where a defendant waives the right to translation.

4. Consultation

- 4.1 The Court of Judicature Rules Committee recommended the repeal of Order 60; amendments Order 107; and update to Form 54. There was no consultation on these amendments, as they concern consequential amendments to the repeal of the Restrictive Trade Practices Court Act 1976 and minor clerical amendments to replace references to ‘magistrates’ with ‘District Judges (Magistrates’ Courts)’.
- 4.2 The amendments made by these rules were proposed by the Department of Justice to give administrative effect to the Directive. There was no formal public consultation on the terms of the amendment as the changes largely codify existing arrangements for interpretation and translation services to ensure they meet the obligations of the Directive. The Rule has been made by the Court of Judicature Rules Committee which is chaired by the Lord Chief Justice and comprises members of the judiciary and the legal profession.

5. Equality Impact

- 5.1 The changes to give effect to the Directive have been the subject of an equality screening exercise. We believe the introduction of these new arrangements will be beneficial and will have no adverse effect in section 75 terms. The arrangements will enhance the right of foreign nationals and those with a speech or hearing impediment to a fair trial.
- 5.2 The repeal of Order 60, amendments to Order 107 and update to Form 54 have not been screened as they are technical changes to the administrative arrangements of the Court.

6. Regulatory Impact

- 6.1. These rules have no impact on business, charities or voluntary bodies.
- 6.2. These rules have no impact of themselves on the public sector, because they largely codify rules of law already current.

7. Financial Implications

- 7.1 Interpretation and translation services are already provided by the court and it is anticipated that this amendment should not incur any additional costs. The court may decide that an oral interpretation or oral summary, instead of a written translation, may be sufficient because it would not prejudice the fairness of proceedings. The

amendment therefore provides a waiver to the right to the translation of essential documents, provided that a person does so voluntarily after receiving legal advice or having full knowledge of the consequences and gives their fully informed consent.

8. Section 24 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998

8.1 The proposal is considered to be compatible with section 24 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998.

9. EU Implications

9.1 This Rule gives effect to the requirements of Directive 2010/64/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20th October 2010 on the right to interpretation and translation in criminal proceedings.

10. Parity or Replicatory Measure

10.1 The Directive applies to all jurisdictions of the United Kingdom. Similar amendment to court rules has been made for England and Wales and Scotland.

11. Additional Information

11.1 Not applicable.