# EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO

# THE RUSHMOOR (ELECTORAL CHANGES) ORDER 2012

#### 2012 No. Draft

1. This explanatory memorandum has been prepared by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (the Commission) and is laid before Parliament by Command of Her Majesty.

#### 2. Purpose of the instrument

2.1. The Order provides for new district wards and numbers of district councillors for the district of Rushmoor at the district elections in 2012.

#### 3. Matters of special interest to the Joint Committee on Statutory Instruments

3.1. None.

#### 4. Legislative context

- 4.1. The Commission has power under section 59 of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 (the 2009 Act) to make an order giving effect to recommendations contained in a report prepared, under section 58(4) of the 2009 Act, after conducting an electoral review under section 56 (1) of that Act. This instrument is being made to give effect to the Commission's recommendations for new electoral arrangements set out in its report prepared following an electoral review of the district of Rushmoor.
- 4.2. This instrument is one of a group of two similar ones laid before Parliament together. The other is the Broxbourne (Electoral Changes) Order 2012.

#### 5. Territorial extent and application

5.1. This instrument applies to England.

# 6. European Convention on Human Rights

6.1. As the instrument is subject to the negative resolution procedure and does not amend primary legislation, no statement is required.

# 7. Policy background

• What is being done and why

- 7.1. The purpose of an electoral review is to decide on the appropriate electoral arrangements including the number of councillors and the names, number and boundaries of wards or divisions for a specific local authority. The Commission began the electoral review of Rushmoor on 14 September 2010. The Commission decided to carry out the review as, based on the December 2009 electorate figures, over 30% of wards in the district had electoral variances of over 10% from the average, most notably in Wellington ward which had 20% more electors per councillor than the district average. The situation was forecast to worsen following significant development planned over the next five years.
- 7.2. An electoral review aims to ensure that the number of electors represented by each county or district councillor is as close to equal as possible, but the recommendations must also reflect community identities and interests and ensure that the wards or divisions allow for effective and convenient local government. To achieve these aims, the Commission tries to ensure that the number of electors per councillor in every ward or division it recommends varies by no more than 10% from the average, to ensure good levels of electoral equality, but is happy to show flexibility in varying by more than 10% from the average based on the evidence provided to it during the consultation stages of the review. Following a four-stage review process the Commission published its "Final recommendations on the electoral arrangements for Rushmoor Borough Council"<sup>1</sup> on 18 October 2011.
- 7.3. The Order provides for changes to the electoral arrangements for the district of Rushmoor at the district elections in 2012 as recommended by the Commission. The existing wards of the district will be replaced by 13 new ones. Each ward will return three councillors. The Commission evaluated how many wards would have electoral variances of more than 10% to give an overall indication of how its recommendations would improve electoral equality across the district. The number of electors represented by each councillor in each ward is not predicted to vary by more than 10% from the average across the district by 2016.
- 7.4. To give effect to all or any of its recommendations, the Commission, under section 59 of the 2009 Act, can include provisions in its order to provide for the number of councillors to be returned by any electoral area, the election of councillors and the order of retirement of councillors for any electoral area. In order to implement the new electoral arrangements for the district, article 4 of the Order makes provision that there should be a whole council election in 2012 on the new warding arrangements. The district will revert back to the established system of elections by thirds in subsequent years. That article provides for the order of retirement of councillors and therefore the number of councillors for each ward that will be elected for 2014, 2015 and 2016. Under the district in 2013.
- Consolidation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>http://www.lgbce.org.uk/\_\_documents/lgbce-documents/draftfinal-reports-and-consultation-papers/2011-draftfinal-reports/rushmoor-final-recommendations-oct2011-final.pdf</u>

7.5. The Order does not amend or revoke any legislation.

# 8. Consultation outcome

- 8.1. The Order gives effect to recommendations that were consulted on during the Commission's review of electoral arrangements from September 2010 until October 2011. There was an initial 6-week consultation, during which the Commission asked for proposals on the most appropriate number of councillors for the district followed by a 12-week consultation on the most appropriate ward boundaries for the district. Having considered the submissions received, the Commission published its "Draft recommendations on the new electoral arrangements for Rushmoor Borough Council"<sup>2</sup> on 24 May 2011. Following a further 12-week consultation on the draft recommendations the Commission considered further evidence received and published its final recommendations.
- 8.2. During the course of the review, the Commission received approximately 18 responses. The consultations involved Rushmoor Borough Council, elected members, local organisations and other interested parties. The Commission considered that a council size of 39 would ensure effective and convenient local government for the district. On the basis of the submissions received, the Commission based its draft recommendations on the submission from Rushmoor Borough Council with some modifications in the centre and north of Farnborough to provide more clearly defined ward boundaries that reflect community interests.
- 8.3. In response to the consultation on the draft recommendations, the Commission modified its draft recommendations in respect of two ward names. It recommended changing the names of the proposed Grange Field ward and Manor & Grove ward to Cherrywood and Manor Park respectively. This was primarily in response to a submission from the Aldershot Constituency Liberal Democrats.
- 8.4. The Commission also received submissions in opposition to the draft recommendations for Knellwood, Empress and St Mark's wards which proposed minor modifications to their ward boundaries. However the Commission was not persuaded that these proposals would ensure clearly defined ward boundaries that reflected community identities.
- 8.5. A detailed analysis of the outcome of the consultation is set out in the report "Final recommendations on the electoral arrangements for Rushmoor Borough Council" which is available at <u>http://www.lgbce.org.uk/all-reviews/south-east/hampshire/rushmoor-electoral-review</u>.

# 9. Guidance

9.1. The Commission does not intend to issue any guidance alongside this instrument. This is not considered necessary as the Order is self-explanatory and gives effect to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>http://www.lgbce.org.uk/\_\_documents/lgbce-documents/draftfinal-reports-and-consultation-papers/2011-draftfinal-reports/rushmoor-draft-recommendations-final-report-2011-05-20.pdf</u>

recommendations following consultation with interested parties as to the changes to electoral arrangements.

9.2. Once the Order has been made, the Commission will publish a press release and distribute to local media advising that new electoral arrangements will be implemented at the next local elections. The press release will also direct interested parties to the Commission's website where the final recommendations will be available in detail.

# **10. Impact**

- 10.1. No impact assessment has been prepared because no impact on the private sector or the voluntary sector is foreseen.
- 10.2. The impact on the public sector will be limited to the area for which the Order makes provision. The one-off cost of producing the map referred to by the instrument is to be funded by the Commission. The one-off cost of amending the electoral register to reflect the new district wards is to be funded by Rushmoor Borough Council.

#### **11. Regulating small business**

11.1. The Order does not apply to small business.

#### 12. Monitoring and review

- 12.1. The Commission will have no role in monitoring Rushmoor Borough Council's implementation of the Rushmoor (Electoral Changes) Order 2012. The Commission is not required to undertake such monitoring; that is a matter for the relevant officers of Rushmoor Borough Council.
- 12.2. The Order will be reviewed insofar as the Commission continually monitors local authorities in England to identify any that meet its criteria for electoral reviews.

# 13. Contact

13.1. Marcus Bowell at the Commission (Tel: 0207 664 8530 or email: marcus.bowell@lgbce.org.uk) can answer any queries regarding the instrument.