



# Theft Act 1968

## 1968 CHAPTER 60

### *Enforcement and procedure*

#### **26 Search for stolen goods.**

(1) If it is made to appear by information on oath before a justice of the peace that there is reasonable cause to believe that any person has in his custody or possession or on his premises any stolen goods, the justice may grant a warrant to search for and seize the same; but no warrant to search for stolen goods shall be addressed to a person other than a constable except under the authority of an enactment expressly so providing.

<sup>F1</sup>(2) .....

(3) Where under this section a person is authorised to search premises for stolen goods, he may enter and search the premises accordingly, and may seize any goods he believes to be stolen goods.

<sup>F2</sup>(4) .....

(5) This section is to be construed in accordance with section 24 of this Act; and in subsection (2) above the references to handling stolen goods shall include any corresponding offence committed before the commencement of this act.

#### **Textual Amendments**

**F1** S. 26(2) repealed by [Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 \(c. 60, SIF 95\)](#), s. 121, [Sch. 7 Pt. I](#)

**F2** S. 26(4) repealed by [Criminal Justice Act 1972 \(c. 71\)](#), [Sch. 6 Pt. II](#)

#### **Modifications etc. (not altering text)**

**C1** S. 26(3): Powers of seizure extended (1.4.2003) by [2001 c. 16, ss. 50, 52-54, 68, 138\(2\)](#), [Sch. 1 Pt. 1 para. 10](#); [S.I. 2003/708](#), [art. 2](#)

S. 26(3) modified (1.4.2003) by [2001 c. 16, ss. 55, 57\(3\), 68, 138\(2\)](#), [Sch. 1 Pt. 3 para. 92](#); [S.I. 2003/708](#), [art. 2](#)

---

*Status: Point in time view as at 28/05/2013.*

*Changes to legislation: There are currently no known outstanding effects for the Theft Act 1968, Cross Heading: Enforcement and procedure. (See end of Document for details)*

---

## 27 Evidence and procedure on charge of theft or handling stolen goods.

- (1) Any number of persons may be charged in one indictment, with reference to the same theft, with having at different times or at the same time handled all or any of the stolen goods, and the persons so charged may be tried together.
- (2) On the trial of two or more persons indicted for jointly handling any stolen goods the jury may find any of the accused guilty if the jury are satisfied that he handled all or any of the stolen goods, whether or not he did so jointly with the other accused or any of them.
- (3) Where a person is being proceeded against for handling stolen goods (but not for any offence other than handling stolen goods), then at any stage of the proceedings, if evidence has been given of his having or arranging to have in his possession the goods the subject of the charge, or of his undertaking or assisting in, or arranging to undertake or assist in, their retention, removal, disposal or realisation, the following evidence shall be admissible for the purpose of proving that he knew or believed the goods to be stolen goods:—
  - (a) evidence that he has had in his possession, or has undertaken or assisted in the retention, removal, disposal or realisation of, stolen goods from any theft taking place not earlier than twelve months before the offence charged; and
  - (b) (provided that seven days' notice in writing has been given to him of the intention to prove the conviction) evidence that he has within the five years preceding the date of the offence charged been convicted of theft or of handling stolen goods.
- (4) In any proceedings for the theft of anything in the course of transmission (whether by post or otherwise), or for handling stolen goods from such a theft, a statutory declaration made by any person that he despatched or received or failed to receive any goods or postal packet, or that any goods or postal packet when despatched or received by him were in a particular state or condition, shall be admissible as evidence of the facts stated in the declaration, subject to the following conditions:—
  - (a) a statutory declaration shall only be admissible where and to the extent to which oral evidence to the like effect would have been admissible in the proceedings; and
  - (b) a statutory declaration shall only be admissible if at least seven days before the hearing or trial a copy of it has been given to the person charged, and he has not, at least three days before the hearing or trial or within such further time as the court may in special circumstances allow, given the prosecutor written notice requiring the attendance at the hearing or trial of the person making the declaration.

<sup>F3</sup>(4A) .....

- (5) This section is to be construed in accordance with section 24 of this Act; and in subsection (3)(b) above the reference to handling stolen goods shall include any corresponding offence committed before the commencement of this Act.

### Textual Amendments

- F3** S. 27(4A) repealed (18.6.2012 for specified purposes, 5.11.2012 for specified purposes, 28.5.2013 for remaining purposes) by [Criminal Justice Act 2003 \(c. 44\)](#), s. 336(3)(4), Sch. 3 para. 46, [Sch. 37 Pt. 4](#); [S.I. 2012/1320](#), art. 4(1)(c)(d)(2)(3) (with art. 5) (see [S.I. 2012/2574](#), art. 4(2) and [S.I. 2013/1103](#), art.

*Status: Point in time view as at 28/05/2013.*

*Changes to legislation: There are currently no known outstanding effects for the Theft Act 1968, Cross Heading: Enforcement and procedure. (See end of Document for details)*

4); S.I. 2012/2574, art. 2(2)(3)(c)(d), Sch. (with arts. 34) (as amended (4.11.2012) by S.I. 2012/2761, art. 2) (with S.I. 2013/1103, art. 4); S.I. 2013/1103, art. 2(1)(c)(d)(2)(3) (with arts. 34)

**Modifications etc. (not altering text)**

**C2** S. 27(4) applied (with modifications) (26.3.2001) by 2000 c. 26, s. 109(2); S.I. 2001/878, art. 2, Sch. (subject to transitional provisions and savings in art. 16)

**F4**28 .....

**Textual Amendments**

**F4** S. 28 repealed (25.8.2000) by 2000 c. 6, ss. 165, 168, Sch. 12 Pt. I (with Sch. 11 paras. 1,2)

**29 Jurisdiction of quarter sessions, and summary trial.**

**F5**(1) .....

**X1**(2) In Schedule I to the <sup>M1</sup> Magistrates' Courts Act 1952 (which lists the indictable offences by adults which may be tried summarily with the consent of the accused) for paragraph 11 there shall be substituted:—

- “11 Any indictable offence under the Theft Act 1968 except—
- (a) robbery, aggravated burglary, blackmail and assault with intent to rob; and
  - (b) burglary comprising the commission of, or an intention to commit, an offence which is not included in this Schedule; and
  - (c) burglary in a dwelling if entry to the dwelling or the part of it in which the burglary was committed, or to any building or part of a building containing the dwelling, was obtained by force or deception or by the use of any tool, key or appliance, or if any person in the dwelling was subjected to violence or the threat of violence; and
  - (d) handling stolen goods from an offence not committed in the United Kingdom.”

**Editorial Information**

**X1** The text of s. 29(2) is in the form in which it was originally enacted: it was not reproduced in Statutes in Force and does not reflect any amendments or repeals which may have been made prior to 1.2.1991.

**Textual Amendments**

**F5** S. 29(1) repealed by Courts Act 1971 (c. 23), Sch. 11 Pt. IV

**Marginal Citations**

**M1** 1952 c. 55

**Status:**

Point in time view as at 28/05/2013.

**Changes to legislation:**

There are currently no known outstanding effects for the Theft Act 1968, Cross Heading: Enforcement and procedure.