

# Economic Crime and Corporate Transparency Act 2023

**2023 CHAPTER 56** 

### PART 5

#### MISCELLANEOUS

Power to strike out certain claims

## **194** Strategic litigation against public participation: requirement to make rules of court

- (1) The power to make Civil Procedure Rules must be exercised so as to secure that Civil Procedure Rules include provision for ensuring that a claim may be struck out before trial where the court determines—
  - (a) that the claim is a SLAPP claim (see section 195), and
  - (b) that the claimant has failed to show that it is more likely than not that the claim would succeed at trial.
- (2) Rules made in compliance with subsection (1) may include rules about how a determination under that subsection is to be made, including (in particular)—
  - (a) rules for determining the nature and extent of the evidence that may or must be considered;
  - (b) rules about the extent to which evidence may or must be tested;
  - (c) rules permitting or requiring the court to determine matters of fact by way of presumptions.
- (3) Rules made in compliance with subsection (1) must include rules under which the court may make a determination under that subsection of its own motion.
- (4) The power to make Civil Procedure Rules must be exercised so as to secure that Civil Procedure Rules include provision for securing that, in respect of a SLAPP claim, a

Status: This is the original version (as it was originally enacted).

court may not order a defendant to pay the claimant's costs except where, in the court's view, misconduct of the defendant in relation to the claim justifies such an order.

- (5) The Lord Chancellor may by regulations provide for subsections (1) to (4) to apply in relation to any rules of court that may be specified in the regulations as those subsections apply in relation to Civil Procedure Rules.
- (6) In this section—
  - "court" includes a tribunal;

"rules of court" means rules relating to the practice and procedure of a court or tribunal.

#### 195 Meaning of "SLAPP" claim

- (1) For the purposes of section 194 a claim is a "SLAPP claim" if-
  - (a) the claimant's behaviour in relation to the matters complained of in the claim has, or is intended to have, the effect of restraining the defendant's exercise of the right to freedom of speech,
  - (b) any of the information that is or would be disclosed by the exercise of that right has to do with economic crime,
  - (c) any part of that disclosure is or would be made for a purpose related to the public interest in combating economic crime, and
  - (d) any of the behaviour of the claimant in relation to the matters complained of in the claim is intended to cause the defendant—
    - (i) harassment, alarm or distress,
    - (ii) expense, or
    - (iii) any other harm or inconvenience,

beyond that ordinarily encountered in the course of properly conducted litigation.

- (2) For the purposes of determining whether a claim meets the condition in subsection (1)
  (a) or (c), any limitation prescribed by law on the exercise of the right to freedom of speech (for example in relation to the making of defamatory statements) is to be ignored.
- (3) For the purposes of this section, information mentioned in subsection (1)(b) "has to do with economic crime" if—
  - (a) it relates to behaviour or circumstances which the defendant reasonably believes (or, as the case requires, believed) to be evidence of the commission of an economic crime, or
  - (b) the defendant has (or, as the case requires, had) reason to suspect that an economic crime may have occurred and believes (or, as the case requires, believed) that the disclosure of the information would facilitate an investigation into whether such a crime has (or had) occurred.
- (4) In determining whether any behaviour of the claimant falls within subsection (1)(d), the court may, in particular, take into account—
  - (a) whether the behaviour is a disproportionate reaction to the matters complained of in the claim, including whether the costs incurred by the claimant are out of proportion to the remedy sought;
  - (b) whether the defendant has access to fewer resources with which to defend the claim than another person against whom the claimant could have brought

Status: This is the original version (as it was originally enacted).

(but did not bring) proceedings in relation to the matters complained of in the claim;

- (c) any relevant failure, or anticipated failure, by the claimant to comply with a pre-action protocol, rule of court or practice direction, or to comply with or follow a rule or recommendation of a professional regulatory body.
- (5) For the purposes of subsection (4)(c) a failure, or anticipated failure, is "relevant" so far as it relates to—
  - (a) the choice of jurisdiction,
  - (b) the use of dilatory strategies,
  - (c) the nature or amount of material sought on disclosure,
  - (d) the way to respond to requests for comment or clarification,
  - (e) the use of correspondence,
  - (f) making or responding to offers to settle, or
  - (g) the use of alternative dispute resolution procedures.
- (6) In this section—

"court" has the same meaning as in section 194;

"economic crime" has the meaning given by section 193(1);

"the right to freedom of speech" means the right set out in Article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights (freedom of expression) so far as it consists of a right to impart ideas, opinions or information by means of speech, writing or images (including in electronic form).

(7) In the definition of "the right to freedom of speech" in subsection (6) "the European Convention on Human Rights" means the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms agreed by the Council of Europe at Rome on 4 November 1950 as it has effect for the time being in relation to the United Kingdom.