#### EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO

## THE OFFSHORE MARINE CONSERVATION (NATURAL HABITATS, &c.) REGULATIONS 2007

2007 No. 1842

## THE CONSERVATION (NATURAL HABITATS, &c.) (AMENDMENT) REGULATIONS 2007

2007 No. 1843

## THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL DEVELOPMENT PROCEDURE) (AMENDMENT) (ENGLAND) ORDER 2007

#### 2007 No. 1844

1. This explanatory memorandum has been prepared by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) and the Department for Communities and Local Government and is laid before Parliament by Command of Her Majesty.

This memorandum contains information for the Joint Committee on Statutory Instruments.

#### 2. Description

2.1 The Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, & c.) Regulations 2007 (SI 2007/1842)(the "Offshore Marine Regulations") seek to ensure that activities in marine areas where the United Kingdom has jurisdiction beyond its territorial sea - broadly from 12 nautical miles to 200 nautical miles from the United Kingdom's coastal baseline - are carried out in a manner that is consistent with Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (the "Habitats Directive") and Council Directive 79/409/EEC on the conservation of wild birds (the "Wild Birds Directive"). The Regulations make provision in relation to the offshore marine area, offshore marine installations and certain ships and aircraft. The transposition of the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives beyond territorial waters will afford protection to marine species and wild birds listed by the respective Directives, as well as requiring habitats to be identified and protected as "European offshore marine sites" (see paragraph 2.4 below). The Offshore Marine Regulations also include provisions to deal with the requirements of Directive 2006/105/EC, which amended the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives as a result of the enlargement of the European Union to include two new member States (Bulgaria and Romania) in 2007. A transposition note at Appendix I sets out the transposition of the Directives in respect of the offshore marine area.

- 2.2 The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) (Amendment) Regulations 2007 (SI 2007/1843) (the "Amendment Regulations") amend the Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 (SI 1994/2716) (the "Habitats Regulations") in order to address current gaps and inconsistencies and to create greater legal certainty in a number of areas. The Amendment Regulations also include amendments to deal with the requirements of Directive 2006/105/EC. A transposition note at Appendix II sets out the provisions of the Directives in respect of which the Amendment Regulations make changes to our transposition.
- 2.3 The Town and Country Planning (General Development Procedure) (Amendment) (England) Order 2007 (SI 2007/1844) (the "Amendment Order") revokes the requirement in article 2B(15)(c) of the Town and Country Planning (General Development Procedure) Order 1995 (SI 1995/419) (the "General Development Procedure Order") prohibiting the making of a local development order which would grant planning permission for development that is likely to have a significant effect on a "European site", as defined in regulation 10 of the Habitats Regulations. The Amendment Regulations insert into the Habitats Regulations a similar provision to the one revoked by the Amendment Order, though the new provision applies in respect of effects on "European offshore marine sites" as well as other "European sites".
- 2.4 In broad terms, "European sites" are Special Areas of Conservation designated pursuant to the Habitats Directive and Special Protection Areas classified pursuant to the Wild Birds Directive. In this memorandum, the term "European offshore marine site" is used to describe a "European site" located in the offshore marine area.

#### 3. Matters of special interest to the Joint Committee on Statutory Instruments

- 3.1 The JCSI should be aware that "intention" and "recklessness" (in some cases "intention" alone) have been used as the *mens rea* for the offences in regulation 32 of the Offshore Marine Regulations, while "deliberate" has been used in respect of the offences in regulations 34(1) and 39(1) of the Offshore Marine Regulations (and in new regulation 39(1) of the Habitats Regulations, as substituted by regulation 5(13) of the Amendment Regulations).
- 3.2 This distinction is deliberate, and results from the fact that the provisions implement different Articles of the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives.
- 3.3 Regulation 39(1) (of both sets of Regulations identified above) implements Article 12(1), which uses the term "deliberate" to define certain of the actions that must be prohibited in respect of protected species. The European Court of Justice (ECJ) has given two decisions relevant to the meaning of the word "deliberate" in this context.
- 3.4 In the first case, C-103/00 *Commission v. the Hellenic Republic*, of 30th January 2002, the ECJ held, in the context of Article 12(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive, which concerns the deliberate disturbance of a protected species, that:
  - 35. It is apparent from the documents before the Court that at the time the facts were ascertained by the Commission's officials, the use of mopeds on the breeding beaches was prohibited and notices indicating the presence of turtle nests on the beaches had been erected. As regards the sea area around Gerakas and Dafni, it had been classified as an absolute protection area and special notices had been erected there...

36. It follows that the use of mopeds on the sand beach to the east of Laganas and the presence of pedalos and small boats in the sea area around Gerakas and Dafni constitute the deliberate disturbance of the species in question during its breeding period for the purposes of Article 12(1)(b) of the Directive."

This clearly extends the terms "deliberate" beyond its literal scope.

- 3.5 In the second case, C-221/04 *Commission v. the Kingdom of Spain*, of 18 May 2006, the ECJ held, in the context of Article 12(1)(a) of the Habitats Directive, which concerns deliberate capture or killing of a protected species, that:
  - 71. For the condition as to 'deliberate' action in Article 12(1)(a) of the directive to be met, it must be proven that the author of the act intended the capture or killing of a specimen belonging to a protected animal species or, at the very least, accepted the possibility of such capture or killing.

Again, this clearly goes beyond the literal meaning of the word "deliberate".

- 3.6 The extent of the term "deliberate" for the purposes of each of the sub-paragraphs of Article 12(1) of the Habitats Directive is not clear at present. For example, it is unknown whether a broad interpretation of "deliberate" would also apply to Article 12(1)(c), which concerns the deliberate destruction or taking of eggs. Additionally, it may be the case that the ECJ will further refine its definition(s) of this concept in future decisions. In the circumstances, Defra did not feel it would be appropriate, in transposing Article 12(1), to adopt anything other than a copy-out approach. Hence, the word "deliberate" has been used in regulation 39(1). The implications of the ECJ's decision have, however, been fully explained in guidance.
- 3.7 It is considered that the ECJ would be likely to apply a similar interpretation to the term "deliberate" in Article 5 of the Wild Birds Directive, which is transposed by regulation 34 of the Offshore Marine Regulations, where again "deliberately" has been used in paragraph (1).
- 3.8 The extended meaning given by the ECJ to the word "deliberate" also explains why regulations 34(4) to (6) and 39(9) to (11) of the Offshore Marine Regulations (and the equivalent paragraphs of new regulation 39 of the Habitats Regulations, as substituted by the Amendment Regulations) contemplate that the offence of deliberate capture, killing, injury or disturbance might be committed where the defendant did not intend that his action would result in a capture, killing, injury or disturbance.
- 3.9 In contrast to the above position, regulation 32, which creates a number of offences in respect of European offshore marine sites, relies on the *mens rea* "intention" and "recklessness". This is because the difficulties identified above do not exist in the context of this provision, which implements Article 6(2) of the Habitats Directive.

3.10 Article 6(2) requires Member States to take appropriate steps to avoid, in European sites (including European offshore marine sites), the deterioration of natural habitats and the habitats of species as well as disturbance of the species for which the areas have been designated, in so far as such disturbance could be significant in relation to the objectives of the Habitats Directive. In terms of "appropriate steps", Defra has chosen to implement Article 6(2) in the offshore marine area by, amongst other things, offences of "intention" and "recklessness" in regulation 32.

#### 4. Legislative Background

- 4.1 The Habitats Regulations are the principal means by which the Habitats Directive is transposed for Great Britain and its territorial seas. Similar Regulations, the Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1995 (SR (NI)1995/380), transpose the Habitats Directive in relation to Northern Ireland.
- 4.2 The Offshore Marine Regulations and the Amendment Regulations are being made to comply with two recent ECJ judgments against the United Kingdom, C-6/04 *Commission v United Kingdom* and C-131/05 *Commission v United Kingdom*, concerning the failure of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to fulfil its obligations under Articles 6(2), 6(3), 6(4), 11, 12(1), 12(2), 12(4), 13(1), 14(2), 15 and 16 of the Habitats Directive, as well as the whole Directive beyond the United Kingdom's territorial waters.
- 4.3 The Scottish Ministers have made (SSI 2007/80) and the Department of the Environment for Northern Ireland are making regulations, similar to the Amendment Regulations, for Scotland and Northern Ireland, respectively.
- 4.4 The Offshore Marine Regulations will ensure that activities in marine areas where the United Kingdom has jurisdiction beyond its territorial sea are carried out in a manner that is consistent with the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives. Two sets of legislation are already in place which transpose parts of the Habitats Directive in respect of the offshore marine area in relation to specific activities, namely:
- the Offshore Petroleum Activities (Conservation of Habitats) Regulations 2001 (SI 2001/1754) with respect to oil and gas activities.
- the Environmental Impact Assessment and Natural Habitats (Extraction of Minerals by Marine Dredging) (England and Northern Ireland) Regulations 2007 (SI 2007/1067) (hereafter referred to as the "Marine Dredging Regulations") with respect to marine minerals dredging.

The new Regulations will not duplicate the effect of these instruments.

- 4.5 The Amendment Regulations will:
- amend the species protection regime to better reflect the requirements of the Habitats Directive;
- provide a clear legal basis for surveillance and monitoring of European protected species (EPS);
- extend trade controls so the regime applies to all wild Annex IV and II(b) species (other than bryophytes) and not just those whose natural range includes Great Britain;
- ensure that the requirement to carry out "appropriate assessments" (under Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive) of water abstraction consents and land use plans is explicit.

- 4.6 In addition, the Amendment Regulations will:
- amend Section 10 of the Conservation of Seals Act 1970 to ensure that any licence issued under the Act does not authorise the use of methods for killing or taking seals which are prohibited under regulation 41 of the Habitats Regulations.
- amend the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (WCA) so that EPS are removed from the scope of certain WCA offences. This ensures that there is no conflict between the two pieces of legislation.
- 4.7 The Offshore Marine Regulations and the Amendment Regulations were agreed by the Ministerial Committee on European Policy on 16th March 2007.
- 4.8 Part IV of the Habitats Regulations transposes the requirements of Article 6(2), (3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive, which requires, amongst other things, "appropriate assessments" to be carried out where proposed development is likely to have a significant effect on a European site. As stated in paragraph 4.2 above, part of the ECJ's judgment in case C-6/04 was that the United Kingdom had failed adequately to transpose the Directive in that the obligations imposed by the Directive extend to the offshore marine area and hence, amongst other matters, impose obligations in respect of European offshore marine sites.
- 4.9 The Amendment Order is made in conjunction with the Amendment Regulations which, amongst other matters, amend the Habitats Regulations to provide for appropriate assessments to extend, in respect of European offshore marine sites, to those planning consents set out in Part IV of the Habitats Regulations. A new regulation 64A is inserted into the Habitats Regulations by the Amendment Regulations to prohibit the making of a local development order which would grant planning permission for development that is likely to have a significant effect on a European offshore marine site or other European site (e.g. sites located in terrestrial areas, internal waters or the territorial sea). Consequently, the Amendment Order revokes article 2B(15)(c) of the General Development Procedure Order, which was to similar effect as new regulation 64A of the Habitats Regulations, though it did not, of course, extend to European offshore marine sites (since this category of sites has just been introduced by the Offshore Marine Regulations).

#### 5. Territorial Extent and Application

- 5.1 The Offshore Marine Regulations apply to the United Kingdom's offshore marine area, which means any part of the seabed and subsoil situated in any area designated under section 1(7) of the Continental Shelf Act 1964 (effectively the United Kingdom sector of the continental shelf) and any part of the waters within British fishery limits (except the internal waters of, and the territorial sea adjacent to, the United Kingdom, the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man).
- 5.2 The Amendment Regulations principally extend only to England and Wales, and, in so far as they amend the Habitats Regulations in relation to England and Wales, similar amendments to the Habitats Regulations have been made in respect of Scotland by SSI 2007/80. However, certain amendments contained in the Amendment Regulations also extend to Scotland, and certain other amendments only extend to Scotland. In terms of territorial application, the Amendment Regulations and the Habitats Regulations apply to terrestrial areas, internal waters and the territorial sea (i.e. out to 12 nautical miles).
- 5.3 The Amendment Order applies in relation to England only

#### 6. European Convention on Human Rights

- 6.1 As the Offshore Marine Regulations and the Amendment Order are subject to negative resolution procedure and do not amend primary legislation, no statement is required with regard to those instruments.
- 6.2 In respect of the Amendment Regulations, the Minister for Biodiversity, Landscape and Rural Affairs, Mr Barry Gardiner, has made the following statement regarding Human Rights:

"In my view the provisions of the Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) (Amendment) Regulations 2007 are compatible with the Convention rights."

#### 7. Policy background

- 7.1 The objective of the Habitats Directive is to protect biodiversity through conservation of natural habitats and species of wild fauna and flora. The objective of the Wild Birds Directive is to conserve wild bird populations. Both lay down rules for the protection, management and exploitation of such species. The Offshore Marine Regulations fulfil these objectives in the United Kingdom's offshore marine area (broadly, beyond 12 nautical miles from the coastal baseline and out to 200 nautical miles) by ensuring that activities beyond territorial waters are carried out in a manner that is consistent with each Directive. The Habitats Regulations aim to fulfil the objectives of the Habitats Directive in respect of terrestrial areas, internal waters and the territorial sea.
- 7.2 Apart from the United Kingdom's legal obligations to protect European marine species and habitats, the Government is committed to a vision of 'clean, healthy, safe and productive and biologically diverse oceans and seas'. Development and exploitative human activity in the marine environment has been found to degrade the environment and negatively impact on marine biodiversity, as set out in the Government report Charting Progress An Integrated Assessment of the State of UK Seas.
- 7.3 Current legislation does not fully implement the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives in the offshore marine area, and without statutory protection, there would be a decline in species and habitats of European importance. Hence the need for the Offshore Marine Regulations. Lack of protection of these species and habitats could also contribute to a reduction in the resilience of marine ecosystems which could affect the value of marine biodiversity. In turn this could have an effect on fisheries, recreational use as well as the role the sea plays in climate change regulation.
- 7.4 With regards to the Amendment Regulations and the changes introduced to the species protection provisions in the Habitats Regulations, the objective is to improve species protection by toughening up and extending the trade rules and creating stronger laws for the protection of such species (animals and plants). The latter objective will be achieved by removing many of the defences available in respect of the offences against EPS. For example, it will no longer be a defence to show that the capturing, killing, destruction or disturbance of wild EPS animals was an incidental and unavoidable result of an otherwise lawful activity. The same applies to the picking, cutting or destruction of wild EPS plants. If an activity is likely to result in an offence being committed, those involved will have to

consider alternative options or look to avoid impacts. If this is not possible a licence may be required before the activity can lawfully be undertaken. Tougher, wider ranging trade rules which prohibit the possession and sale of all species listed on Annex IV and Annex II(b) (other than bryophytes) of the Habitats Directive will act as a deterrent to the capturing, killing or taking of wild protected species throughout Europe.

- 7.5 Changes to Part IV of the Habitats Regulations, which transposes the requirements of Article 6 of the Habitats Directive, ensure that water abstraction plans and projects are now expressly subject, through regulations 48 to 51 of the Habitats Regulations, to the obligations of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive. These provisions cover "appropriate assessment" of implications on European sites, considerations of overriding public interest and reviews of existing decisions and consents. Land use plans are now also expressly subject to the obligations under Article 6(3) and (4) through a new Part IVA of the Habitats Regulations.
- Previously these obligations had been applied via the general duty under regulation 3(4) of the Habitats Regulations, which compels every competent authority in the exercise of any of their functions to have regard to the requirements of the Habitats Directive (including Article 6(3) and (4)), so far as they may be affected by the exercise of those functions. Whilst the general duty under regulation 3(4) compels every competent authority to have regard to the requirements of the Habitats Directive, it is for the competent authority to interpret how it should apply those requirements. This can lead to inconsistencies of application. Parts IV and IVA of the Habitats Regulations set out how the obligations of Article 6(3) and (4) the consideration of effects on European sites and considerations of overriding public interest are to be applied in practice. This will lead to a consistent approach to such issues with regards to land use plans, water abstraction plans and projects, and other plans and projects currently covered by Part IV.
- 7.7 The Amendment Regulations will also ensure that plans and projects in terrestrial areas, internal waters and the territorial sea will not adversely affect the integrity of European offshore marine sites. This is achieved by amendments to regulations 47 to 85 (adaptation of planning and other controls provisions) so that the effects of such plans and projects on European offshore marine sites will in future have to be considered.
- 7.8 The Amendment Order is made as a consequence of the Amendment Regulations (see paragraph 4.9 above).

#### Consultation

- 7.9 Two consultations were carried out on the draft Offshore Marine Regulations: one in August 2003 (ending in October 2003) and one in May 2006 (ending on 30 June 2006). The second consultation took into account a number of new issues including the two ECJ judgments referred to in paragraph 4.2 above.
- 7.10 For the first consultation, 179 organisations were consulted and 38 responses were received. Over half of the responses indicated broad support for the proposed implementation of the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives beyond 12 nautical miles, to include the offshore marine area, recognising that the proposed Regulations would provide a foundation to ensure the requirements of both Directives are met in the offshore marine area.

- 7.11 For the second consultation in 2006, of the 254 organisations consulted, 37 responses were received. Most responses focussed on the specific areas of the Regulations that directly related to the organisations' operations. The majority of respondents (between 70 99%) indicated broad support for the Regulations.
- 7.12 From a breakdown of the responses by stakeholder; the largest number of responses (36 %) were received from organisations representing industry; 32 % were from non government organisations (NGOs), 24 % of responses were received from Government Agencies and advisory bodies; and a small percentage (4 % each) from individuals and other organisations. The Regulatory Impact Assessment (Appendix III) provides a summary of each consultation exercise (section 3) and the key issues that were raised by stakeholders.
- 7.13 In terms of the Amendment Regulations, consultation on draft amendments to the Habitats Regulations in England originally took place concurrently with the consultation on draft Offshore Marine Regulations between August and October 2003. A consultation on the principles of amendments in Wales, had already taken place in 2002. A second consultation on draft amendments, this time for both England and Wales, again took place concurrently with the consultation on the draft Offshore Marine Regulations in May 2006 ending on 30 June 2006. As per the Offshore Marine Regulations, the second consultation took into account a number of new issues including the two ECJ judgments mentioned in paragraph 4.2 above. Scotland undertook similar consultations for amendments in Scotland in March 2003, and again in June 2006 ending on 28 July 2006.
- 7.14 The first consultation exercise, launched on 6 August 2003, consulted 120 organisations. 49 individuals and organisations replied before the closing date of 29 October 2003. Responses were received from a broad range of stakeholders and interested parties including Local Authorities, Non Government Organisations (NGOs) and Government advisors on conservation matters.
- 7.15 For the second consultation in 2006, of the 1387 organisations consulted, 97 responses were received. Most responses focussed on the specific areas of the regulations that directly related to the respondent's operations.
- 7.16 The largest number of responses was received from Government Agencies and advisory bodies (47 %). 19% of responses were received from organisations representing industry, 25% were from non government organisations (NGOs), with 8% and 1% respectively from individuals and other organisations. In addition, five organisations offered no opinion.
- 7.17 Overall, respondees were supportive of the proposed amendments. 100% of the responses supported the amendments to: extend the definitions within the Habitats Regulations to include new Member States and to extend the definition of European Marine Site to include offshore marine sites. The proposed amendments to the Conservation of Seals Act 1970 were also fully supported.

- 7.18 Broad support (ranging from 70-92%) was given for all other amendments. However, four key issues were identified where concerns were raised, specifically relating to the species protection provisions and extending the scope of the "appropriate assessment" provisions of the Regulations to include other plans and projects. The Regulatory Impact Assessment for the Amendment Regulations (Appendix IV) provides a summary of the responses and how the Department has sought to find solutions to the concerns that were raised by stakeholders.
- 7.19 No consultation was carried out with regards to the Amendment Order. This was because the provision being revoked by the Order is replicated in the Amendment Regulations (see paragraph 4.9 above).

#### Guidance

- 7.20 Prior to the Offshore Marine Conservation Regulations coming into force, the Government is informing all key stakeholders of the legislative changes that will be made by this instrument. A letter of guidance will be available from the Defra website; <a href="http://www.defra.gov.uk/marine/biodiversity/index.htm">http://www.defra.gov.uk/marine/biodiversity/index.htm</a>. The Joint Nature Conservation Committee will play a key role in identifying SACs and SPAs in the offshore marine area and will provide ongoing guidance and advice to marine stakeholders on conservation matters relating to the Offshore Marine Regulations.
- 7.21 Guidance is being provided on the amendments to the species protection provisions of the Habitats Regulations. This includes a simplified guide to the amended Regulations setting out the key changes and an associated guide on how these changes will impact on protected species licensing. Further practical guidance will be developed, focusing on specific species and sectors of activity. This will include, in the first instance, the possession of Annex IV specimens, exclusion of bats from dwelling houses and generic guidance on managing woodland in relation to EPS. Guidance will also be provided for the species protection provisions in the marine environment. This guidance will be available from the Defra website http://www.defra.gov.uk/wildlife-countryside/ewd/ewd09.htm.
- 7.22 In terms of assessing and reviewing water abstraction licences, the Environment Agency is, through the general duty under regulation 3(4) of the Habitats Regulations, already fulfilling obligations under Article 6(3) and (4) by assessing effects on European sites. The Environment Agency has produced extensive guidance for water abstractors which can be accessed via:

http://environment-agency.gov.uk/subjects/waterres/564321/?version=1&lang=\_e

7.23 Draft departmental guidance for regional planning bodies and local planning authorities has already been published by the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) regarding compliance with the requirement to undertake appropriate assessments in connection with regional special strategies and local development documents. This guidance can be found at:

http://communities.gov.uk/index.asp?id=1502244 and; http://communities.gov.uk/index.asp?id=1165623

#### Consolidation

- 7.24 The Amendment Regulations amend the Habitats Regulations, the Conservation of Seals Act 1970 and the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. Defra has primarily looked to address the findings of the two ECJ judgments referred to in paragraph 4.2. above. Extending the remit of the amendments to include an element of consolidation would have added to the complexity of the exercise and therefore led to further delays, when the Department was subject to stringent infraction deadlines.
- 7.25 It is intended that once the above Regulations are made, a review and consolidation of the UK's transposition of the Habitats Directive will take place.
- 7.26 In order that we may quantify the potential size of the task and resources required, we will review and analyse the scope for consolidation, including further harmonisation between the Habitats Regulations and Offshore Marine Regulations and interface with habitats related provisions in other legislation such as the Environmental Liability Directive and the Marine Dredging Regulations (SI 2007/1067).
- 7.27 This scoping study started in April 2007 and should take two or three months. Defra will then consider the best way forward.

#### 8. Impact

8.1 Regulatory Impact Assessments for the Offshore Marine Regulations and the Amendment Regulations are appended to this memorandum (Appendices III and IV respectively) and are also available at:

http://www.defra.gov.uk/marine/biodiversity/index.htm and http://www.defra.gov.uk/wildlife-countryside/ewd/ewd09.htm respectively. The Assessments include consideration of the impact of the Regulations on the business and public sectors.

- 8.2 A Regulatory Impact Assessment has not been prepared for the Amendment Order as it has no impact on business, charities or voluntary bodies.
- 8.3 The impact on the public sector is nil, as the Order repeals provisions that are similarly provided for in the Amendment Regulations.

#### 9. Contacts

- 9.1 Emily Musson at the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs Tel: 0117 372 8523 or e-mail: **Emily.Musson@eden.defra.gsi.gov.uk** can answer queries regarding the Offshore Marine Regulations.
- 9.2 Simon Liebert at the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs Tel: 0117 372 8341 or e-mail: **Simon.Liebert@eden.defra.gsi.gov.uk** can answer queries regarding the Amendment Regulations.
- 9.3 Linda Rawlings at the Department for Communities and Local Government Tel: 020 7944 3982 or e-mail: **Linda.Rawlings@communities.gsi.gov.uk** can answer any queries regarding the Amendment Order.

### **Transposition Note**

Relating to Council Directive (92/43/EEC) on the conservation of natural habitats and wild fauna and flora ("the Habitats Directive"), Council Directive (79/409/EEC) on the conservation of wild birds ("the Wild Birds Directive") and Council Directive 2006/105/EC adapting Directives 79/409/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 97/68/EC, 2001/80/EC and 2001/81/EC in the field of environment, by reason of the accession of Bulgaria and Romania

The Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 2007

The above Regulations do what is necessary to implement the above Directives in relation to the United Kingdom's jurisdiction over its continental shelf and the sea out to 200 nautical miles (excluding the territorial sea). They include consequential changes to existing legislation that implements the Directives in relation to offshore oil and gas activities<sup>1</sup>.

Counc	Council Directive (92/43/EEC) on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora			
Articles	Objectives	Implementation	Responsibility	
1	Definitions are provided for the purposes of the Directive.	Regulation 2(3) provides for expressions used in these Regulations to have the same meaning as those in the Directive.		
3(2)	Member States are required to contribute to the creation of the Natura 2000 network and, to that end, to designate special areas of conservation, in accordance with Article 4.	Regulation 11 provides for the designation of special areas of conservation in the offshore marine area. See also implementation of Article 4.	Secretary of State	

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Offshore Petroleum Activities (Conservation of Habitats) Regulations 2001 (SI 2001/1754, amended by SI 2007/77).

4(1)	Each member State is required to propose a list of those sites it considers are eligible for selection as sites of Community importance. The list should indicate which Annex I natural habitat types and which Annex II species the sites host and be accompanied by certain information relating to each site.	Regulation 7(1) requires the Secretary of State to transmit a list of such sites in the offshore marine area to the Commission. Regulations 7(2) to (4) prescribe the criteria for site selection, whilst regulations 7(5) and (6) set out the information which the list must contain and the format that must be used in supplying such information to the Commission.	Secretary of State
	An additional obligation requires member states to propose adaptations to the list in the light of surveillance carried out pursuant to Article 11.	Regulation 9(1) makes provision for the Secretary of State to propose modifications of the list of sites which has been transmitted to the Commission in the light of such surveillance.	
4(4)	Once a site has been adopted by the Commission as a site of Community importance, the member State in question is required to designate it as a special area of conservation as soon as possible and within six years at the most. Member States must, in addition, establish priorities for designated sites relating, for example, to the threats of degradation or destruction they face.	Regulation 11(1) requires the Secretary of State to designate sites as special areas of conservation in accordance with the timeframe in the Directive. In addition, an obligation is imposed on him by regulation 11(2) to establish priorities for special areas of conservation.	Secretary of State
4(5)	As soon as a site is adopted as a site of Community importance by the Commission, it is to be made subject to the site protection provisions in Articles 6(2) to 6(4).	Regulations 15 and 24 include in the definitions of "European offshore marine site" and "European site", respectively, the type of site referred to in Article 4(5). Such sites will therefore be protected under regulations 25 to 31.  In addition, regulation 23 ensures that competent authorities exercise their functions in a way that protects these sites, and regulation 32 creates	Competent authorities (see definition in regulation 5)

5	The Commission may find that a site (hosting a priority natural habitat	offences in respect of such sites (see transposition of Article 6 of the Habitats Directive and Article 4 of the Wild Birds Directive, in the below table, for further details).  Regulations 10, 15 and 24 make provision for the type of site referred to in Article 5(1).	Competent authorities
	type or priority species) should have been included in the list of sites submitted by a member State. In these circumstances, a bilateral consultation procedure may be initiated between the member State and the Commission for the purpose of comparing the scientific data used by each. If, on expiry of a consultation period not exceeding 6 months, the dispute remains unresolved, the Commission must forward to the Council a proposal relating to the selection of the site as a site of Community importance. The Council must take a decision within 3 months of the date of the referral.	Regulation 23 makes provision applying Article 6(2) to such sites (amongst others).  Regulation 23 requires competent authorities, when exercising their functions, in so far as their functions may be so exercised, to secure that appropriate steps are taken to avoid, in any site under consideration via Article 5(1): (a) the disturbance of any priority species, in so far as such disturbance could be significant in relation to the objectives of the Directive, and (b) the deterioration of the habitat of any such species, or the deterioration of any priority natural habitat type.	Competent authorities
	under consideration by the member State and the Commission, or pending a decision by the Council, it must be protected under Article 6(2).		

6(1) Member States are Regulation 18 imposes a duty on the Joint Nature Joint Nature Conservation Committee to required to establish, for Conservation special areas of establish conservation objectives for Committee conservation, the such sites and to notify these to such necessary conservation competent authorities as it considers appropriate. The JNCC must also measures involving, if need be, appropriate advise such competent authorities as it considers appropriate of any operations management plans and appropriate statutory, which in its opinion may adversely affect administrative or the integrity of a site. contractual measures Regulation 19 provides that competent Competent which correspond to the ecological requirements authorities may establish a authorities of the natural habitat management scheme for a site and sets out the requirements for such a types in Annex I and the Annex II species present scheme. Where a management scheme on the sites. relates to a site which has been designated as a special area of conservation it will set out how, for the purpose of securing compliance with Article 6(1) of the Habitats Directive, the authorities propose to exercise their functions in order to maintain or restore at a favourable conservation status (a) the Annex I natural habitat types which are found at the site, and (b) the Annex Il species which are found at the site. Regulation 20 imposes a duty on Competent competent authorities which have authorities established a management scheme to take reasonable steps to exercise their functions in accordance with the scheme. Regulation 21 provides for consultation Secretary of on the co-ordinated management of State European offshore marine sites and other member States' special areas of conservation and special protection areas, where such sites and areas adjoin one another. Competent Regulation 22 requires competent authorities, (in so far as their functions authorities may be so exercised) to exercise such of their functions as they consider appropriate for the purpose of giving

effect to Article 6(1) by taking

		conservation measures. These measures must correspond to the ecological requirements of the Annex I natural habitat types present on the site and the Annex II species which are present on the site. In considering what measures may be necessary, competent authorities must have regard, amongst other things, to any management scheme which has been established for the site.	
6(2)	Member States are required to take appropriate steps to avoid, in special areas of conservation, the deterioration of natural habitats and the habitats of species as well as the disturbance of the species for which the	Regulation 23 provides that in so far as a competent authority's functions may be so exercised, that they are exercised so to secure that appropriate steps are taken to avoid the disturbance of species specified in regulation 23(3) or the deterioration of habitat or habitat types specified in regulation 23(4).	Competent authorities
	areas have been designated, in so far as such disturbance could be significant in relation to the objectives of the Directive.  Also see the entries relating to Articles 4(5), 5 and 7.	Regulation 23 applies to (a) special areas of conservation in the offshore marine area (as required by Article 6(2) itself), (b) sites in the offshore marine area that have been placed on the list referred to in the third paragraph of Article 4(2) (as required by Article 4(5)), (c) sites in the offshore marine area in respect of which consultation has been initiated under Article 5(1), during the consultation period or until such time as the Council makes a decision under Article 5(3) in relation to the site (as required by Article 5(4)), and (d) special protection areas in the offshore marine area (as required by Article 7).	Competent authorities
		Regulation 27 makes provision for competent authorities to review, and thereafter affirm, modify or revoke, existing decisions or consents in respect of plans or projects that could affect a European offshore marine site. For the purposes of the review the competent authority must make an appropriate assessment of the implications for the site in view of its conservation objectives.	Competent authorities

		In respect of certain categories of offshore marine site, regulation 32 makes it an offence (subject to certain exceptions) to intentionally or recklessly damage or destroy the habitat found in such sites or to intentionally disturb animals of certain species (at a level of disturbance set out in the Regulations) whilst such animals are in such sites.	
6(3)	Member States are required to ensure that certain plans or projects likely to have a significant effect on a special area of conservation are subject to an appropriate assessment. This	Regulation 25 ensures that appropriate assessments are carried out for new plans or projects in the offshore marine area where they are likely to have a significant effect on a European site (i.e. a protected site in a terrestrial area or in inshore waters) or a European offshore marine site.	Competent authorities
	assessment considers the implications of a plan or project in view of a site's conservation objectives. Subject to Article 6(4), competent authorities may not agree to a plan or project unless it is ascertained that the plan or project will not have an adverse effect on the integrity of the site concerned.	Subject to regulation 26, regulation 25(4) ensures that a plan or project cannot be agreed to where, in light of the conclusions of the appropriate assessment, it is not possible to conclude that the plan or project will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned.	Competent authorities
6(4)	Article 6(4) allows a plan or project to be carried out despite a negative assessment under Article 6(3). However, this is only where there is no alternative solution, where the plan or project must be carried out for	Regulation 26 allows for plans or projects to be agreed to by a competent authority for imperative reasons of overriding public interest and where there is no satisfactory alternative. It contains detailed provisions to deal with the devolution arrangements in Scotland.	Competent authorities
	imperative reasons of overriding public interest, and, where compensatory measures are taken to ensure that the overall coherence of Natura 2000 is protected.	Regulation 30 requires compensatory measures to be secured when a plan or project has been permitted to proceed in such circumstances.	Secretary of State, Scottish Ministers and Northern Ireland Ministers or Departments

7	Obligations under Articles 6(2), 6(3) and 6(4) of the Directive shall replace obligations under Article 4(4) of the Wild Birds Directive in respect of special protection areas classified under the Wild Birds Directive (see below table for details of the transposition of the	Regulations 23 and 27, as described above in respect of Article 6(2), apply to special protection areas classified under the Wild Birds Directive. Further protection is provided under regulation 32(6), which creates an offence relating to special protection areas for birds. This meets the obligations under Article 7 of the Habitats Directive to apply Article 6(2) of the Habitats Directive to special protection areas for birds.	Competent authorities
	Wild Birds Directive).	The definition of "European offshore marine site" in regulation 15(c) covers sites classified as special protection areas. Consequently, protection is provided to these sites under regulation 25, as described above in respect of Article 6(3). This meets the obligations under Article 7 of the Habitats Directive to apply Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive to special protection areas for birds.	Competent authorities
		Regulations 26 and 30, as described above in respect of Article 6(4), apply to sites classified as special protection areas. This meets the obligations under Article 7 of the Habitats Directive to apply Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive to special protection areas for birds.	Competent authorities
11	Member States are required to undertake surveillance of the conservation status of habitats and species of wild fauna and flora of Community interest with particular regard to priority habitat types and priority species.	Regulation 44 requires the Secretary of State to make arrangements for the surveillance required by Article 11. It also ensures that information relating to such surveillance is shared with devolved administrations. This ensures that a co-ordinated approach is taken to surveillance measures across the UK.	Secretary of State

12(1)	Member States must establish a system of strict protection for those animal species listed in Annex IV(a) to the Directive in their natural range.	Regulation 39(1) provides the necessary protection through the creation of a number of criminal offences which relate to Annex IV(a) species whose natural range includes the offshore marine area. These species are listed in Schedule 1 to the Regulations and are known as European protected species (of animals).  It is an offence to deliberately capture, injure, kill, or disturb (in a way set out in the Regulations) any of these animals, to deliberately take or destroy their eggs, or to damage, destroy or do anything to cause the deterioration of a	
12(2)	Member States are required to prohibit the keeping, transport and sale or exchange and offering for sale or exchange of specimens of all the animal species listed in Annex IV(a) to the Directive.	breeding site or resting place of such an animal.  Regulation 39(2) makes it an offence to keep, transport, sell or exchange, or offer for sale or exchange any live or dead wild animal of any the species or subspecies listed in Annex IV(a) (or any part of or anything derived from such an animal).	
	The requirement does not apply in relation to specimens legally taken from the wild before the Directive is implemented.	Paragraphs (4) and (8) of regulation 40 provide a defence to deal with the exception regarding specimens taken before the implementation of the Directive.	
12(4)	Member States are required to establish a system to monitor the incidental capture and killing of animals of the species listed in Annex IV(a).	Regulation 46 requires the Secretary of State to make arrangements to establish a system for monitoring the capture or killing of Annex IV(a) animal species in the offshore marine area. From time to time the Secretary of State must (a) consult the devolved administrations about monitoring arrangements, (b) provide devolved administrations with information considered appropriate from the monitoring and (c) review the monitoring arrangements, and revise them as appropriate.	Secretary of State

	Member States are also required to take further research or conservation measures as required to ensure that incidental capture and killing does not have a significant negative impact on the species concerned.	Regulation 47 requires the Secretary of State to make arrangements for further research or to ensure that conservation measures are taken with respect to the incidental capture or killing of Annex IV(a) animal species in circumstances where he considers it necessary in the light of monitoring under regulation 46 or otherwise for the purposes of Article 12(4).	Secretary of State
13(1)(b)	Member States are required to establish a system of strict protection for wild plants of the species listed in Annex IV(b) to the Habitats Directive. The system must prohibit the keeping, transportation, sale, exchange and offering for sale or exchange of the protected species.	Regulation 43(1) makes it an offence to keep, transport, sell or exchange, or offer for sale or exchange any live or dead wild plant of any the species or subspecies listed in Annex II(b) or Annex IV(b) (or any part of or anything derived from such an plant).	
	The requirement does not apply to specimens taken in the wild before the Directive was implemented.	Paragraphs (4) and (6) of regulation 43 provide a defence relating to the exception in Article 13(1)(b) for specimens taken before the Directive was implemented.	
14	In light of surveillance carried out under Article 11, Member States are required to take measures they deem necessary to ensure that the taking in the wild, and exploitation, of plants and animals of species listed in Annex V to the Directive is	Regulation 45 imposes a duty on the Secretary of State to take measures, where considered necessary, in the light of surveillance carried out pursuant to regulation 44 or otherwise carried out for the purposes of Article 11, to ensure that the taking and exploitation in the wild of specimens of Annex V species is compatible with them being maintained at favourable conservation status,	Secretary of State
	compatible with them being maintained at a favourable conservation status.	Where the Secretary of State considers that measures are necessary, he must make arrangements for surveillance to establish whether the taking in the wild or exploitation of specimens of the species concerned are compatible with its maintenance at favourable conservation status.	Secretary of State

		·	
15	Member States are to prohibit the use of certain means or forms of taking or killing of wild animals of the species listed in Annexes IV(a) and V to the Directive. Member States also have to prohibit the use of all indiscriminate means capable of causing local disappearance of, or serious disturbance to, populations of species listed in Annexes IV(a) to V to the Directive.	Regulation 41 makes it an offence to use for the purpose of capturing or killing European protected species of animals (i.e. Annex IV(a) species) and animals listed in Schedule 3 to the Regulations (Annex V animal species), any of the means that are specified in regulations 41(2)(c) and (d) (3) and (4).  In addition, in regulation 41(2)(b), it generally prohibits the use of indiscriminate means of killing or capturing that are capable of causing the local disappearance of, or serious disturbance to, a population of European Protected Species of animals or animals listed in Schedule 3 to the Regulations.	
16(1)	This Article allows for derogations to be made against the protection provided for under Articles 12, 13, 14 and 15. This is on the basis that there is no satisfactory alternative, the derogation is made for one of the purposes specified in Article 16, and provided that the derogation is not detrimental to the maintenance of favourable conservation status of the species concerned.	Paragraphs (6), (8) and (10) of regulation 49 allow for the granting of licences legalising what would otherwise be offences under regulations 39, 41 and 43. No licence can be granted unless this is consistent with the conditions set out for making a derogation under Article 16 – regulations 49(7) and (9)	Secretary of State
16(2)	Member States are required to report to the Commission every 2 years on derogations under Article 16.1.	Regulation 72 makes provision for the Secretary of State to send derogation reports to the Commission every two years.	Secretary of State
17	Every six years member States are required to send progress reports to the Commission on the implementation of the Habitats Directive.	Regulation 72 makes provision for the Secretary of State to send reports on the implementation of measures under the Directive to the Commission for every six year period.	Secretary of State

18	Member States and the Commission are required to encourage necessary research and scientific work having regard to the Directive's	Regulation 67 requires the Secretary of State to take such steps to encourage research and scientific work as he considers necessary, having regard to the objectives in Article 2 of the Directive.	Secretary of State
	objectives set out in Article 2 and the surveillance obligations in Article 11. There is a requirement for such research and work to be exchanged for the purpose of ensuring co- ordination of research at the member State and Community level.	There is an obligation in regulation 67(2) for this research and scientific work to be exchanged, as appropriate, as required by Article 18.	Secretary of State
22(a)	Member States are required to study the desirability of reintroducing native Annex IV species where this may contribute to their conservation. Such a species can only be reintroduced if an investigation has been carried out establishing that such re-introduction would contribute effectively to reestablishing the species at a favourable conservation status. The investigation must include a public consultation and take account of other member States' experience.	Regulation 69 requires the Secretary of State to make arrangements for a study into the desirability of re-introducing native Annex IV species where he considers that such re-introduction might contribute to that species' conservation. It also contains provisions setting out the pre-conditions for re-introduction, as required by Article 22(a).	Secretary of State

22(b)	Member States are required to ensure that the deliberate introduction of nonnative species is regulated so that it doesn't prejudice natural habitats or species in their natural range.	Regulation 48 makes it an offence to introduce into any relevant part of the waters in the offshore marine area any live animal or plant whose natural range does not include the offshore area. A 'relevant part of the waters' is a part where the introduction would give rise to a risk of prejudice to natural habitats within their natural range or a risk of prejudice to wild native flora and fauna.	
		This offence does not apply where: - introduction is needed to carry out ballast water operations to secure the safety of a person or ship; and	
		- all reasonably practicable steps were taken to avoid discharging the water in an area which will have a negative impact on our native flora or fauna and to minimise any such negative impact.	
22(c)	Member States are required to promote education and general information on the need to protect wild species of wild fauna and flora and to conserve their habitats and natural habitats.	Regulation 68 makes provision for the Joint Nature Conservation Committee to take such steps as it considers appropriate to promote public awareness of, and disseminate information on, the need to protect species and conserve habitats found in the offshore marine area.	Joint Nature Conservation Committee

	Council Directive (79/409/EEC) on the conservation of wild birds			
Articles	Objectives	Implementation	Responsibility	
4(1)	Member States are required to take special conservation measures for the habitats of certain bird species (listed in Annex I to the Directive), including the classification of special protection areas.	Regulation 12(2)(a) requires the Secretary of State to classify as special protection areas those sites across the United Kingdom's territory (in the offshore marine area) which are most suitable in number and size for the conservation of the species listed in Annex I to the Wild Birds Directive.	Secretary of State	
4(2)	Member States are also required to take special conservation measures and classify special protection areas for regularly occurring migratory species not listed in Annex I to the Directive.	Regulation 12(2)(b) requires the Secretary of State to classify as special protection areas those sites across the United Kingdom's territory (in the offshore marine area) which are most suitable in number and size for the conservation of regularly occurring migratory species of birds not listed in Annex I which naturally occur in that territory.	Secretary of State	
4(3)	Member States are required to send the Commission relevant information about special protection areas so that it can take appropriate initiatives to coordinate and make sure that the areas form a coherent whole which meet the protection requirements of the Directive.	Regulation 12(3) sets out the criteria for the selection of special protection areas, regulation 12(4) sets out the information that must be provided to the Commission, and regulation 12(5) prescribes the format that must be used in supplying such information to the Commission.	Secretary of State	
4(4)	In respect of special protection areas, Member States are required by Article 4.4 to take appropriate steps to avoid the pollution or deterioration of habitats or disturbance of birds that would be	As noted in the table above, in respect of special protection areas classified under Article 4(1) or 4(2) of the Wild Birds Directive, Article 7 of the Habitats Directive replaces obligations under Article 4(4) of the Wild Birds Directive with obligations under Articles 6(2), 6(3) and 6(4) of the Habitats Directive (see above table for details of the transposition of the Habitats Directive).	Competent authorities	

	significant with regard to the objectives of the Directive. However, note that this obligation has been superseded by Article 7 of the Habitats Directive.		
5	Member States are required to establish a general system of protection for all species of naturally occurring birds in the wild state in the European territory of the member States to which the Treaty applies.	Regulations 34 provides the necessary protection through the creation of a number of criminal offences which relate to wild birds as defined in regulation 2(1), in the offshore marine area.  It is an offence to deliberately keep, capture, injure, kill, a wild bird; take, damage or destroy the nest (while it is in use or being built); keep, take or	
6	Member States are required to prohibit the sale, transport for sale, keeping for sale and offering for sale or exchange of specimens of naturally occurring birds in the wild state in the European territory of the member States to which the Treaty applies.	destroy an egg of any wild bird.  Regulation 37 makes it an offence to sell or offer or expose for sale, or have in possession or transport for the purposes of sale, any live wild bird (as defined in regulation 2(1)) or an egg of a wild bird (or any part of or anything derived from such a bird).	
	The requirement does not apply to those species referred to in Annex III/1 provided that the birds have been legally killed or captured or otherwise legally acquired.	Regulation 37(2) provides an exemption for specimens belonging to a species referred to in AnnexIII/1 to the Wild Birds Directive, where the egg, bird or derivative was lawfully killed, taken, sold or acquired.	

8	Member States are required to prohibit the use of all means, arrangements or methods used for the large-scale or nonselective capture or killing of birds or capable of causing the local disappearance of a species (in particular those in Annex IV(a)) They are also to prohibit hunting from modes of transport and under conditions listed in Annex IV(b).	Regulation 36 makes it an offence to use for the purpose of capturing or killing any wild bird any of the means or forms that are specified in regulations 36(1) and (2).  In addition, it generally prohibits the use of indiscriminate means of killing or taking that are capable of causing the local disappearance of any species of wild bird.	
9	This Article allows for derogations to be made against the protection provided for under Articles 5, 6, 7 and 8. This is on the basis that there is no satisfactory solution and the derogation is made for one of the purposes specified in Article 9.	Regulation 49(1) to (5) allow for the granting of licences legalising what would otherwise be offences under regulations 34, 36 and 37. No licence can be granted unless this is consistent with the conditions set out for making a derogation under Article 9 – regulations 49(1) to (4).	Secretary of State

# Council Directive 2006/105/EC adapting Directives 79/409/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 97/68/EC, 2001/80/EC and 2001/81/EC in the field of environment, by reason of the accession of Bulgaria and Romania

Article	Purpose of Provision	Implementation	Responsibility
Article 1	Purpose of Provision This Article provides, amongst other things, for amendments to the Annexes to the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives, and to certain Articles of the Habitats Directive, arising from the accession of Bulgaria and Romania to the EC.	The Regulations ensure that the amendments to the Habitats and Wild Bird Directives are properly reflected and that up-to-date versions of the Directives are referred to.  Regulation 2 of the Offshore Marine Regulations defines both the Habitats Directive and Wild Birds Directive.  These definitions, read in accordance with section 20A of the Interpretation Act 1978, mean that references to the Directives are to them as they have effect on the day the Regulations are made (i.e. including amendments made by Council Directive 2006/105/EC).  Under section 20A of the 1978 Act, references in legislation to a Community instrument which has been amended, extended or applied are taken as references to that instrument as so amended, extended or applied. This means that prior amendments to the	Responsibility
		extended or applied are taken as references to that instrument as so amended, extended or applied. This	
		Regulation 40(5) refers to Schedule 2 of the Regulations which expressly lists those populations of Annex IV species excluded from trade and possession protection under the Habitats Directive. This includes the Bulgarian population of <i>Canis lupus</i> (the Grey Wolf).	

### **Transposition Note**

The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) (Amendment) Regulations 2007

Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora

Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora ("the Habitats Directive") provides a framework for the conservation and management of habitats listed in Annex I of the Directive and the species listed in Annex II and Annex IV. Each Member State is required to prepare and propose a national list of sites, which will be evaluated in order to form a European network of Sites of Community Importance (SCIs). These will eventually be designated by Member States as Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) and, along with Special Protection Areas (SPAs) classified under the EC Wild Birds Directive, form a network of protected areas known as Natura 2000.

In Great Britain, the Directive is principally implemented by the Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 (as amended) ("the Habitats Regulations").<sup>2</sup> In January 2004, the European Commission made an application to the European Court of Justice (ECJ) alleging a number of failings in the UK's transposition of the Habitats Directive. The ECJ subsequently ruled against the UK for failing to correctly and completely implement the Habitats Directive in a number of areas (case C-6/04)<sup>3</sup>. In a separate decision against the UK (case C-131/05)<sup>4</sup>, the ECJ ruled that Articles 12(2) and 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive were not correctly transposed since the Habitats Regulations only prohibited the keeping, transportation and sale etc of Annex IV species native to Great Britain, as opposed to all Annex IV species.

The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) (Amendment) Regulations 2007 ("the 2007 Regulations"), which primarily extend to England and Wales<sup>5</sup>, make changes to the Habitats Regulations, the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 and the Conservation of Seals Act 1970 to take into account changes required to meet these judgments. In addition, we have also taken the opportunity to make other amendments to the Habitats Regulations to improve the transposition of the Directive. Scotland, Northern Ireland and Gibraltar have consulted upon and drafted similar provisions which will or have already come into force in their territories.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Similar regulations, the Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1995 (SR (NI)1995/380), transpose the Habitats Directive in relation to Northern Ireland

http://europa.eu.int/eur-lex/lex/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:62004J0006:EN:HTML

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> http://curia.europa.eu/jurisp/cgi-

bin/gettext.pl?lang=en&num=79948882C19050131&doc=T&ouvert=T&seance=ARRET

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Certain provisions also extend to Scotland, and certain provisions extend only to Scotland.

# Council Directive 2006/105/EC of 20 November 2006 adapting Directives 79/409/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 97/68/EC, 2001/80/EC and 2001/81/EC in the field of environment, by reason of the accession of Bulgaria and Romania

The enlargement of the European Union to include two new member States (Bulgaria and Romania) in 2007 has brought amendments of the EU nature conservation legislation – the Habitats Directive and Council Directive 79/409/EEC on the conservation of wild birds ("the Wild Birds Directive").

Most of the changes of substance concern the Annexes to the Habitats Directive, which essentially list protected habitats and species, with certain Articles of the Directive containing obligations in respect of the habitats and species listed on the Annexes. Directive 2006/105/EC adds certain species and habitats native to the new member States to the Annexes, with a limited number of geographic exceptions granted (e.g. *Canis lupus* – the Grey Wolf - is protected by Annex IV to the Habitats Directive, but there is an exception in respect of certain populations of the species, including, now, the Bulgarian population).

In respect of the Habitats Directive, two new biogeographic regions have been added to the existing seven (Continental, Mediterranean, Alpine, Atlantic, Macaronesian, Boreal, Pannonian): the Black Sea and the Steppic Regions.

The 2007 Regulations include amendments to deal with the requirements of Directive 2006/105/EC, in so far as it relates to the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives, in respect of England and Wales. Scotland and Northern Ireland have also included amendments to deal with the requirements of Directive 2006/105/EC within their own amendment regulations<sup>6</sup> which will or have already come into force in their territories. In respect of Gibraltar no legislative amendments were required as a result of Directive 2006/105/EC.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Amendment (Scotland) Regulations 2007 and the Conservation (Natural Habitats, etc.) (Amendment) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007 respectively

## Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation Of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora

Article	Purpose of Provision	Implementation	Responsibility
6(3) and 6(4)	To expressly transpose the requirements of Article 6(3) and (4) with regards to water abstraction plans and projects and land use plans	Currently, Part IV of the Habitats Regulations applies Article 6(3) and (4) only to certain plans/projects (see regulation 47(1) of the Habitats Regulations). In paragraphs 50 and 56 of the ECJ's judgment in C-6/04, the ECJ found that the UK had failed to implement completely and correctly the requirements of Article 6(3) and (4) with regards to water abstraction plans and projects and land use plans.	Competent Authority
		Water abstraction  A new regulation 84B (inserted by regulation 5(48) of the 2007 Regulations) has the effect that the requirements of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Directive expressly apply through Part IV in relation to the granting of certain authorisations in England and Wales (relating to water abstraction) under the Water Industry Act 1991 and the Water Resources Act 1991.	
		Land use plans  A new Part IVA (inserted by regulation 5(55) of, and Schedule 1 to, the 2007 Regulations) makes provision for land use plans in England and Wales to be expressly treated in accordance with the obligations in Article 6(3) and (4) of the Directive.	Regional Planning Bodies and Local Planning Authorities

6(3) and (4)	To ensure that effects on European offshore marine sites - a new category of site created by the Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 2007 - are considered and assessed in relation to the granting of those licences, consents and other forms of authorisation captured by Part IV of the Habitats Regulations.	Regulations 47 to 85E of the Habitats Regulations are amended by regulations 5(22) to 5(54) of the 2007 Regulations so that the effects on European offshore marine sites of plans and projects in terrestrial areas, internal waters and the territorial sea will in future have to be considered.	Competent Authority
11	To transpose the surveillance obligations.	In paragraphs 68 and 69 of judgment C-6/04, the ECJ found that UK domestic law failed to contain any statutory duty requiring the national authorities to undertake surveillance of the conservation status of natural habitats and species, thereby guaranteeing that surveillance is undertaken systematically and on a permanent basis. As a result, it ruled that Article 11 of the Habitats Directive had not been completely, clearly and precisely transposed into United Kingdom law.  New regulation 37A of the Habitats Regulations (inserted by regulation 5(12)) transposes Article 11 by imposing, a duty on the Secretary of State and the Welsh Ministers to make arrangements for surveillance of the conservation status of natural habitat types of Community interest and species of Community interest.	Secretary of State and Welsh Ministers

12, 13 and 15	To ensure that the protection of European Protected Species through effective enforcement is equivalent to such protection provided to species under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981	New regulations 101A – I, inserted by regulation 5(58), provide equivalent enforcement powers for constables and wildlife inspectors to those that were inserted into the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 by the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006. Penalties for offences under regulations 39, 41, 43 and 46 have also been raised to be equivalent to corresponding offences in the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981.	
12, 13 and 16	To prevent a defence which is no longer available under the Habitats Regulations 1994 being available under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. This is achieved by removing overlapping protection of EPS under the two pieces of legislation.	Regulations 7(7) and 7(8) respectively amend Schedules 5 and 8 to the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 to remove native Annex IV species (known as European Protected Species) from the protection given by sections 9(1), (2) and 13(1), and part of the protection provided under section 9(4), of that Act.  Sections 9(1) and (2) of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 prohibit the killing, injuring, taking, possession and control of those animals listed on Schedule 5. Section 9(4) prohibits disturbance of Schedule 5 animals (in certain circumstances) and also the damage or destruction of, and obstruction of access to, the places they use for shelter or protection. Section 13(1) prohibits the uprooting of protected plants (listed on Schedule 8) and, in some cases, also picking and destruction.	
12(2)	To correctly transpose the obligation to prohibit the keeping, transport, sale or exchange of specimens of animal species listed in Annex IV of the Habitats Directive (extension of prohibition).	In case C-131/05, the ECJ ruled that Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive was not correctly transposed since regulation 39 of the Habitats Regulations only prohibited the keeping, transportation and sale etc of wild Annex IV animal species native to Great Britain, as opposed to all wild Annex IV animal species.	

		These regulations substitute a new regulation 39_(inserted by regulation 5(13)) which contains an offence covering the keeping, transportation and sale etc. of all animal species listed on Annex IV.	
12(2)	To fully transpose the obligation to prohibit the keeping, transport, sale or exchange of specimens of animal species listed in Annex	In paragraph 85 of the C-6/04 judgment, the ECJ found that the UK had incompletely transposed Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive by failing to comply with the temporal limitation laid down in the Article.	
	IV of the Habitats Directive (temporal limitation).	Article 12(2) requires member States to prohibit the possession ands trade etc of all wild Annex IV animals except those taken legally from the wild before the Directive was implemented. However regulation 39 of the Habitats Regulations exempts those specimens legally taken from the wild regardless of when they were taken (i.e. it doesn't contain the temporal limitation set by the Directive).	
		Regulation 39 is substituted by these Regulations (regulation 5(13)), and the new regulation completely implements Article 12(2) by prohibiting the keeping, transport, sale or exchange of wild sourced specimens of animal species listed in Annex IV of the Habitats Directive, taken from the wild in the EC since the implementation date.	
		<ul> <li>'Implementation date' means</li> <li>where the relevant State became a member State before 10th June 1994, the 10th June 1994; and</li> <li>in any other case, the date on which the relevant State became a member State.</li> </ul>	
		'Relevant State' means the State in whose territory the animal, or part of it, was taken from the wild.	

12(4)	To transpose the obligation to monitor the incidental capture and killing of certain animal species.	In paragraph 89 of the C-6/04 judgment, the ECJ noted that the UK's transposing legislation contained no provision requiring the establishment of a monitoring system, as required in Article 12(4), in respect of the incidental capture and killing of animal species listed on Annex IV to the Directive.  New regulations 41A and 41B (inserted by regulation 5(16)) implement Article 12(4) by imposing a duty on the Secretary of State and Welsh Ministers to make arrangements to monitor the incidental capture and killing of animals of the species listed in Annex IV(a) of the Habitats Directive and to take conservation measures in the light of that monitoring.	Secretary of State and Welsh Ministers
13(1)	To transpose the obligation to prohibit the keeping, transport, sale or exchange of specimens of plant species listed in Annex IV of the Habitats Directive (extension of prohibition)	In case C-131/05, the ECJ ruled that Article 13(1) of the Habitats Directive was not correctly transposed since regulation 43 of the Habitats Regulations only prohibited the keeping, transportation and sale etc of wild Annex IV plant species native to Great Britain, as opposed to all wild Annex IV plant species.  These regulations substitute a new regulation 43_(inserted by regulation 5(17)) which contains an offence covering the keeping, transportation and sale etc. of all plant species listed on Annex IV.	

13(1) In paragraph 85 of the C-6/04 judgment, To fully transpose the obligation to prohibit the the ECJ found that the UK had keeping, transport, sale incompletely transposed Article 13(1) of or exchange of the Habitats Directive by failing to comply with the temporal limitation laid specimens of plant species listed in Annex down in the Article. IV of the Habitats Directive (temporal Article 13(1) requires member States to prohibit the possession ands trade etc limitation). of all wild Annex IV plants except those taken legally from the wild before the Directive was implemented. However regulation 43 of the Habitats Regulations exempts those specimens legally taken from the wild regardless of when they were taken (i.e. it doesn't contain the temporal limitation set by the Directive). Regulation 43 is substituted by these Regulations (regulation 5(17)), and the new regulation implements Article 13(1) by prohibiting the keeping, transport, sale or exchange of wild sourced specimens of plant species listed in Annex IV of the Habitats Directive. taken from the wild in the EC since the implementation date. 'Implementation date' means where the relevant State became a member State before 10th June 1994, the 10th June 1994; and in any other case, the date on which the relevant State became a member State: and 'Relevant State' means the State in

whose territory the plant, or part of it,

was taken from the wild.

14	To transpose conservation measures arising from the surveillance obligations contained in Article 11  Where member States consider it necessary, in the light of surveillance under Article 11, they are required to take measures to ensure that taking plants and animals of Community interest (Annex V listed species) is compatible with them being maintained at a favourable conservation status.	New regulation 37B (inserted by regulation 5(12)) implements Article 14 by providing the requirement that the Secretary of State and Welsh Ministers shall, where they consider it necessary and in the light of surveillance carried out pursuant to Article 11, make arrangements for further research to establish whether taking and exploitation of wild Annex V species is compatible with them being maintained at favourable conservation status and to ensure that measures are taken to ensure this is the case.	Secretary of State and Welsh Ministers
15	To fully comply with obligations to impose a general prohibition on the use of indiscriminate means capable of causing local disappearance of, or serious disturbance to, populations of Annex V and (where licensed to kill, capture or take) Annex IV species of animals.	In paragraph 98 of the ECJ's C-6/04 judgment, the Court found that the UK's transposing legislation does not guarantee that all indiscriminate means capable of causing local disappearance of, or serious disturbance to, populations of European protected species of animal are prohibited in the United Kingdom.  Regulation 41 (as amended by regulation 5(15)) implements Article 15 by introducing a new offence of using any indiscriminate means of taking or killing native Annex V or IV species of animal, in addition to the existing list of prohibited means of taking or killing set out in regulation 41(3).	
15	To ensure legal certainty and therefore correct transposition of Article 15 with regards to the prohibition of certain methods for the taking or killing of seals under the Conservation of Seals Act 1970.	Paragraph 104 of the ECJ's C-6/04 judgment found that the Conservation of Seals Act 1970 ("the 1970 Act") involves an element of legal uncertainty as to the methods of killing seals which are prohibited in the United Kingdom and it therefore does not ensure that Article 15 of the Habitats Directive is transposed correctly in the UK.	

		This is because the 1970 Act fails to refer to those methods of killing or taking prohibited by regulation 41 of the Habitats Regulations (which transposes Article 15 of the Directive).  Regulation 6 of these Regulations provides legal certainty by amending section 10 of the 1970 Act to ensure it is clear that licences issued under that section to allow a person to undertake activities prohibited by that Act, do not authorise the use of any method of killing or taking inconsistent with regulation 41 of the Habitats	
		Regulations.	
16	To better transpose the derogations under the Directive to protect populations of the species concerned.	The ECJ upheld, in paragraph 114 of its C-6/04 judgment, the Commission's complaint that that the specific derogations set out in regulations 40(3)(c) and 43(4) of the 1994 Regulations go beyond the scope of Article 16 of the Habitats Directive.	
		Substitute regulations 40 and 43 (which are inserted by regulations 5(14) and 5(17) respectively) correctly implement Article 16 by not containing the defences which the Court found to go beyond the scope of Article 16 of the Habitats Directive. This includes the 'incidental result of a lawful operation' in regulation 40(3)(c) and 43(4), the 'dwelling house' defences in 40(2) and (4) and the Agriculture Act and Animal Health Act defences in 40(1). The mercy killing and tending wild animals defences in 40(3)(a) and (b) have been redrafted to provide further clarity following discussion with the Commission services and confirmation of advice in their letter of 27 <sup>th</sup> July 2006.	
		Regulation 44 (as amended by 5(18)) better transposes Article 16 by including the derogation in Article16(1)(e) for taking or keeping of certain specimens under strictly supervised conditions on a selective basis and to a limited extent.	

New regulations 37C, D and E inserted	To ensure that the	22(b)
by regulation 5(12), introduce a new	deliberate introduction	` ,
offence covering the release of non-	into the wild of any	
native specimens from ships.	species which is not	
	native to the territory is	
Under 37C, it is an offence for any	regulated within the	
person on board a ship to deliberately	inshore area (i.e. out to	
introduce in to any relevant part of the	12 nautical miles from	
coastal sea any live non-native animal	the coastal baseline).	
or plant, except where it resulted from a		
necessary discharge of ballast water		
and all reasonable steps were taken to		
avoid its occurring in an area where it		
would give rise to harm for native		
Habitats or wild native flora or fauna.		
These new provisions ensure that the		
•		
•		
`		
, ,		
,		
would give rise to harm for native		

# Council Directive 2006/105/EC adapting Directives 79/409/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 97/68/EC, 2001/80/EC and 2001/81/EC in the field of environment, by reason of the accession of Bulgaria and Romania

Article	Purpose of Provision	Implementation	Responsibility
1	This Article provides, amongst other things, for amendments to the Annexes to the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives, and to certain Articles of the Habitats Directive, arising from the accession of Bulgaria and Romania to the EC.	The Regulations ensure that the amendments to the Habitats and Wild Bird Directives are properly reflected and that up-to-date versions of the Directives are referred to.  Regulations 5(2) and (3) of the 2007 Regulations amend the Habitats Regulations and insert a new paragraph 2A which contains new definitions of the Wild Birds and Habitats Directives.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> There are no references in the Habitats Regulations to any Annex to the Wild Birds Directive, meaning that a similar change in relation to that Directive is not appropriate.

These new definitions, read in accordance with section 20A of the Interpretation Act 1978, mean that references to the Directives are to them as they have effect on the day the Regulations are made (i.e. including amendments made by Council Directive 2006/105/EC).

Under section 20A of the 1978 Act, references in legislation to a Community instrument which has been amended, extended or applied are taken as references to that instrument as so amended, extended or applied. This means that prior amendments to the Wild Birds Directive and the Habitats Directive are automatically included in the references to those Directives in the Regulations.

Regulation 5(3) makes references to any Annex of the Habitats Directive ambulatory.<sup>7</sup> This means that any changes to the Annexes in the future will have immediate effect in the Regulations.

Regulation 5(62) inserts new Schedule 2A to the Habitats Regulations, which expressly lists those populations of Annex IV species excluded from trade and possession protection under the Habitats Directive. This includes the Bulgarian population of *Canis lupus* (the Grey Wolf).

Regulation 7 will amend the 1981 Act so that references to the Wild Birds Directive have effect in the same way as explained above (and therefore incorporate prior amendments to that Directive, including those made by Directive 2005/105/EC).

# Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 2007

## **Final Regulatory Impact Assessment**

Contents		
1	Proposal	2
2	Purpose and intended effect	2
	The Objective	2
	Background	2
	Rationale for Government intervention	4
3	Consultation	6
4	Options	8
	Option 1	8
	Option 2	8
	Option 3	9
	Option 4	9
	Defra's chosen options	9
	Sectors and groups affected	9
	Commercial interests	10
	Equity and fairness	
5	Costs and benefits	11
	Possible extent of Natura 2000 network in the offshore marine area	11
	Costs	11
	Benefits	15
6	Small Firms Impact Test	19
7	Competition assessment	20
8	Enforcement, sanctions and monitoring	21
9	Implementation and delivery plan	23
10	Post implementation review	24
11	Declaration and publication	25
Annex A	List of Consultees to the Offshore Marine Regulations Consultations (2003 and 2006)	26
Annex B	List of Respondents to the Offshore Marine Regulations Consultations (2003 and 2006)	32
Anney C	Code of Practice on Written Consultations	34

## 1. Proposal

This Regulatory Impact Assessment covers the Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 2007. The Regulations will further transpose Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora and Council Directive 79/409/EEC of 2 April 1979 on the conservation of wild birds. These are commonly known as the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives.

### 2. Purpose and intended effect

#### The objective

The purpose of these Regulations is to extend the transposition of the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives into UK legislation beyond territorial waters to cover the offshore marine area (in broad terms, the area beyond 12 nautical miles from the coast).

By transposing the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives beyond territorial waters protection will be given to marine species (listed in the Habitats Directive) and all wild birds as well as providing site protection to certain of these species and important habitats.

Overall the Regulations will help contribute, alongside other measures, to healthy, functioning and resilient marine ecosystems and biodiversity that can absorb and respond to human pressures. This will help to achieve one of Defra's strategic priority objectives of natural resource protection.

#### Background

The principal instrument for transposing the Habitats Directive into domestic legislation in respect of Great Britain is the Conservation (Natural Habitats &c.) Regulations 1994<sup>8</sup>. These Regulations apply to England, Wales and Scotland and the adjacent territorial seas and enable the establishment of a network of European sites and European marine sites out to the limit of the UK's territorial seas. These sites form part of the EC wide ecological network known as Natura 2000. The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981<sup>9</sup> also transposes the Directive out to the limits of the territorial seas of Great Britain by protecting listed marine species, and prohibiting the introduction of non-native plants and animals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> HMSO SI 1994 No 2716, to which relevant amendments have been made by the Environment Act 1995 (c.25), the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 (c.37), the Land Reform (Scotland) Act 2003 (asp 2), S.I. 1996/525, 1996/973, 1997/3055, 1999/1820, 2000/192, 2000/1973, and S.S.I. 2000/323 and 2004/475. Additional amendments will be made by the Conservation (Natural Habitats &c.) (Amendment) Regulations 2007 (SI 2007/1843). In Northern Ireland, similar measures are provided in the Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1995.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> In Northern Ireland, similar measures are provided by the Wildlife (Northern Ireland) Order 1985, the Nature Conservation and Amenity Lands (NI) Order 1985, and the Environment (Northern Ireland) Order 2002.

In 1999 the High Court<sup>10</sup> made a declaration that "the Habitats Directive applies to the United Kingdom Continental Shelf (UKCS) and the superjacent waters up to a limit of 200 nautical miles from the baseline from which the territorial sea is measured". Subsequently, in Case C-6/04 *Commission v United Kingdom*, the European Court of Justice ruled that the UK was obliged to implement the Habitats Directive beyond its territorial waters.

There is currently no comprehensive framework for fully transposing these Directives beyond territorial waters. The Offshore Petroleum Activities (Conservation of Habitats) Regulations 2001<sup>11</sup> have been made in order to transpose parts of the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives in relation to oil and gas activities in the offshore area. The Environmental Impact Assessment and Natural Habitats (Extraction of Mineral by Marine Dredging) (England and Northern Ireland) Regulations 2007, came into force in April 2007<sup>12</sup>. The latter regulations establish a statutory procedure for regulating marine minerals dredging and transpose the Habitats Directive in relation to these activities.

The Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 2007 will transpose the requirements of the Directives to govern activities not already covered by the aforementioned legislation (for oil and gas and minerals dredging). Provisions in the Regulations are applied in relation to the offshore marine area and affect activities (except those for oil and gas and minerals dredging) in any part of the waters within British fishery limits (except territorial seas) and on any part of the seabed on the continental shelf<sup>13</sup>.

In summary the Regulations contain the following provisions:

- 1. **Part 1** places a duty on competent authorities that have functions relevant to marine conservation to exercise those functions in a way that secures compliance with the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives.
- 2. **Part 2** contains provisions for the selection, registration, notification and management of European offshore marine sites. Duties are imposed on to competent authorities requiring them, in so far as their functions may be so exercised, to establish conservation measures and to take steps to prevent the deterioration of habitats and disturbance of species where necessary. Provision is made for the appropriate assessment of certain plans and projects in the offshore marine area (and on or in relation to offshore marine installations). Where the integrity of either a European offshore marine site<sup>14</sup> or a European site<sup>15</sup> would be adversely affected by certain plans or projects in the offshore marine area, those plans or projects can only be authorised where there are imperative reasons of overriding public interest, no alternatives and when compensatory measures are taken. This Part also requires, in circumstances where the integrity of a site would be adversely affected, the review of certain

<sup>12</sup> HMSO SI 2007 No 1067

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> R-v-Secretary of State for Trade and Industry ex parte Greenpeace [2000] 2 CMLR 94.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> HMSO SI 2001 No 1754

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Any part of the seabed or subsoil situated in any area designated under section 1(7) of the Continental Shelf Act 1964.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> European offshore marine site is defined in regulation 13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> European site is defined in regulation 21

authorisations that were granted before a site was designated (as a European offshore marine site or a European site). An offence is created relating to the deliberate or reckless damage or destruction of European offshore marine sites.

- 3. Part 3 provides for the protection of wild birds, wild animals and wild plants through the creation of a number of offences (to which a number of defences are available).
- 4. Part 4 makes provision for the surveillance of the conservation status of natural habitats of Community interest and species of Community interest, and in particular priority natural habitat types and priority species. This Part also requires the Secretary of State or Scottish Ministers where relevant to make arrangements for monitoring the incidental capture and killing of European protected species, and taking measures where necessary in light of the surveillance information. An offence is made to introduce new species in the offshore marine area (to which certain defences are available)
- 5. **Part 5** makes provisions for licences to be granted, legalising what would otherwise be offences under Part 3 and Part 4 (introduction of new species) provided certain strict tests are met (which are consistent with the conditions in the Directives).
- 6. **Part 6** makes arrangements for the enforcement of criminal offences under the Regulations and other supplementary provisions for these offences.
- 7. **Part 7** deals with, amongst other things, provisions for encouraging scientific research and promotion of public awareness about the conservation and protection aims of the Directives. It makes amendments to the Offshore Petroleum Activities (Conservation of Habitats) Regulations 2001.
- 8. **Schedules 1 and 3** set out the species in Annex IV and V of the Habitats Directive respectively whose natural range includes the offshore marine area.
- 9. **Schedule 2** sets out the species of animals and the relevant areas or countries to which the defence in regulation 40(5)(a) relates.

A range of sectors, including marine industries, fishing businesses and public bodies, may be undertaking activities outside territorial waters and could be affected by the introduction of these Regulations. Section 4 (sectors and groups affected) sets this out in more detail.

#### Rationale for Government Intervention

The UK has a legal obligation to implement the Habitats Directive beyond territorial waters. Failure to do so will put the UK at risk of incurring fines under the current Article 228 proceedings following the recent European Court of Justice judgment in Case C-6/04 *Commission v United Kingdom*. This requires the UK to implement the Habitats Directive beyond territorial waters. Although neither the High Court nor the European Court of Justice judgments relate to the Wild Birds Directive, the

Government believes there is strong justification for treating the Wild Birds Directive in the same way as the Habitats Directive as regards to the scope of application.

Apart from the UK's legal obligations for ensuring that European marine species and habitats are protected, the UK Government is committed to a vision of 'clean, healthy, safe and productive and biologically diverse oceans and seas'16. Development and exploitative human activity in the marine environment has been found to degrade the environment and negatively impact on marine biodiversity, as set out in the State of the Seas Report17. Current legislation does not provide a comprehensive framework for European habitats and species protection in the offshore marine area, and without protection, there would be a decline in these species and habitats. Lack of protection of these species and habitats could contribute to a reduction in the resilience of marine ecosystems.

Rationale for Government intervention can be justified according to the following three main aspects of sustainable development:

#### **Environmental:**

Without management, European species and habitats will degrade. The continued degradation of species and habitats of European importance could affect both the completion and resilience of the Natura 2000 network across Member States. This may contribute to wider degradation of ecosystems and less resilience to climate change.

In addition, biodiversity is a public good that gives benefits to society as a whole. There is little or no 'market' for improvements to marine biodiversity as no one individual or organisation can benefit financially when the benefits of such actions will accrue to everybody. Similarly, individuals or organisations who damage marine biodiversity will not themselves suffer the cost of that damage as they do not own the resource. When markets will not in themselves provide outcomes that add value to the common good, but can detract from it, this is known as market failure. Where market failure is affecting the provision of a public good, there is often a case for the Government to intervene to ensure that the common good does not suffer. Marine nature conservation is one such area which includes the protection of biodiversity of European importance.

#### Social:

The importance that people in the UK place on the existence of marine wildlife is difficult to value, but is evident in a number of ways, including for instance the audiences for marine nature documentaries such as the BBC's Blue Planet series (approaching 10 million), membership of organisations such as the Marine Conservation Society and WWF, and national campaigns such as the 165,000 pledges of support calling for better protection for the seas presented to Government by Wildlife and Countryside Link in June 2005.

<sup>16</sup> Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs 2005. Safeguarding Sea Life: The joint UK response to the Review of Marine Nature Conservation. PB 10900. Defra publications, London. <a href="https://www.defra.gov.uk/wildlife-countryside/ewd/rmnc/pdf/rmnc-review-1205.pdf">www.defra.gov.uk/wildlife-countryside/ewd/rmnc/pdf/rmnc-review-1205.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs 2005. Charting Progress – An Integrated Assessment of the State ok UK Seas PB9911. Defra Publications.

#### Economic:

By not providing protection to marine biodiversity of European importance there could be knock on effects to the economic and social benefits derived from the seas. Examples include reductions in wildlife-related tourism and lost research opportunities and applications as a result of biodiversity loss.

Consultation responses to our April 2006 consultation have shown that there is general support for government intervention.

#### 3. Consultation

In preparing the revised draft of the regulations which accompany this Regulatory Impact Assessment, Defra has consulted:

- a. Other Government Departments including the Foreign and Commonwealth Office, Department for Constitutional Affairs, Department for Transport, Department for Trade and Industry, Home Office, Ministry of Defence, Department for Culture, Media and Sport and Communities and Local Government.
- b. **Government Agencies** including the Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Marine Fisheries Agency and the Maritime and Coastguard Agency.
- c. **Devolved administrations** including the Department of the Environment Northern Ireland, National Assembly of Wales and the Scottish Executive.
- d .**Stakeholders and the general public** through two consultation exercises. Annex A lists the organisations invited to comment on the Regulations.

Public consultation was carried out on drafts of the Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations in August 2003 and April 2006. Copies of the consultations, Regulatory Impact Assessments and summaries of the responses can be viewed at the following webpage:

http://www.defra.gov.uk/marine/biodiversity/omcr.htm. Annex B lists the respondents to both consultations.

For each consultation exercise around 250 organisations were invited to comment. 73 responses were received in total to both exercises and included responses from industry and business associations, Government Agencies, Non-Government Agencies and environmental forums and individuals. These views helped us to finalise the regulations by confirming recommendations and suggesting alternative approaches.

The key issues raised during the second consultation were in relation to the following:

a) Standardisation of the penalty provisions so a person guilty of an offence is liable to fines up to the statutory maximum on summary conviction and an unlimited fine on indictment.

Most consultees were generally in favour of the new approach to penalties but a number of organisations thought that the penalties should be proportionate to the level of environmental damage caused. By creating a framework that allows the courts to decide the severity of the penalty with unlimited fines on indictment we think that the penalty system will achieve this.

 Revision of the offences and defences to ensure that they more closely meet the requirements of the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives (and prevent 'gold plating');

There was general support for the suggested changes to the species offences. As a result of concern raised in the consultation about the removal of the defence for the mercy killing of a European Protected Species or a wild bird, we have reinserted these provisions.

c) Introduction of new species – whether a defence of "reasonable excuse" should be more clearly defined;

A large number of respondents agreed that this defence should be better defined and some of these expressed deep concern about the impact of the Regulations in relation to ballast water operations. See the competition section for further details about how these concerns were taken into account as much as possible.

d) New provisions for enforcement of the regulations – that will enable appropriate authorised officers to be appointed by the Secretary of State to carry out a range of enforcement functions such as boarding, entering and inspecting vessels etc:

Of those consultees that offered an opinion, half thought that further powers of enforcement were necessary for a range of reasons. One consultee thought that the proposed powers of boarding, entry and inspection in relation to ships went beyond similar provisions under the Merchant Shipping Act 1995. Another thought that the powers conferred on authorised officers under the regulations differed from those conferred on constables in Regulation 101 of the Habitats Regulations 1994.

The enforcement powers in the Offshore Marine Regulations extend to the level of jurisdiction, therefore enforcement of British ships (with specific provisions) will apply to wherever the ship is located. This is consistent with the Merchant Shipping Act and fisheries enforcement. The Marine Fisheries Agency will carry out the enforcement functions (see section 8) and we have created powers that we feel are appropriate to their remit (which may differ from that carried out by a police constable). In addition a Memorandum of Understanding between the Police and the enforcing agencies will establish clear delineation of functions and involvement of each in enforcing the regulations.

e) Fuller provisions for surveillance and monitoring of habitats and species to ensure that we meet the requirements of the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives in addition to the terms of case C-06/04.

The majority of respondents welcomed the new provisions for monitoring and surveillance, see sections 8 and 9 for further details of these provisions.

f) Integration of the regulations for transposing the Habitats Directive

A number of organisations questioned the need for multiple regulations that transpose the Habitats Directive and suggested the integration into a single set of regulations covering all activities in the offshore marine area. As set out in section 10, we intend to carry out a review of the regulations for consolidation.

g) Responses to the Partial Regulatory Impact Assessment

Only 7 organisations provided responses about the Partial Regulatory Impact Assessments. These comments provided general feedback rather than quantitative data about the effect that the Regulations may have on stakeholders.

## 4. Options

In consultation with stakeholders, in the 2003 and 2006 consultations, we considered a variety of options of how best to transpose the Habitats Directive outside territorial waters. Options 2, 3 and 4 considered below would transpose the requirements of the Directives without unnecessary over-implementation.

Option 1 - Do nothing.

This is not regarded as a real option as we have a legal obligation to transpose the requirements of the Habitats Directives. In the case C-6-04 *Commission v United Kingdom*, the European Court of Justice ruled against the UK for not having implemented the whole of the Habitats Directive beyond its territorial waters. The UK is under an obligation to meet the terms of the judgment and a failure to do so puts it at risk of further legal proceedings which may result in fines being imposed by the European Court of Justice.

The UK Government has never been fined for a failure to comply with European Union law but the European Court of Justice can impose very heavy fines. For example France was recently fined a lump sum of Euro 20 million, plus Euro 57 million for every six months it failed to comply with a judgment of the European Court of Justice. While we might hope, if fined, to avoid one at that level, the impact of any fine is likely to be very high.

Option 2 - Extend the application of the Conservation (Natural Habitats &c.) Regulations 1994 (SI 1994/2716) and the Conservation (Natural Habitats, etc.) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1995 to the area beyond territorial seas.

This is not considered practical. The 1994 Regulations are principally designed to deal with the application of the Habitats Directives to the terrestrial environment and territorial seas, particularly in view of the town and country planning system.

*Option 3* - Introduce regulations transposing only the Habitats Directive beyond the territorial seas.

This option would provide new regulations that take account of the unique circumstances of the offshore marine environment, and would allow the UK Government to comply with its obligations under the Habitats Directive.

Option 4 - Introduce regulations transposing both the Habitats and the Wild Birds Directives beyond territorial waters.

This is the Government's preferred option. There are strong legal arguments to say that not only the Habitats Directive but also the Wild Birds Directive should be transposed beyond territorial waters. The obligation to implement the Habitats Directive outside territorial seas is derived from Article 2 of the Habitats Directive, which provides that the Directive relates to the UK territory to which the Member State applies. Article 1 of the Wild Birds Directive uses similar wording.

#### Defra's chosen option - option 4

The comments received from the 2003 consultation of the Regulations and its partial Regulatory Impact Assessment<sup>18</sup> indicated that option 4 was preferred by most respondents. Most organisations thought that the same treatment of both the Habitats Directive and the Wild Birds Directive would assist with the conservation of species and habitats more fully. Support was given to reject option 1, and options 2 and 3 were rejected by most organisations.

Therefore we have discounted options 1, 2 and 3 for the reasons provided above. The regulatory impact of new legislation realising either option 3 or option 4 is estimated to be the similar.

#### Sectors and groups affected

The sectors that have been identified as being potentially affected by the proposed regulations are set out below. It is not expected that any of the proposals will impact disproportionately on any sector, or grouping of individuals, organisations or interests, or on any devolved administration or region of the UK. The extent to which the regulations might impact on a particular sector will however depend on the level and type of interaction between its activity and the species or habitat to be protected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Both are available from http://www.defra.gov.uk/corporate/consult/offshore-marine/index.htm

#### Commercial interests:

- cable laying;
- construction;
- diving;
- energy, including wind and tidal;
- extraction and dredging (in respect to species provisions);
- oil and gas (in respect to species provisions)
- fishing (commercial and recreational);
- marine recreation and leisure, including boat tours, wildlife watching;
- pipelines;
- marinas, ports, shipping and navigation;
- telecommunication.

#### Other sectors and interests:

- recreational and leisure users;
- environmental interest groups;
- maritime trade associations:
- general public.

#### Government:

- government departments with marine responsibilities;
- statutory agencies and other public bodies.

#### **Equity and Fairness**

The proposals apply principally to the offshore marine area and activities that take place there (not to the land or inshore area). They affect the rights of users of the offshore marine area and the wider public interest of protecting the natural environment. The proposals have no undue effect on particular racial groups, income groups, gender groups, age groups, people with disabilities, or people with particular religious views. Nor do they raise any health issues. We do not envisage any Equity and Fairness issues to arise as a result of Regulations.

#### 5. Costs and benefits

The costs and benefits of implementation of the proposed regulations (option 4) will depend upon the European species or habitat to be protected and the activities that could have an effect on them. Costs may be incurred by businesses and will depend on whether or not their activities are causing an adverse effect on the integrity of a European offshore marine site or a European site, and the nature of the measures to be taken to reduce or eliminate such adverse effects. Other Government Departments, devolved administrations and other consultees to the previous two consultation exercises were invited to provide information to inform this final Regulatory Impact Assessment. Very few comments were received and no quantifiable data.

In the absence of information being provided, estimates of the possible valuations of costs and benefits are provided where these are available, along with some unquantified costs and benefits to give an indication of the likely balance of costs, benefits and impacts on which to evaluate the impact of these regulations.

Possible extent of Natura 2000 network in the offshore marine area

We are committed to developing a network of Natura 2000 sites to protect species and habitats of European importance to help support an improvement in marine biodiversity.

Our statutory nature conservation advisers are currently considering the number of sites that are likely to be required to deliver our commitment within the UK offshore marine area and have proposed a list of search areas. They estimate that some 24 sites may be needed in the offshore area to cover the marine habitat types required by the Habitats Directive. They are currently considering whether additional sites might be required for birds or for marine species beyond territorial waters to meet the requirements of both Directives.

When proposals are drawn up for candidate special areas of conservation and potential special protection areas, these will be accompanied by a site-specific assessment of the regulatory impacts. Sites are designated on the basis of the available science meeting the relevant selection criteria. Site-specific assessments will be used to consider whether there is a need to mitigate regulatory impacts.

#### Costs

#### Environmental:

Some deterioration of areas outside protected sites which are not of European significance, particularly if activities are diverted to areas that had been less heavily impacted. Displacement of marine industry activities may, for instance, lead to a greater concentration of impacts elsewhere. For example, closing an area to a particular fishing activity could result in fishermen moving into areas that they may not have fished before and therefore have an impact on the biodiversity of the new fishing area.

#### Social:

• A very small risk of reductions in public access to European offshore marine sites where this is necessary for the protection of the site. It is unlikely that the offshore area will be greatly used for recreational activities such as scuba diving or sea angling that would be affected by restricted access. A recent report by the Environment Agency<sup>19</sup> (2005) states that 3 million people in England and Wales have been Sea Angling. Whilst the activity is likely to be carried out inshore, restricted access to sites could affect the few that could carry out angling offshore. This would only happen in cases where it has been identified that this activity could have a significant effect on a site. However, the Environment Agency in its report indicates that the majority of anglers recognise and support the closures for the benefits to marine conservation.

#### Economic - sites:

- Costs to marine industries associated with modifications, revocations or refusals of consents for activities affecting European offshore marine sites or European sites (as part of a review of consents or following on from an appropriate assessment by a competent authority by competent authorities). Compliance costs for a typical business are difficult to quantify because although areas of search have been identified for offshore marine sites, these have not yet been nominated to the European Commission. In addition there are a wide variety of businesses which operate in the offshore marine area which will affect sites depending on the site size, type of site and business activity. Costs for compliance will also depend on whether or not the activity is likely to have a significant effect on a European offshore marine site<sup>20</sup> or a European site<sup>21</sup>. Detailed assessments of valuing the marine environment are on-going.
- Some possible additional administrative time and cost to marine industries include the consideration of impacts on sites when applying for a consent. Any such additional delays regarding the outcome of the consenting process could increase costs for industry. However we expect that costs might be offset by greater certainty about the location of important European habitats and species that should help avoidance and mitigation for industry sectors (information about draft sites is available from JNCC's website<sup>22</sup>);
- Costs to fishing businesses are associated with restrictions on fishing activities where these are required to protect the integrity of a site, either through opportunity-loss (inability to fish in previously open areas), requirements for changes in fishing gear, or marginal administrative costs associated with complying with fisheries restrictions. We are not however anticipating that controls for European offshore marine sites would generally have a significant effect as most sites are likely to be relatively small in relation to fishing grounds and offshore fishermen could generally maintain the same fishing activities in other areas with only marginal impacts on profitability<sup>23</sup>; An example of potential

<sup>19</sup> 'Attitudes to Angling', 2005, Environment Agency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> European offshore marine site is defined in regulation 13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> European site is defined in regulation 21

<sup>22</sup> http://www.incc.gov.uk/protectedsites/sacselection/SAC\_list.asp?Country=OF

costs to the fisheries sector is provided by the Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution report '*Turning the Tide*' (RCEP, 2004). Based on the assumption that 30% of the Irish Sea was dedicated to a MPA network and this resulted in the exclusion of the fishing industry, on a *pro rata* basis this could impose a cost of £18m p.a. (at 2002 prices). However, this study is based on inshore fisheries so we would expect a much smaller overall cost for the offshore area. In addition the 24 offshore sites will comprise a much smaller proportion of the whole marine area, certainly not as much as 30%, and we would not expect exclusions or a change of gear to be needed in every instance.

#### Economic - species:

- Costs to marine industries will be associated with the application of a wildlife licence, in order to allow an industry to continue to carry out an activity that would otherwise result in an offence being committed (for example, if a cetacean has been disturbed or killed). The costs involved in applying for a wildlife licence will depend on the complexity of the case and detail of information required for the licence. An estimate of the cost of a straightforward wildlife licence, such as an application for scientific research on a cetacean (where all the scientific information about favourable conservation status had been provided) could be in the region of £100, but a more complex licence application could potentially cost up to £1000. Industries will be required to provide supporting information for wildlife licences, however in most cases we would expect that this information to have been provided as part of the industry consent or licence (part of the Environmental Impact Assessment process). We therefore do not expect a high cost of licensing on marine industries.
- There will be costs to marine industries in order to protect species of European importance (whales, dolphins, porpoises, marine turtles and the common sturgeon). Industries may need to change their practices to avoid committing offences, for example by carrying out activities at a time that is less likely to affect the species or in a way that is known to reduce the effect. Guidance will be provided to the industries in order to help inform them how they can take preventive measures, for example best practice guidance for seismic surveys.
- Without taking measures to prevent committing an offence or applying for a wildlife licence, Marine industries may be at risk from a prosecution if for example they disturb a European protected species (such as a cetacean) at the level specified in the Regulations (disturbance to a group of animals, in a way that affects their ability to survive, breed and look after their young). If found guilty of an offence a fine of £5,000 is payable on summary conviction, or a higher fine will be payable for more serious crimes that are taken to the Crown Court.

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> 'Investigations into closed area management of North Sea cod' (CEFAS); 'Economic impact of area closures and effort reduction measures in the North Sea' (CEMARE). 'Marine protected areas for management of temperate North Atlantic fisheries: Lessons Learned' (Newcastle University) - the main issue when assessing the potential economic impact of closed areas on the fishing industry seems to be whether the displaced fishery effort continues elsewhere, or if the effort is removed. The CEMARE study indicated that if effort is not remove the economic impact was negligible for the beam trawl fleet because beamers are relatively mobile. For the otter trawl fleet relocation can result in a small reduction in revenue.

Costs to fishing businesses are associated with restrictions on fishing activities
where these are required to protect a European Protected Species (such as
dolphins) in a particular area. Costs may include complying with new restrictions
such as restricted or modified access to an area, or updating fishing gear to one
that is more environmentally friendly, (this could include a dolphin escape hatch<sup>24</sup>
or use of acoustic pingers (when the technology is available).

#### Economic - species and sites

- Costs to Government will be associated with establishing and managing European offshore marine sites, paying a body to enforce the regulatory provisions, paying for the administration of the wildlife licensing provisions, holding hearings (on the basis of representations received about draft sites). Preliminary estimates of these costs are set out in tables 1 and 2. There will also be minimal additional costs for meeting the surveillance and monitoring requirements set out in Part 5 of the draft Regulations (see section 8);
- Costs to competent authorities will result from implementation of the regulations, for example, carrying out appropriate assessments, reviews of consents and running management schemes, applying duties as necessary (e.g. providing sector specific best practice advice in relation to the nature conservation duty).
- Costs for the conservation agencies will mainly be covered by funding from the Government for the activities outlined in Tables 1 and 2. Additional costs to conservation agencies could result from increased engagement with stakeholders to ensure a good rate of compliance, for example working with the enforcement bodies to ensure that education helps to prevent offences from being committed.

Table 1

Summary of indicative costs for establishing network of 24 Natura 2000 Sites				
Activity	Cost per site (£k)	Cost per 24 Natura 2000 sites (£k)		
Survey Costs	100-120	£2.4million – £2.9million		
Site Selection	20-25	£0.5million - £0.6million		
Consultation	38	£0.9million		
Management Schemes	10	£0.01million – £0.24million (depending on how many management schemes are required)		
Total costs	168k – 193k	£3.81million - £4.64million		

#### Table 2

Summary of indicative costs for implementing in relation to the network of 24 Natura 2000 Sites and species provisions		
Implementation - including enforcement, wildlife licensing and hearings	£0.5 - £2 million p.a.	
Monitoring of Conservation status	£0.4million p.a. over 6 years	
Total costs	£0.65 - £2.4million p.a.	

#### **Benefits:**

#### Environmental:

- Protection of European habitats such as sandbanks, reefs and submarine structures made by leaking gases;
- Protection of species of European as well as UK importance such as cetacea (whales, dolphins, porpoises), marine turtles and the common sturgeon through site protection mechanisms;
- Wider species protection for threatened species such as seals, shad and sturgeon (apart from the common sturgeon which is protected as above). This will help to reduce the risk of biodiversity loss;
- Healthy sites contributing to wider ecosystem functioning and thereby reducing the risk of significant ecosystem change or collapse and consequent impaired provision of essential ecosystem services;
- Maintenance of key elements of the marine environment, such as European habitats and species, will help us to improve our understanding and will provide a long-term environmental record. Our understanding of marine ecosystems and each part of the food web is continually improving, maintenance of these key aspects will enable us to further this;
- Improvements in ecosystem function can have benefits to goods and services provided by marine biodiversity, which can provide wider benefits to the environment, such as nutrient recycling and gas and climate regulation.
- There are wider benefits associated with the effective application of these regulations from ensuring that nature conservation information is collected and considered in the licensing and authorisation of offshore marine activities and developments.

#### Social:

 Increased education and improved knowledge of European habitats and species within offshore marine sites and the wider environment through species protection. This will be done through new information and guidance that will be available from Defra and JNCC. Increased social values such as existence, bequest and altruistic values. These values enhance our social wellbeing. For example, Cabinet Office (2004) reports an estimate of £500 to £1150 million per annum for the existence or non-use value associated with the preservation of UK sea mammals.

- The transposition of the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives outside territorial waters will improve the protection given to marine species and habitats and in doing so, will help to maintain these values;
- Improved goods and services provided by UK marine biodiversity (to which European habitats and species contribute) which can have wider benefits to society, for example by providing genetic biodiversity which can be used for medicinal purposes.

#### Economic:

- There are a range of studies that highlight the monetary benefits of marine wildlife areas. English Nature (1995) identified the direct and indirect financial flows associated with recreational activities at number of marine sites. In some cases the values were very significant, for example in the case of Morecambe Bay in excess of £150m.<sup>25</sup>
- In Scotland wildlife tourism is an important source of economic benefits. It has been estimated income generated by whale tourism in the Highlands and Islands is close to £10m per year (GHK, 2004). More generally, it has recently been estimated that the turnover of the marine leisure industry exceeds £19 billion (Pugh and Skinner, 2002) <sup>26</sup>.
- Drew Associates (2004) estimate that recreational fishing in the form of sea fishing generates a total economic value of between £600m to £1,300m per year<sup>27</sup>. The same study in a survey of anglers also found that anglers valued most highly fish size and diversity of catch, while significantly less value was attributed to numbers caught. However, it should be noted that recreational fishing is likely to primarily take place inshore.
- Contribution to provision of ecosystem goods and services on which marine industries rely and option values on resources such as genetic resources available from the UK marine environment that are not being utilised commercially at present, but may be of significant importance in the future resulting in future revenue opportunities;
- The introduction of legislative provisions would also add legal certainty and better planning for marine businesses and sea users, potentially reducing the costs associated with extensive modification of consents;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> English Nature (2005) Financial values of five important marine/coastal wildlife areas in England. Research Report No 182.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Pugh, D. and L. Skinner (2002) A new analysis of marine related activities in the UK economy with supporting science and technology. IACMST Information Document No. 10, August 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Drew Associates (2004) Research into the economic contribution of sea angling. Report commissioned by DEFRA.

- It is possible there may be some contribution to improvements in fish stocks for fishing businesses associated with improvements protecting European species and habitats which contribute to supporting ecosystems;
- Some opportunities for leisure businesses undertaking activities associated with marine wildlife. For example, any steps which help to improve the abundance of cetaceans could have knock-on effects for eco-tourism, such as whale watching.

Table 3:

Summary table of costs and benefits of option 4		
Benefits	Costs	
Environmental	Environmental	
Protection of European habitats such as sandbanks, reefs and submarine structures made by leaking gases.	Some deterioration of areas outside protected sites which are not of European significance, particularly if	
Protection of species of European as well as UK importance such as cetacea (whales, dolphins, porpoises), marine turtles and the common sturgeon through site protection mechanisms.	activities are diverted to areas that had been less heavily impacted.	
Wider species protection for threatened species such as seals, shad and sturgeon (apart from the common sturgeon which is protected as above). This will help to reduce the risk of biodiversity loss.		
Healthy sites contributing to wider ecosystem functioning and thereby reducing the risk of significant ecosystem change or collapse and consequent impaired provision of essential ecosystem services.		
Maintenance of key elements of the marine environment, such as European habitats and species, will help us to improve our understanding and will provide a long-term environmental record.		
Improvements in ecosystem function leading to benefits to goods and services provided by marine biodiversity. In turn wider benefits to the environment, such as nutrient recycling and gas and climate regulation may be gained.		

 Wider benefits from the collation and consideration of nature conservation information in licensing and authorisation of offshore marine activities and developments.

#### Social

- Increased education and improved knowledge of European habitats and species within offshore marine sites and the wider environment through species protection.
- Increased social values such as existence, bequest and altruistic values.
- Improved goods and services provided by UK marine biodiversity (to which European habitats and species contribute) which can have wider benefits to society, for example by providing genetic biodiversity which can be used for medicinal purposes.

#### Social

 A very small risk of reductions in public access to European offshore marine sites where this is necessary for the protection of the site.

#### **Economic**

- Future revenue opportunities for marine industries if present ecosystem goods and services are protected.
- The introduction of legislative provisions would also add legal certainty and better planning for marine businesses and sea users, potentially reducing the costs associated with extensive modification of consents:
- It is possible there may be some contribution to improvements in fish stocks for **fishing businesses** associated with improvements protecting European species and habitats which contribute to supporting ecosystems;
- Some opportunities for leisure businesses undertaking activities associated with marine wildlife. For example, any steps which help to improve the abundance of cetaceans could have knock-on effects for ecotourism, such as whale watching.

#### Economic – sites

- Costs to marine industries associated with modifications, revocations or refusals of consents for activities affecting European offshore marine sites or European sites.
- Possible additional administrative time and costs to marine industries including consideration of impacts on sites when applying for a consent.
- Costs to fishing businesses associated with restrictions on fishing activities where these are required to protect the integrity of a site.

#### Economic - species

- Costs to marine industries will be associated with the application of a wildlife licence. The costs involved in applying for a wildlife licence will depend on the complexity of the case and detail of information required for the licence.
- Costs to **marine industries** in order

- to protect species of European importance (whales, dolphins, porpoises, marine turtles and the common sturgeon).
- Marine industries may be at risk from a prosecution if for example they disturb a European protected species (such as a cetacean) at the level specified in the Regulations.
- Costs to the fishing industry may include compliance with any new restrictions to protect species including updating fishing gear to one that is more environmentally friendly.
- Costs to Government of establishing and managing European offshore marine sites, putting in place the enforcement provisions and monitoring and surveillance requirements.
- Costs to competent authorities will result from implementation of the regulations, for example, carrying out appropriate assessments, reviews of consents and running management schemes.
- Additional costs to conservation agencies could result from increased engagement with stakeholders to ensure a good rate of compliance.

Overall despite the difficulty in quantifying the costs and benefits in further detail, it is evident that there are significant environmental benefits associated with implementation of the Offshore Marine Regulations. In addition, social benefits clearly outweigh any social costs. There may be some marginal economic benefits that are associated with the legislation; however the economic costs (to industry, competent authorities and Government) outweigh these.

Overall whilst it is difficult to value these costs and benefits in further detail, these economic costs are not disproportionate to the benefits of protecting European habitats and species in the offshore marine area.

## 6. Small Firms Impact Test

The August 2003 consultation document asked consultees to make an assessment of the impacts of policy proposals on small businesses. Small firm representatives

(including Federation of Small Business and Confederation of British Industry), local and national fishing organisations and various marine industry organisations<sup>28</sup> have been involved throughout the development of proposals for the Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 2007.

The Small Business Service were consulted, and confirmed there will be not be a disproportionate impact from the introduction of the Regulations. This was reflected in the responses which highlighted that implementation of the Regulations will not have an impact on their small business or change how they operate.

There are no costs associated with the introduction of these regulations specifically for small businesses. When sites are designated or classified there may be some possible benefits or neutral impacts to small firms operating in the offshore marine area. Benefits from the introduction of the Offshore Marine Regulations may be seen by small firms involved in tourism and recreation (e.g. wildlife tours and diving) by increasing visibility of wildlife at sites and recreational and commercial fishing by providing designated site conditions that can support improvements in fish stocks. However these recreational activities are unlikely to occur often in the offshore area. It is expected that there would be positive knock-on benefits for small businesses supporting these activities.

More generally, the tourism industry as a whole could benefit from the UK's enhanced image as a result of improvements to areas and species of European importance within the marine environment.

It is expected that there could be some short term impacts on small businesses but that these will balance out in the longer term, resulting in overall *de minimis* impact. Consultation responses did not highlight any costs associated with the introduction of new regulation specifically for small businesses. There is only a fairly small possibility that restrictions or alteration of operations of small businesses in certain locations, near to a European Offshore Marine site as species and habitats offences will apply (diving, wildlife watching, commercial or recreational fishing). Also the protection of European Protected Species (such as dolphins) throughout the offshore marine area may result in a modification of the operations of some small business (diving, wildlife watching, commercial or recreational fishing).

Whilst it is expected that site protection will result in some localised restrictions, it is not expected that these will always result in absolute exclusions of activities.

## 7. Competition assessment

The competition assessment filter test asks whether the policy measures will directly or indirectly limit the number or range of suppliers; limit the ability of suppliers to compete; or reduce suppliers' incentives to compete vigorously.

Such a test was carried out as part of the 2003 consultation and its associated partial Regulatory Impact Assessment. The majority of consultees (over 90%) did not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> (including navigation, oil and gas development, offshore renewable energy, submarine cables and pipelines, fisheries and mariculture, recreation and tourism)

comment on the assessment provided, and only a very small percentage thought that the Regulations could affect competition.

The 2006 consultation showed a similar result. Out of a total 37 responses to the consultation, 5 responses expressed concern of the impact that the Regulations will have on competition. These responses concerned the issue of ballast water, where the Regulations will prohibit (with certain exceptions) the introduction of non native species in the offshore marine area. Respondees were concerned that this provision will have an unfair effect on vessels flying an EU or UK flag which could have a significant and negative impact on the UK flag. It was felt that this would affect the competitive edge of UK shipping and lead to the transfer to other flags that do not have such controls.

As a result of the 2006 consultation, the provision for preventing the introduction of non native species has been revised. The regulation now effectively requires all reasonably practical steps to be taken to avoid the introduction of non native species in an area where it would give rise to a risk of prejudice, unless the introduction was necessary for the purpose of protecting the safety of any person or the ship. Whilst meeting the aims of the Habitats Directive this amended provision should allow responsible ballast water operations to continue, precluding any an adverse effect on competition.

Overall it is understood that the Regulations (in combination with sector specific Regulations where these apply) should impact equally across all users of the offshore marine area, no one interest being singled out for additional regulation. We therefore believe that the Regulations are unlikely to have a negative competitive impact and will not distort or affect competition in the relevant markets in the offshore marine area.

## 8. Enforcement, Sanctions and Monitoring

#### **Enforcement**

The draft Regulations will require two types of enforcement;

- i) for plans and projects likely to have a significant effect on sites,
- ii) for the offences for habitats and species, the provisions for which are included within Part 3 of the Regulations.

For the former, it is expected that the enforcement activity will be carried out through the licensing or other consenting regimes currently operated by competent authorities.

For enforcement of habitats and species offences we have proposed enforcement functions within Part 6 that can be carried out by a person appointed by the Secretary of State as an authorised officer. We have made a provision that the Secretary of State may make payments to such an officer. It is anticipated that in practice the existing fisheries enforcement agencies will carry out monitoring and enforcement of the Offshore Marine Regulation provisions.

We will aim to streamline enforcement activities with future enforcement of the marine nature conservation aspects of the Marine Bill as much as possible to avoid duplication.

For the site based offences in Part 2, and the species offences within Part 3 of the Regulations the approach to enforcement will be structured around risk based, intelligence led activities that are integrated wherever possible into existing enforcement work undertaken by the delivery bodies identified. Additional costs will arise however where these bodies need to pursue enforcement activities specifically targeted at delivery of nature conservation objectives that are not part of existing fisheries enforcement activities.

#### Site Based Enforcement

In addition to existing fisheries enforcement measures and costs we have estimated that the additional cost for activities required to enforce controls on potentially damaging unconsented activities in the 24 areas of search for European offshore marine sites, would be in the region of £0.5 million to 1.5 million per year (as set out in Table 2 above).

#### Species Enforcement

In addition to existing fisheries enforcement measures and costs we have estimated that the additional cost for activities required to enforce the prohibitions in relation to European Protected Species will cost in the region of £200K to 400K per year.

We have also engaged with the police and anticipate only marginal impact on police resources in order to deliver effective enforcement. A Memorandum of Understanding between the Police and the enforcing agencies to establish clear delineation of functions and involvement is being considered. On the whole we expect the police involvement in enforcing the Regulations to be minimal and largely confined to trade offences of EPS which may be beyond the scope of the enforcement agencies and require specialist knowledge and skills.

#### **Sanctions**

Offences under the regulations are punishable by fines. Where an offence is tried summarily, the maximum fine will be £5,000 (the current statutory maximum). Where it is tried on indictment, the level of fines is unlimited.

#### Monitoring

#### Wildlife Licensing

The Regulations make it an offence (subject to exceptions) to deliberately capture, kill, disturb, or trade in European Protected Species (extended to all Annex IV species and certain Annex II(b) plant species in respect of trade) and wild birds. Actions likely to commit offences may be licensed by the Secretary of State to continue lawfully. Licences may be granted for a number of purposes (such as science and education, conservations, preserving public health or safety), but only after being satisfied that it is consistent with the tests set out for making a derogation under Article 16 of the Habitats Directive and Article 9 of the Wild Birds Directive. The Marine and Fisheries Agency will take forward this licensing work. Information

and application forms will be made available from the following website: <a href="http://www.mfa.gov.uk">http://www.mfa.gov.uk</a>.

#### Enforcement

As set out above the existing fisheries enforcement agencies will carry out enforcement activities for these Regulations in the offshore marine area.

Where human activities are likely to conflict with the regulations or intelligence suggests that this may be the case, enforcement will be targeted in these areas. We expect the JNCC to develop an ongoing advisory relationship with the enforcement agencies in regard to new and existing activities likely to conflict with the regulations in order to better target resources where they are most likely to be required.

#### Surveillance and monitoring

The new UK Marine Monitoring and Assessment Strategy, due for implementation in 2008, will meet the monitoring and surveillance needs of the Habitats and Wild Birds Directive and will help to establish the current state of our marine ecosystem. Surveillance of conservation status of habitats and species and monitoring incidental capture and killing will form a fundamental part of the Health and Biologically Diverse Seas gathering evidence group of UKMMAS.

Existing species monitoring programmes include monitoring of Small Cetaceans in the European Atlantic and North Sea (SCANS). SCANS II was completed in December 2006, following SCANS I from 1994. This 10 year follow up of cetacean abundance over the European Continental shelf was run by the Sea Mammal Research Unit. Cetacean Offshore Distribution and Abundance in the European Atlantic (CODA) monitoring (if confirmed) will extend the survey area out into the European Atlantic offshore areas (not previously covered by SCANS). By 2012, special area of conservation will be monitored through basic surveys checking for the presence, extent and condition of the features of the habitat for which the site is designated. If any sites are designated for seals using the current seal tracking study data in offshore waters, then they would also require monitoring.

Incidental capture and killing of cetaceans is already widely monitored in UK waters<sup>29</sup>. The Cetacean Bycatch Observer Monitoring System will monitor all European Protected Species (cetaceans, turtles and sturgeon) and basking sharks. Cetacean Strandings Investigation and Co-ordination is an on-going monitoring programme run by the Institute of Zoology.

## 9. Implementation and delivery plan

Implementation measures will be in place by the time the regulations come into force in order to inform people of how we intend to implement the Regulations. These consist of the following main elements:

29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Statutory Instrument No 17, 2005, Sea Fisheries, England, Conservation, England. The Incidental Catches of Cetaceans in Fisheries (England) Order 2005; and Scottish Statutory Instrument 2005 No 330 The Prevention and Monitoring of Cetacean Bycatch (Scotland) Order 2005.

#### Guidance and information

We have sent a letter to all key stakeholders setting out the main legislative changes brought about by the regulations. This information is also available on the Defra website http://www.defra.gov.uk/marine/biodiversity

#### Site identification and consultation

JNCC has begun to identify draft special area of conservation beyond territorial waters as part of the implementation programme for the Habitats Directive. To date Defra has received proposals for 8 draft special area of conservation (details available from

http://www.jncc.gov.uk/protectedsites/sacselection/SAC\_list.asp?Country=OF

Special areas of conservation can only be proposed on the basis of the specific criteria contained in Annex III to the Habitats Directive and relevant scientific information. We expect that JNCC will carry out a public consultation on this first tranche of sites once the regulations are in place with a view to submitting sites to the Commission in 2008.

We are putting in place a Hearing process. This will allow the Secretary of State to decide that a hearing should be held as a result of the representations received during the consultation process.

We expect that the Planning Inspectorate will lead on all Hearing proceedings and guidance will be made available on the Defra website.

## 10. Post implementation review

In order to build up an evidence base of the implementation of the Regulations, Defra, in consultation with other departments, will conduct a review of the legislation within 3 years of enactment<sup>30</sup>, and report to Ministers on effectiveness and performance against principles of good regulatory practice. The review will check whether the legislation is delivering value for money, and propose improvements where necessary. It will consider the need to review and consolidate the suite of legislation in England used to transpose the Habitats Directive.

In evaluating the measures introduced through the legislation, the following will be a useful guide to success:

- the tools it introduces are being used successfully in conjunction with existing delivery measures to provide increased certainty and transparency in implementing government's policies for the offshore marine area (e.g. a record of the number of licences issues);
- the net environmental, social and economic benefits of implementing the proposals justify the resource allocated to them – i.e. they are cost

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> In accordance with guidance from the Better Regulation Executive: http://www.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/regulation/ria/ria\_guidance/post\_implementation\_review.asp#PostReview

effective – there is no evidence that this resource could have reasonably been used in a different way to produce superior results more efficiently;

- development in the offshore marine area and exploitation of its resources continues without the negative effects on the marine environment that are currently occurring and would continue to occur if changes were not made;
- the proposals are perceived as being implemented fairly and constructively by the majority of those involved in the marine environment.

The review will ascertain whether the legislation is successful in delivering the points above and propose improvements where necessary. However, it will probably take longer than 3 years to make any realistic assessment of the legislation from a biodiversity perspective. This is likely to require of the order of ten years.

## 11. Declaration and publication

I have read the Regulatory Impact Assessment and I am satisfied that the benefits justify the costs.

Signed by the responsible minister

Ben Bradshaw.....

Date 24<sup>th</sup> June 2007.....

Further information on the development of Regulatory Impact Assessment's is available from the Cabinet Office's website at <a href="http://www.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/regulation/ria/index.asp">http://www.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/regulation/ria/index.asp</a>

For enquiries about the Offshore Marine Conservation Regulations please email: <a href="marinebiodiversity@defra.gsi.gov.uk">marinebiodiversity@defra.gsi.gov.uk</a>

or write to:

Offshore Marine Conservation Regulations
Marine Biodiversity Team
Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
Zone 1/05
Temple Quay House
2 The Square
Temple Quay
Bristol BS1 6EB

#### LIST OF CONSULTEES

This consultation package has been sent directly to the following organisations for their views:

Advisory Committee on the Protection of the Sea

Alcatel

Anglo-French Offshore Surveys Ltd

Anglo-North Irish Fish Producers' Organisation Ltd

**ARC** 

Associated British Ports

Association of British Offshore Industries

Association of Chief Police Officers

Association of District Salmon Fishery Boards

Association of Marine Scientific Industries

Association of Scottish Shellfish Growers

Association of Sea Fisheries Committees of England and Wales

**Atkins Global** 

**BHP Billiton Plc** 

Birdlife International

Biscay Dolphin Research Programme

BMT Cordah Ltd

**Brett Marine Aggregates Ltd** 

**Bristol Port Company** 

Britannia Aggregates Limited

**British Chamber of Commerce** 

British Divers Marine Life Rescue

**British Geological Survey** 

British Marine Aggregate Producers Association

**British Marine Federation** 

British Oil Spill Control Association

**British Ports Association** 

British Rig Owners Association

**British Shippers Council** 

British Sub-Aqua Club

British Telecom Subsea Operations

**British Trust for Ornithology** 

**British Tugowners Association** 

British Water Ski Federation

**British Wind Energy Association** 

Cabinet Office

Cabinet Office Legal Advisors (COLA)

**CADW** 

**CEMEX Marine Ltd** 

Central Council for Physical Recreation

Centre for Environment, Fisheries & Aquaculture Science

Chamber of Shipping

Chelonia Limited

Clackmannanshire Council

Clyde Fishermen's Association

Coastal Fisheries Conservation and Management

Coastal Management for Sustainability

CoastNET

Commissioners of Irish Lights

Confederation of British Industry

Confederation of British Industry (Wales)

Convention of Scottish Local Authorities

Cornwall Sea Fisheries Committee

Countryside Council for Wales

Countryside Recreation Network

Crown Estate

Crown Estate Commissioners (Scotland)

Cumbria Sea Fisheries Committee

Cumbria Sea Fisheries Committee

Department for Constitutional Affairs

Department for Culture Media and Sport

Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs

Department for International Development

Department for Transport

Department for Work and Pensions

Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (Northern Ireland)

Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (Isle of Man)

Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government (Republic of Ireland)

Department of Health

Department of the Environment (Northern Ireland)

Department of Trade and Industry

Devon and Cornwall Police Constabulary

Devon Sea Fisheries Committee

Dredging International (UK) Ltd

Earthkind

Eastern Sea Fisheries Committee

**EMU Environmental** 

**Energy Institute** 

English Heritage

**English Nature** 

**English Tourism Council** 

**Environment Agency** 

**Environment and Heritage Service** 

Environment and Heritage Service (Northern Ireland)

Environment Conservation & Management - Marine Policy

**European Affairs** 

**European Community Shipowners Association** 

European Federation of Sea Anglers

**Europilots** 

Falkirk Council

Fauna and Flora International

Federation of Highlands & Islands Fishermen

Federation of Scottish Aquaculture Producers

Federation of Small Businesses

Federation of Small Businesses (Wales)

Fisheries Research Services

Foreign and Commonwealth Office

Friends of Cardigan Bay

Friends of the Earth

Glasgow City Council

Greenpeace

**HAM Dredging Limited** 

Hanson Aggregates Marine Ltd

Health and Safety Executive

Hebridean Whale and Dolphin Trust

Herpetological Conservation Trust

**HM Customs and Excise** 

**HM Treasury** 

Home Office

HR Wallingford

Inland Revenue – International

Institute for Outdoor Learning

Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management

Institute of Environmental Assessment

Institute of Leisure and Amenity Management

Institute of Petroleum

International Association of Drilling Contractors

International Association of Geophysical Contractors

International Marine Contractors Association

Isles of Scilly Sea Fisheries Committee

Joint Fishing Communities of South & West Wales

Joint Links Oil and Gas Consortium

Joint Nature Conservation Committee

Kent and Essex Sea Fisheries Committee

Local Government Association

Maldon District Council

Mammal Society

Marathon Oil UK Ltd

Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom

Marine Connection

Marine Conservation Society

Marine Ecology & Sailing

Marine Fisheries Agency

Marine Forum for Environmental Issues

Marine Laboratory (Aberdeen) Maritime & Coastguard Agency

**MARINET** 

Maritime and Coastguard Agency

Ministry of Defence

Moray Firth Partnership

National Assembly for Wales

National Federation of Charter Skippers

National Federation of Fishermen's Organisations

National Federation of Sea Anglers

National Museums and Galleries of Wales

National Oceanography Centre

**National Wind Power** 

Natural Environmental Research Council

Natural History Museum

Nautical Archaeology Society

Newcastle University

Non Operators' Forum

North Eastern Sea Fisheries Committee

North Sea Regional Advisory Council

North Wales and North Western Sea Fisheries Committee

Northern Ireland Fishermen's Federation

Northern Lighthouse Board

Northumberland Sea Fisheries Committee

Northwood (Farnham) Limited

Norton Rose Solicitors

**Npower Renewables** 

Oakwood Environmental

Office of the Deputy Prime Minister

Office of the Solicitor to the Advocate General for Scotland

Offshore Contractors' Association

Personal Watercraft Partnership

Plantlife

Plymouth Marine Laboratory

Plymouth University

**Poole Harbour Commissioners** 

Port of London Authority

Portsmouth University, CEMARE

Professional Boatman's Association

Proudman Oceanographic Laboratory

RMC Group Services Ltd

Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution

Royal Holloway University of London

Royal Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals

Royal Society for the Protection of Birds

Royal Town Planning Institute

Royal Yachting Association

Salvage Association

Scotland & Northern Ireland Maritime & Coastquard Agency

Scottish Association for Marine Science

Scottish Coastal Forum

Scottish Environment Protection Agency

Scottish Executive

Scottish Fishermen's Federation

Scottish Marine Wildlife Operators Association

Scottish Natural Heritage

Scottish Pelagic Fishermen's Association

Scottish Renewables Forum

Scottish Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals

Scottish Water

Sea Fish Industry Authority

Sea Fish Industry Authority

Sea Mammal Research Unit

SeaWatch Foundation

SeaZone

**Shark Trust** 

Shellfish Association of Great Britain

**Small Business Service** 

South Coast Shipping Co Ltd

South Wales Sea Fisheries Committee

South West Interfish Project

Southern Sea Fisheries Committee

Sport England

Sussex Sea Fisheries Committee

The Alistair Hardy Foundation for Oceanographic Science

The Crown Estate

The Marine Laboratory

The Marine Stewardship Council

The Maritime and Coastguard Agency

The Meteorological Office

The National Federation of Sea Schools

The National Trust

The National Trust for Scotland

The Society for Underwater Technology

The Society of Aerospace Companies

The Treasury Solicitor Department

The Wildlife Trusts

Trinity Lighthouse Service

UK Association of Fish Producers Organisation Ltd

**UK Cable Protection Committee** 

**UK Hydrographic Office** 

**UK Major Ports Group** 

UK Offshore Oil and Gas Association

**UK Offshore Operators Association** 

**UK Spill Association** 

United Kingdom Land and Hydrographic Survey Association

United Marine Aggregates

United Marine Dredging Limited

**United Utilities** 

Van Oord UK Ltd

Vegetarian Economy and Green Agriculture

Visit Britain

Visit Scotland

Volker Dredging Ltd.

Wales Coastal and Maritime Partnership

Wales Wildlife and Countryside Link

Water UK

Welsh Assembly Government

Welsh Development Agency

Welsh Federation of Fishermen's' Associations

Welsh Federation of Sea Anglers

Welsh Local Government Association
Welsh Tourist Board
West Coast Energy Ltd
Westminster Gravels Limited
Whale and Dolphin Conservation Society
Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust
Wildlife and Countryside Link
Wildlife Trust
Wildlife Trust (Northern Ireland)
Wildlife Trust of South and West Wales
WWF-UK

Yacht Charter Association

York University

#### Annex B

## List of respondents to the public consultation on the Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 2003 and 2006

**Associated British Ports** 

British Association for Shooting and Conservation

British Marine Aggregate Producers Association

**British Shipping** 

Countryside Council for Wales

Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science

Cornwall County Council

Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs

Dr J. B. Wilson

E. On UK

**English Heritage** 

**Environment Agency** 

**Environmental Industries Commission** 

Envirowatch

European Cetacean Bycatch campaign

European Community shipowners' Associations

Greenpeace

Hampshire County Council

Health and Safety Executive

**Highways Agency** 

Individual responses (2)

Institute of Civil Engineers

Institute of Ecology and Environmental management

International Cable Protection committee

Joint Nature Conservation Committee

Kent and Essex Sea Fisheries Council

Marathon Oil UK

Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom

Marine Connection

Marine Conservation Society

Marine Fisheries

Marine Wildlife Enforcement Group

Maritime and Coastquard Agency

Moray Firth Partnership

National Federation of Fishermen's Organisations

National Grid

Natural Environment Research Council

North Eastern Sea Fisheries Committee

North Wales Police

Northern Ireland Environment Link

Port of London Authority

Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council

Royal Society for the Protection of Birds

RWE Innogy Plc

Royal Yachting Association

Scottish Environment Protection Agency

Scottish Fishermen's Federation

Sea Fish Industry Authority

Shell EP Europe

**Small Business Service** 

The United Kingdom Major Ports Group

United Kingdom Cable Protection Committee

United Kingdom Environmental Law Association Nature Conservation Working Group

**UK Hydrographics Office** 

**UK Offshore Operators Association Limited** 

Vegetarian Economy & Green Agriculture Research

Whale and Dolphin Conservation Society

WWF-UK

Wildfowl and Wetland Trust

Annex C

#### **Code of Practice on Written Consultations**

- 1. Timing of consultation should be built into the planning process for a policy (including legislation) or service from the start, so that it has the best prospect of improving the proposals concerned, and so that sufficient time is left for it at each stage.
- 2. It should be clear who is being consulted, about what questions, in what timescale and for what purpose.
- A consultation document should be as simple and concise as possible. It should include a summary, in two pages at most, of the main questions it seeks views on. It should make it as easy as possible for readers to respond, make contact or complain.
- 4. Documents should be made widely available, with the fullest use of electronic means (though not to the exclusion of others), and effectively drawn to the attention of all interested groups and individuals.
- 5. Sufficient time should be allowed for considered responses from all groups with an interest. Twelve weeks should be the standard minimum period for a consultation.
- 6. Responses should be carefully and open-mindedly analysed, and the results made widely available, with an account of the views expressed, and reasons for decisions finally taken.
- 7. Departments should monitor and evaluate consultations, designating a consultation co-ordinator who will ensure the lessons are disseminated.

# The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) (Amendment) Regulations 2007 Final Regulatory Impact Assessment

Contents		
1	Proposal	2
2	Purpose and intended effect	2
	Background	2
	The Objective	3
	Rationale for Government intervention	4
3	Consultation	4
4	Options	5
	Option 1	5
	Option 2	5
5	Defra's chosen option	5
6	Costs and benefits	7
	Sectors and groups affected	7
	Overview of costs	15
	Equity and Fairness	15
	Direct costs to Government and its agencies	15
7	Small Firms Impact Test	16
8	Competition assessment	17
9	Enforcement, sanctions and monitoring	17
10	Implementation and delivery plan	18
11	Post implementation review	18
	Contact point	18
12	Declaration and publication	18
Table 1	Summary costs and benefits table	19
Annex A	List of recipients for the public consultation	20
Annex B	List of respondents to the public consultation	32
Annov C	Code of practice on written consultations	2/

## 1. Proposal

The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) (Amendment) Regulations 2007 (the "Amendment Regulations") – further Regulations for the transposition of Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (the "Habitats Directive") into law, principally in England and Wales.

This Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA) sets out the impacts of amending the Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 (SI 1994/2716) (the "Habitats Regulations") and other related legislation.

A separate RIA is also being undertaken to set out impacts of transposing the Habitats Directive and Council Directive 79/409/EEC on the conservation of wild birds (the "Wild Birds Directive") beyond territorial waters. This will be achieved through the Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 2007.

# 2. Purpose and intended effect

#### (i) Background

The Habitats Directive was adopted in 1992 with the purpose of establishing common levels of conservation throughout the European Community for those habitats and species perceived to be under threat. Central to the Directive's objectives is the establishment throughout the EC of a suite of protected sites called Special Areas of Conservation (SACs). These sites, together with Special Protection Areas (SPAs) designated under the Wild Birds Directive, form the EC wide ecological network known as Natura 2000 (N2K). Such sites in terrestrial areas and in territorial waters (i.e. out to 12 nautical miles) are referred to as "European sites" in this RIA; sites in the offshore marine area (beyond 12 nautical miles and out to 200 nautical miles) are referred to as "European offshore marine sites".

The principal instrument transposing the Habitats Directive in Great Britain (and the adjacent territorial waters) is the Habitats Regulations.

Consultation on draft amendments to the Habitats Regulations in England, and on the principles of amendments in Wales, originally took place in 2003<sup>31</sup>. Following these consultations, the transposition of the Habitats Directive in the United Kingdom was subject to two European Court of Justice judgments.

In January 2004 the European Commission made an application to the European Court of Justice (ECJ) alleging a number of failings in the UK's transposition of the Habitats Directive. The ECJ subsequently ruled<sup>32</sup> that the United Kingdom had not correctly transposed the Habitats Directive in a number of areas (Case C-6/04, Commission v United Kingdom). In a later transposition case (Case C-131/05, Commission v United Kingdom), the ECJ ruled that Articles 12(2) and 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive were not correctly transposed since the Habitats Regulations only

31

http://www.defra.gov.uk/corporate/consult/nat-habitats/index.htm http://www.wales.gov.uk/subienvironment/content/consultations/transposition-e.htm http://europa.eu.int/eur-lex/lex/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:62004J0006:EN:HTML

prohibited the keeping, transportation and sale etc of Annex IV species native to Great Britain, as opposed to all Annex IV species.

A further consultation was published on 8 May 2006 which looked at amending the transposition of the Habitats Directive in England and Wales, in light of the above judgments and the results of the previous consultations.

The Amendment Regulations will make changes, principally in respect of England and Wales (though some amendments extend also to Scotland, and some only to Scotland), to the Habitats Regulations, the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 and the Conservation of Seals Act 1970 to reflect the two ECJ judgments and to otherwise improve the UK's transposition of the Habitats Directive by addressing other deficiencies.

This RIA relates only to England and Wales. Scotland, Northern Ireland and Gibraltar have consulted and drafted similar provisions which will or have already come into force in their territories.

#### (ii) Objective -

The proposal to amend the Habitats Regulations addresses current gaps and inconsistencies and will create greater legal certainty in a number of areas.

The amendments will:

- ensure appropriate assessments are undertaken for water abstraction and land use plans likely to have a significant effect on a European site or a European offshore marine site;
- amend the species protection regime to better reflect the Habitats Directive;
- provide a clear legal basis for surveillance and monitoring of European protected species; and
- toughen the regime on trading protected European species that are not native to the UK.

In summary the Amendment Regulations will:

1) Protect non-native species of animals and plants from trade and make it unlawful to trade (subject to certain exceptions) in wild specimens of Annex IV species taken or killed on or after 10th June 1994.

Where the species is an Annex IV or Annex II(b) species (other than any bryophyte) and taken from within the EC, sale or exchange of a specimen of that species will be unlawful if it was taken from the wild after 10<sup>th</sup> June 1994 from most EC countries. However, the date will be later for the recent accession countries and will be the day they joined the EC. A specimen taken before 10th June 1994 can be sold without a licence so long as it can be shown that it was taken in accordance with the law of the Member State in which it was taken.

2) Remove the majority of the defences in regulations 40 and 43.

- 3) Extend the current prohibition on the use of listed indiscriminate means of capture and killing in regulation 41 to include any yet unknown forms.
- 4) Impose a specific statutory duty to make arrangements for surveillance and monitoring.
- 5) Ensure that the requirement to carry out appropriate assessments on water abstraction consents and land use plans is explicit.
- (iii) Rationale for government intervention

The UK wants to act in accordance with its stated intention to preserve and enhance biodiversity in line with the Convention of Biological Diversity signed at the Rio Earth Summit.

Failure to fully transpose the Directive may result in:

- The UK being unable to fully achieve its stated intention to preserve and enhance biodiversity.
- The current Article 228 proceedings (brought by the European Commission against the UK for failure to implement the ECJ's two rulings) progressing to judgment. Ultimately if deficiencies identified by the ECJ are not remedied there is a risk that the UK will be subject to substantial financial penalties.

The responses from the consultation supported the rationale for Government intervention.

#### 3. Consultation

In preparing the Amendment Regulations which accompany this RIA, Defra has consulted:

- a) Other Government Departments including the Ministry of Defence and the Department of Trade and Industry, have been consulted in principle on the proposed changes to the 1994 Regulations.
- b) **Delivery bodies** such as Natural England (NE) (formerly Rural Development Service and English Nature), the Countryside Council for Wales (CCW) and the Forestry Commission (FC) have also been consulted. The police have also been consulted on enforcement.
- c) **Devolved administrations** in Scotland, Northern Ireland and, particularly in view of the extent of the proposed regulations, the Welsh Assembly Government, have been consulted on the proposed changes.
- d) **Stakeholders and the general public** in England and Wales were consulted on 8 May 2006. Annex A lists the organisations that responded to the consultation.

A summary of responses and other relevant documents can be found on the Defra website via: www.defra.gov.uk/wildlife-countryside/ewd/index.htm

# 4. Options

Two options were identified in the consultation which took place in May 2006.

Option 1: Continue to rely on existing legislation, including the general duties on competent authorities (any Minister, government department, public or statutory undertaker, public body of any description or person holding a public office) to give effect to the Habitats Directive, and administrative procedures currently being practised.

Option 2: Make amending regulations to improve the transposition of the Habitats Directive in England and Wales.

The Habitats Regulations can be amended by Statutory Instrument and thereby improved in accordance with the two ECJ judgments in Cases C-6/04 and C-131/05.

Option 1 is the 'do nothing' option. Until now, many of the obligations of the Habitats Directive have been delivered through policies and guidance without specific legislative transposition and by means of general legal duties. However, the ECJ's judgments in Cases C-6/04 and C-131/05 found that the UK had not accurately and effectively transposed parts of the Habitats Directive into national legislation. If the UK does not amend the relevant pieces of legislation, the European Commission may continue current legal proceedings which could result in substantial financial penalties being imposed on the UK Government.

Option 2 provides better transposition of the Habitats Directive in the UK and will thus improve the protection afforded to wildlife and habitats in the UK. Although many of the changes reflect what is already being done in practice, the UK is required to clearly and precisely transpose the Directive in to domestic legislation.

# 5. Defra's chosen option

Following the consultation, Defra chose to pursue the second option, which involves amending the relevant pieces of legislation. As the UK Government is required by the ECJ judgments to improve the transposition of the Habitats Directive in domestic legislation, non-regulatory options have not been considered.

We have taken on board consultees' comments and sought to find solutions to their concerns as detailed below:

There was support for protecting non-native species of animals and plants from trade, and making it unlawful to trade (subject to certain exceptions) in specimens of Annex IV or Annex II(b) species taken or killed on or after 10th June 1994. Where the species is an Annex IV or Annex II(b) species (other than any bryophyte) and taken from within the EC, sale or exchange of a specimen of that species will require a licence if it was taken from the wild after 10<sup>th</sup> June 1994 from most EC countries. However, the date will be later for the recent accession countries and will be the day they joined the EC. A specimen taken before 10th

June 1994 can be sold without a licence so long as it can be shown that it was taken in accordance with the law of the Member State in which it was taken.

However there were concerns that this would result in an increase in the licensing burden with no conservation benefit as most specimens will already be dead.

- We have sought ways of reducing the increased licensing burden by simplifying the relevant licence application forms.
- We have provided additional time (3 months) for those that currently possess Annex IV or Annex II(b) species (other than bryophytes) to obtain a licence.
- 2) There was general support for the removal of the majority of defences in regulations 40 and 43. However, there were concerns, particularly from the forestry sector, that the removal of the defences would increase the licensing burden and constrain woodland management (noting that the majority of the protected species are associated with woodland). There were particular concerns over the strict liability offence in relation to species such as dormice and bats where the breeding sites are not easy to locate. There were also concerns that the removal of EPS protection from the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (WCA) would reduce protection.
  - We have explored ways of reducing the licensing burden by simplifying the licensing regime whilst remaining within the constraints of the strict species protection regime.
  - We have also reduced the potential licensing burden by amending the deliberate disturbance offence to allow activities which have low level impact to continue without a licence.
  - We will provide practical guidance to help those that carry out activities assess whether they require a licence i.e. whether they will commit an offence. Guidance will encourage practices that avoid an offence being committed, and therefore the need for a licence.
  - We have removed protection of EPS from the WCA where possible whilst maintaining the level of protection afforded to those species. We have raised penalties for offences under the Habitats Regulations and added enforcement provisions equivalent to those inserted into the WCA by the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 to ensure enforcement consistency.
- 3) There was support for extending the current prohibition on the use of listed indiscriminate means of capture and killing in regulation 41 to include any yet unknown forms. However there were some concerns that the change would limit legal methods of capture and killing.
  - A thorough list of means of indiscriminate capture and killing is already included in regulation 41, and we have not identified any other indiscriminate means not currently listed. It is therefore considered that, for the current time at least, the amendment merely provides clarification and that there will be no changes in practice to legal methods of capture and killing.

- 4) There was support for a specific statutory duty to make arrangements for surveillance and monitoring. There was also support for surveillance of species outside their current natural range to take account of climate change impacts. However, there were concerns about the lack of detail in the amendment to the Habitats Regulations and the lack of consultation to be undertaken outside Government. There were also concerns that supplementary measures were not specifically mentioned. The availability of sufficient resources to carry out these duties was also raised.
  - Surveillance and monitoring is already being carried out in England and Wales which is co-ordinated by the Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC). Supplementary measures are already available, to increase protection if necessary such as introducing prohibition on taking and quotas, which will be put into action when necessary. The amendment to the Habitats Regulations merely adds a statutory duty to undertake activities already being carried out.
  - We will explore with JNCC whether there are any gaps in the current monitoring and surveillance systems and, if appropriate, seek to fill gaps according to the availability of resources.
  - We will consult, where appropriate, on the nature of surveillance and monitoring.
- 5) There was broad support for land use and water abstraction plans and projects to be expressly subject to the obligations in regulations 48 and 49 (requirement to consider effect on European sites in Great Britain and European offshore marine sites) and regulations 50 and 51 (requirement to review certain existing decisions and consents, &c). However, many commented that these provisions should have been extended beyond the immediate requirement of the judgment, to include all plans or projects in order to fully reflect the requirements of Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive.
  - Currently the general duty under regulation 3(4) of the Habitats Regulations compels every competent authority in the exercise of any of their functions to have regard to the requirements of the Habitats Directive (including Article 6(3) and (4)), so far as they may be affected by the exercise of those functions. However, following these amendments, we intend to carry out a further review of the Habitats Regulations to, amongst other things, address transposition gaps filled by general duties and look to expressly fill those gaps on the face of the regulations. This will include looking in detail at Part IV (which does not include specific reference to all relevant plan or project regimes) so that all relevant regimes are expressly captured by the Habitats Regulations.

#### 6. Costs and benefits

#### (i) Sectors and groups affected by option 2

Some business sectors, principally taxidermists and other animal traders, zoos, museums and other animal collections, may be affected by the proposed stricter possession and sale controls concerning species listed in Annex IV to the Habitats Directive. Those that already possess wild sourced Annex IV species and those that

legally acquire them after the Amendment Regulations come into force may be required to obtain a licence to continue to possess the specimens. Those that wish to trade in Annex IV specimens will also require a sale licence. If the species is also protected by the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), an Article 10 licence will be required as well. However, we do not believe that there is a significant trade in such species and therefore any impacts will be minimal.

Some business sectors, principally forestry and agriculture, will be significantly affected by the removal of the 'incidental result' defence as currently provided for in regulations 40(3)(c) and 43(4) of the Habitats Regulations. Any action that will result in an offence being committed will now only be lawful if carried out pursuant to a licence granted under regulation 44. There is likely to be an increase, possibly substantial for the forestry sector, in the administrative burden on individuals as they will now have to consider the impact of their activities on EPS i.e. assess the presence of EPS, modify their activities and, if appropriate, apply for a licence.

We are working to reduce this burden by providing guidance to stakeholders on how to ascertain the presence of EPS and assess the risk of committing an offence. This guidance will also provide advice on how to undertake activities so as to avoid committing an offence against EPS where possible, and therefore remove the need for a licence.

Similarly, householders and developers may be affected by the removal of the defence which covers bats in dwelling houses defence, set out in regulation 40(2) and (4). Previously, householders with bats in their loft could rely on the defence to interfere with or exclude bats. This meant that if they notified the appropriate nature conservation body of a proposed action, such as exclusion from a loft, and allow them reasonable time to provide advice, they would have defence against the offences under regulation 39. This means that householders are likely to need a licence before taking action against bats in their homes. Animal EPS (such as bats) will still be protected from obstruction under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. With regards to obstruction the offence applies to activities that obstruct access to a place used for shelter or protection. Obstruction may affect different species in different ways depending on how they use these places. In many cases, the act of obstructing a place of shelter or protection, for example a bat roost, will have the same effect on the animals concerned as destroying that roost. In such cases, we consider the offence under reg. 39(1) (damaging or destroying a breeding site or resting place) to be applicable too and a licence under regulation 44 would be required.

We believe that there will be a limited number of licences (less than 100) required. Bat workers will continue to provide advice on the options available to householders with the view that exclusion is the last resort.

Guidance will be provided for affected sectors and groups to help them understand the changes to the legislation and how it may impact on their activities. Guidance will include a simplified summary of the legislative changes, explanation of changes to the species licensing regime and practical guidance for operators carrying out ongoing activities focusing on how to avoid committing offences against EPS.

It is primarily, but not exclusively, the water utilities which are affected by regulation of water abstraction consents. In terms of assessing and reviewing water abstraction licences, the Environment Agency are, through the general duty under regulation 3(4), already fulfilling obligations under Article 6(3) and (4). Where a Catchment Abstraction Management Strategy (CAMS) area contains a European site, the development of the strategy will take account of the associated water requirements for that site. The Environment Agency have produced extensive guidance for water abstractors which can be accessed via:

http://environment-agency.gov.uk/subjects/waterres/564321/?version=1&lang=\_e

Guidance on the obligations of regulations 48 and 49 (requirement to consider effect on European sites in Great Britain and European offshore marine sites) and regulations 50 and 51 (requirement to review certain existing decisions and consents, &c) will be issued to clarify any procedural or administrative changes that might occur as a result of water abstraction being specifically captured by the Habitats Regulations.

Until the European Court of Justice's judgment in C-6/04, it was not thought appropriate to undertake assessments of effects on European sites at the land use plan stage, but later with regards to specific proposals. However, the ECJ held that plans which are subject to some further future consent can still be said to be capable of having a significant effect on European sites (because, in the case of land use plans, the relevant UK legislation at the time required the future consent to be determined in the light of the relevant land use plan). The Court held that, in line with the precautionary principle, "such a risk exists if it cannot be excluded on the basis of objective information that the plan or project will have a significant effect on the site concerned." If this test cannot be met then an appropriate assessment will be required.

Planning Authorities have always considered impacts on European sites at a certain stage in the process. The burden comes from having to demonstrate no significant adverse effect in respect of the plan even when it does not refer to any sites, and from having to meet the reporting arrangements separately from the Strategic Environmental Assessment process. The new requirement on regional planning bodies and local planning authorities to undertake appropriate assessments of their new spatial plans has already had a considerable impact on both plan costs and speed at a particularly critical time. This is especially true where plans had reached an advanced stage of preparation. However as the period since the ECJ judgment grows longer, it will become easier for planning bodies to take account of their new obligations in drawing up project plans for their work. Delays to plans being adopted ultimately have an impact on the development industry and also on those expecting to be able to occupy premises.

Draft departmental guidance for regional planning bodies and local planning authorities has already been published by Communities and Local Government (CLG) regarding compliance with the requirement to undertake Habitats Assessments in connection with regional special strategies and local development documents. This guidance can be found at:

www.communities.gov.uk/index.asp?id=1502244 www.communities.gov.uk/index.asp?id=1165623 and;

# The following table is an outline of the costs and benefits of option 2.

Costs	Benefits			
Economic	Economic			
• It is acknowledged that the changes to the Habitats Regulations, in particular the removal of many of the defences applicable to the species protection regime, will result in a better transposition of Article 12 of the Habitats Directive into domestic legislation. However, there will be increased economic costs to both operators and Government delivery agencies to implement these changes on the ground. However, an increase in these costs is acceptable as the changes improve the transposition of the Habitats Directive and are essential to ensure the species protection regime operates within the Habitats Directive's requirements. As a result of these changes operators will need to review the impact of their management practices on EPS and ascertain whether they are likely to commit offences against EPS. They may choose to either continue as before or modify practices to avoid committing offences or apply for a licence if the offence cannot be avoided. All of the above, may result in extra costs to business. Administrative costs will also fall to stakeholders who apply for licences and also to the licensing authorities.	There will be no economic benefits of amending the legislation.			
Delays to land use plans being adopted have an impact on the development industry and also on those expecting to be able to occupy premises. However, these delays will lessen with time as it becomes easier for planning bodies to take account of their new obligations in drawing up project plans for their work. Furthermore, these delays are acceptable as the new requirements will ensure that the land use planning system take full account of the existence of protected sites.  Administrative burden/costs				
With regards to species protection, this burden will fall mainly upon those that carry out ongoing activities such as forestry and agriculture - whether they are involved in a Government funded scheme or not. For example,				

foresters who apply for a felling licence or a woodland grant scheme will need to consider the impacts on EPS. The same would apply for farmers in Agri-environment schemes and receiving Single Farm Payments.

- Operators will need to review the impact of their management practices on EPS and ascertain whether they are likely to commit offences against EPS. This will involve reading guidance issued by Defra, Natural England and Forestry Commission. Operators may choose to either continue as before or modify practices to avoid committing offences or apply for a licence if the offence(s) cannot be avoided. All of the above, may result in extra costs to business. Throughout this process there will be increased burdens, depending on the course of action, to ascertain the presence of EPS e.g. surveys, modify practices e.g. changing timing and applying for a licence. An increased administrative cost will also fall to NE to administer applications and grant licences. A percentage of successful applicants will also be required to take part in licence compliance monitoring visits.
- It is estimated that the number of additional licences required for EPS in England and Wales could be as few as 3, 400 but could exceed 12,000. The upper figure has been difficult to estimate due to the lack of detailed information on the location of EPS and their breeding sites and resting places. It is envisaged that the majority of operators will follow guidance and take steps to avoid committing offences and will thus substantially reduce the number of licences required.

#### Regulatory burden/costs

- With regards to species protection, this applies to the licensing authority
  which in this case is NE in England, National Assembly for Wales (NAW)
  and CCW in Wales. The changes in the Habitats Regulations will
  potentially increase the number of licence applications and there will also
  be additional demands for advice. It is estimated that the number of
  additional licences for EPS required in England and Wales will range from
  approximately 3,400 to 12,000 (see above).
- The new requirement on regional planning bodies and local planning authorities to undertake appropriate assessments of their new spatial plans has already had a considerable impact on both plan costs and speed at a

• Whilst the general duty under regulation 3(4) compels every competent authority to have regard to the requirements of the Habitats Directive, it is for the competent authority to interpret how it should apply those requirements. This leads to inconsistencies of application. Parts IV and IVA of the Regulations will set out how the obligations of Article 6(3) and (4) – the consideration of effect on European sites – are to be applied in practice. This will lead to a consistent approach to such assessments with regards to land use and water abstraction plans and projects.

particularly critical time. However as the period since the ECJ judgment
grows longer, it will become easier for planning bodies to take account of
their new obligations.

• There are 1500 potential development plan documents, as well as 8 Regional Spatial Strategies and one London Plan. A forward look suggests that around 350 plans are produced a year - although not all will need a full assessment owing to their location in relation to European sites.

#### Further details of the Admin and Regulatory burdens are in Table 1.

#### Social

- There will be some social costs in amending the legislation as stakeholders, such as those carrying out ongoing activities, householders, and those that possess Annex IV species will need to understand the changes made to the legislation and the impact it may have on their property and the activities they undertake.
- Delays to plans while planning authorities comply with the requirements will mean delayed implementation of associated social benefits such as meeting housing shortages

#### Social

- There will be some social benefits of amending the legislation as the changes will provide a better transposition of species protection legislation by removing the defences or exceptions to the offences against EPS.
- There will be some monetary benefits as people value wildlife. However, as no market exists where these types of values can be ascertained it is difficult to quantify.

#### Environment

• There may be some environmental costs as a result of amending the species protection regime's defences. The need to consider the presence of EPS, particularly their breeding sites or resting places, and possibly to change management practices and/or apply for a licence may discourage operators from carrying out habitat management that has conservation benefits for EPS. For example woodland managers may decide that it is too risky to continue coppicing hazel woodland, an activity that benefits the conservation of dormice, in case they commit an offence. It is difficult to predict the extent of this impact, however we hope that operators will follow guidance and obtain further advice form experts if they have concerns so that the negative impact will be minimal.

#### Environment

• The Amendment Regulations will make improvements, although very difficult to quantify, to the species protection regime by removing almost all of the defences or exceptions to the offences against EPS. The removal of many of the defences, particularly the 'incidental result of a lawful operation' defence, will mean that people will need to give further consideration to the impact of their activities on EPS. They will need to assess the presence of EPS, particularly the location of their breeding sites or resting places. The EPS will benefit as those carrying out the activity will be better informed of their presence and, by following guidance, will be able to try to avoid committing an offence. Where this is not possible, a licence must be sought which will only be granted if certain strict conditions are met. This will ensure that the strict species regime set out by the Habitats Directive is fully implemented.

•	There will	he no	other	anvironi	mental	coete
•	THERE WIII	DE HO	omer	enviioni	пеша	GOSIS.

- The Amendment Regulations will also make improvements to the species protection regime by protecting Annex IV species which are not native to Great Britain as well as EPS (and protecting Annex II(b) plant species (other than bryophytes)). As a licence will now be required to keep, transport and sell specimens of such species (subject to limited exceptions), it will now be possible to closely regulate the trade of these species which will have a knock on effect of discouraging the taking of such species from the wild.
- There will be some monetary benefits as people value wildlife. However, as no market exists where these types of values can be ascertained it is difficult to quantify.
- The above improvements to the species protection regime, although very difficult to quantify, will meet Defra's objectives of protecting and enhancing the natural environment, now and for future generations. It also contributes to the UK's commitment to the Convention on Biological Diversity 2010 target of halting biodiversity loss. The licensing regime ensures a robust framework for future development decisions that respect environmental constraints. This fits closely with the principle of sustainable development to respect the limits of the planet's environment, resources and biodiversity to improve our environment and ensure that the natural resources needed for life are unimpaired and remain so for future generations.
- The Amended Habitats Regulations will clearly inform responsible planning authorities of their duty to undertake an appropriate assessment for land use plans. This will ensure that the land use planning system takes full account of the existence of protected sites.

#### (ii) Overview of costs

The costs and benefits of option 2 set out in the Table 1 indicates that that there will be additional costs arising from these proposed legislative measures.

With regards to the changes to species protection provisions, there is likely to be an increase in the administrative burden for certain sectors applying for licences and regulatory burden on the licensing authority. These burdens are summarised in Table 1. In 2006 there were 960 new applications for EPS licences in England and 983 in Wales. It is estimated that the number will increase by approximately 3,400 in England and Wales in 2007, but it could be as high as 12,000. However, we will work to drive down this burden by providing practical guidance to stakeholders. The guidance will encourage operators to modify their practices and thus avoid committing offences and therefore the need for a licence. In some circumstances, a licence will be required if committing offences cannot be avoided. However, in other circumstances stakeholders may not be able to obtain a licence as a result of the strict tests which must be met before one can be granted. In these cases, it will be essential that practical guidance is followed and offences avoided.

With regards to appropriate assessment of land use plans, the burden comes from having to demonstrate no significant adverse effect in the plan even when it does not refer to any sites and in having to meet the reporting arrangements separately from the Strategic Environmental Assessment. The new requirement on regional planning bodies and local planning authorities to undertake appropriate assessments of their new spatial plans has already had a considerable impact on both plan costs and speed at a particularly critical time. This is especially true where plans had reached an advanced stage of preparation. However as the period since the ECJ judgment grows longer, it will become easier for planning bodies to take account of their new obligations in drawing up project plans for their work.

#### (iii) Equity and fairness

It may be viewed that prohibiting the possession of legally obtained specimens is unfair. In broad terms, changes by the Amendment Regulations will make it unlawful to possess wild specimens of Annex IV(a) and (b) and Annex II(b) species (other than bryophytes) which had been taken etc or picked etc on or after 10 June 1994 from most EC countries. However, the date will be later for the recent accession countries and will be the day they joined the EC. A licence will be required to continue to possess such specimens which have already been taken from the wild. The licence will be a simple as possible in order to reduce the administrative burden and there will be a three month "grace" period for people to obtain licences after the changes come into force.

#### (iv) Direct costs to Government and its agencies

The proposed changes should not result in any significant additional cost to Government or its Agencies although Government Offices have already incurred costs undertaking appropriate assessments of Regional Spatial Strategy changes after public examination. However this should reduce over time.

A number of regional planning bodies and local planning authorities have already undertaken the new assessments. Costs range from £10,000 to £20,000 per plan. There are 1500 potential development plan documents, as well as 8 Regional Spatial Strategies and one London Plan. A forward look suggests that around 350 plans are produced a year - although not all will need a full assessment owing to their location in relation to European sites.

There is also at present quite a high cost as a result of delays to plan making processes which should reduce over time. The delays have occurred where authorities had not anticipated the UK would lose the ECJ case and therefore had to apply the assessment retrospectively. As the time passes, the delay due to consideration of effect on habitats should be factored into the project planning and therefore become less or even nothing. These costs will apply not only to government and local/regional government but also to the development industry and ultimately to those in housing need.

In terms of assessing and reviewing abstraction licences, the Environment Agency is, through the general duty under regulation 3(4), already undertaking what it is obliged to do under Article 6(3) and (4) with regards to assessment and review of effect on European sites. The Regulations merely formalise current arrangements concerning assessment and review of water abstraction consents.

With regards to changes in species protection provisions, there is likely to be an increase in the number of EPS licences. The increased cost of administering the licenses will fall to the licensing authorities; NE in England, and NAW and CCW in Wales. This will be accompanied by an increase in demand for advice from Government nature conservation bodies on how to apply for a licence and the level of information required to support the application. It is estimated that there will be an increase in costs to the licensing authorities of £323,000. There will also be increased costs to those parts of Government that own or manage land or buildings where EPS are likely to be found. The greatest impact will therefore be incurred by the MoD and the Forestry Commission. The Forestry Commission estimate that costs will be up to £1.5 million per year.

The proposals also formalise current arrangements concerning surveillance and monitoring which is co-ordinated across the UK by the Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) and is mainly carried our by volunteers and professional bodies. As the necessary work is already being done, we do not predict any increased costs as a result of the proposals

# 7. Small Firms' Impact Test

We have separately consulted key stakeholders that represent small firms, such as the Forestry Commission, the National Farming Union and the Guild of Taxidermists. Their views concerning the impact of the changes to species protection have been considered and as a consequence no small firms impact test questionnaire is attached to this RIA. There is likely to be some impact on small enterprises, such as those that work in forestry, as they now need to assess the presence of EPS and their breeding sites and resting places. There will be significant constraints on some

of the operations they carry out and the seasonality of those operations. Many may find the risk of damaging or destroying a breeding site or resting place of an EPS cannot be avoided and thus the risk of prosecution unacceptable and feel forced to shut down areas of activity.

## 8. Competition Assessment

With the exception of forestry and agriculture, we do not envisage that any economic sector will be significantly affected by the proposals more than at present. For forestry and agriculture guidance will help to inform operators of the changes and provide practical advice on how to avoid offences and reduce impact on their businesses. There may be some concerns regarding the prohibition of trade in Annex IV species which may have some impact on the trade sector. However, we do not expect this to be significant as trade in these species is currently at a relatively low level.

# 9. Enforcement, sanctions and monitoring

The Habitats Regulations require enforcement;

- i) for plans and projects,
- ii) for the offences relating to habitats and species.

For the former, as is the situation at present, the enforcement and monitoring activity will take place through the consenting regimes currently operated by competent authorities.

With regards to land use plans: In the first instance, if a government office is aware of an apparent failure to comply it may alert the planning authority to ensure any delays in adopting a plan are avoided or minimised. Similarly the Planning Inspectorate will be likely to alert an authority of apparent failure to comply with the Directive in the interests of holding purposeful examinations into plans. Nevertheless, neither government offices nor the Planning Inspectorate are competent authorities and it is for councils to take their own advice on whether they have complied with the Habitats Directive or Regulations. Similarly it is not for the government to enforce compliance with the Habitats Directive or Regulations except in the helpful manner referred to above. Ultimately it is a matter for third parties to take councils to court if they feel that the Habitats Regulations have not been complied with.

Habitats and species offences will continue to be primarily enforced by the police. NE in England, and NAW and CCW in Wales will continue to monitor activities, including those licensed, to ensure compliance with the amended Habitats Regulations. They will continue to work in partnership with the UK's enforcement agencies to take forward prosecutions.

The current maximum penalty for offences against animal Annex IV species is a level 5 fine on the standard scale (currently £5000) and for plant Annex IV species a level 4 fine on the standard scale (currently £4000). In order to ensure consistency with the WCA, the maximum penalties (for animals and plants) will be raised to a level 5 fine and/or a 6 months custodial sentence.

# 10. Implementation and Delivery plan

The amended Habitats Regulations will be implemented using a number of avenues. A simplified guide to the changes to the legislation will be sent to key stakeholders and a guide on the changes to licensing will be made available. Practical guidance developed by key stakeholders and experts will be available on the internet to enable future updates if necessary. Draft departmental guidance for regional and local planning authorities has already been published by Communities and Local Government (CLG) regarding compliance with the requirement to undertake Habitats Assessments in connection with regional special strategies and local development documents. Changes will be publicised widely through the media using key stakeholder publications. A number of stakeholder workshops have already been undertaken in Bristol, Peterborough and Newcastle to explain the changes to the species provisions.

# 11. Post Implementation Review

With regards to changes to the species provisions and the likely increased licensing burden, we will monitor and review the impact on the licensing regime during the 12 months after the Amendment Regulations come into force.

With regards to land use plans, there are no formal proposals to monitor the uptake of habitats assessments.

# 12. Declaration and publication

I have read the Regulatory Impact Assessment and I am satisfied that the benefits justify the costs.

Signed by the responsible minister

#### **Barry Gardiner...**

Date 22<sup>nd</sup> June 2007...

Further information on the development of Regulatory Impact Assessments is available from the Cabinet Office's website at <a href="http://www.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/regulation/ria/index.asp">http://www.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/regulation/ria/index.asp</a>

Contact point for enquiries and comments on this RIA:

Simon Liebert WHB2, Defra, Zone 1/06c, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol BS1 6EB. Tel: 0117 372 8341 simon.liebert@defra.gsi.gov.uk

Table 1 England and Wales

	Summary costs and benefits table					
Option	Total benefit per annum: economic, environmental, social	Total cost per annum: - economic, environmental, social - policy and administrative				
1	None	The ECJ can impose very heavy fines. France was recently fined a lump sum of Euro 20 million, plus Euro 57 million for every six months it failed to comply with a judgment of the ECJ.				
2	There will be social and environmental benefits, although these are at present unquantifiable.	For changes to species protection provisions  Admin burden = £592,700 Regulatory burden = £323,000 Total = £915,700 per year  For appropriate assessment of land use plans – taking average cost of assessment to be £15,000 and assuming 300 plans a year need a full assessment. (see 'Direct costs to Government and its agencies' section above)  Regulatory burden = £4,500,000 Total = £4,500,000 per year  No significant increase in costs with regard to appropriate assessment of water abstraction consents as this is already being undertaken (see 'Direct costs to Government and its agencies' section above)				

#### Annex A

# Recipients for the Public Consultation on the Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) (Amendment) (England and Wales) Regulations

Access Committee for England

**ACES** 

Action with Communities in Rural

England (ACRE) Adur District Council

Advisory Board for Redundant Churches Advisory Committee on the Protection of

the Sea

**Airport Operators Association** 

Alcatel UK

Alistair Hardy Foundation for Oceanographic Science Allerdale Borough Council Alnwick District Council

Amber Valley Borough Council
Amber Valley Housing LTD
Ancient Monuments Society
Anglesey Wind and Energy Ltd
Anglo-French Offshore Surveys Ltd
Anglo-North Irish Fish Producers'

Organisation Ltd

**ARC** 

Architects & Surveyors Institute Architectural Heritage Fund

Arun District Council
Ashfield District Council
Ashford Borough Council
Associated British Ports

Association of British Insurers Association of British Offshore

Industries

Association of Chartered Certified

Accountants

Association of Chief Police Officers Association of County Planning Officers Association of District Salmon Fishery

Boards

Association of Drainage Authorities Association of Garden Trusts Association of Inland Navigation

**Authorities** 

Association of London Borough

Planning Officers

Association of London Government

Association of Marine Scientific

Industries

Association of National Park Authorities Association of North East Councils Association of North Thames Amenity

Societies

Association of Preservation Trusts

Association of Sea Fisheries

Committees of England and Wales

Association of Small Historic Towns and

Villages

Atkins Global

Aviation Environment Federation All Wales Ethnic Minority Association Aylesbury Vale District Council

BAA Plc

**Babergh District Council** 

Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council Barrow in Furness District Council

Barrow in Furness District Cour

Bartlett Scholl of Planning Basildon District Council

Basingstoke & Deane Borough Council

(DC)

Bassetlaw District Council Bat Conservation Trust

Bath & North East Somerset Unitary

Council

Bedford Borough Council Bedfordshire County Council

Berwick Upon Tweed Borough Council

Bexley London Borough Council

BHP Billiton Plc
Birdlife International
Birmingham City Council
Blaby District Council
Blackburn Borough Council
Blackpool Unitary Council

Blaenau Gwent County Borough Council

Blyth Valley Borough Council

**BMT Cordah Ltd** 

**Bolsover District Council** 

**Bolton Metropolitan Borough Council** 

**Boston Borough Council** 

Bournemouth Borough Council Bracknell Forest Borough Council

**Bradford Metropolitan District Council** 

Braintree District Council Breckland District Council Brecknock Wildlife Trust

**Brecon Beacons National Park Authority** 

Brentwood Borough Council Brett Marine Aggregates Ltd Brick Development Association Bridgend County Borough Council

**Bridgnorth District Council** 

**Brighton & Hove City Unitary Council** 

Bristol City Council Bristol Port Company

Britannia Aggregates Limited

British Association for Shooting and

Conservation (BASC)

British Association of Landscape

Industries

British Association Of Leisure Parks,

Piers

British Association of Settlements and Social Action Centres (BASSAC) British Ball Clay Producers Federation

Ltd

British Ceramic Confederation British Chambers of Commerce British Council of Shopping Centres

**British Deer Society** 

British Divers Marine Life Rescue

British Ecological Society
British Fields Sports Society
British Geological Survey
British Herpetological Society

British Horse Industry Confederation

(BHIC)

**British Horse Society** 

British Insurance Brokers Association British Marine Aggregate Producers

Association

British Marine Federation British Nuclear Fuels Plc

British Oil Spill Control Association

British Parking Association
British Pest Control Association

**British Ports Association** 

**British Precast Concrete Federation** 

British Property Federation British Rig Owners Association

British Shippers Council British Sub-Aqua Club British Telecom Subsea Operations

British Tourist Authority British Trust for Ornithology British Tug Owners Association

**British Water** 

British Water Ski Federation

**British Waterways** 

British Wildlife Health Association British Wildlife Management

British Wildlife Rehabilitation Council

(BWRC)

British Wind Energy Association

**Broadland District Council** 

**Broads Authority** 

**Bromsgrove District Council** 

Bromsgrove District Housing Trust Broxbourne Borough Council Broxtowe Borough Council

BT Plc

**Buckinghamshire County Council** 

**Buglife** 

Builders Federation

**Burnley Borough Council** 

**Burry Inlet Hand Gatherers Association** 

**Bury Borough Council** 

Business & Prof Women UK Ltd Business in Sports and Leisure Ltd

**Butterfly Conservation** 

**Cabinet Office** 

Cabinet Office Legal Advisors

Cadw

Caerphilly County Borough Council

Calderdale Borough Council Cambridge City Council

Cambridgeshire County Council Campaign for the Protection of Rural

Wales

Campaign to Protect Rural England

Cannock Chase District Council

Canterbury City Council Caradon District Council

Cardiff Chamber of Commerce

Cardiff University

Cardigan Bay Fishermans Association

Carlisle City Council

Carmarthenshire County Council

Carrick District Council

Castle Morpeth Borough Council Castle Point Borough Council

Celtic Energy Ltd

**CEMEX Marine Ltd** 

Central Association of Agricultural

Valuers

Central Council For Physical Recreation

Central Council of Physical Recreation

Central Science Laboratory

Centre for Alternative Technology Centre For Environment, Fisheries &

Aquaculture Science

Ceredigion County Council

Chamber of Shipping

Charnwood Borough Council Chartered Institute of Building

Chartered Institute of Environmental

Health

Chartered Institute of Housing

Chartered Institute of Public Finance

and Accountancy (CIPFA)
Chelmsford Borough Council

Chelonia Limited

Cheltenham Borough Council Cheltenham Borough Home Ltd Chemical Industries Association

Cherwell District Council Cheshire County Council

Chester & District Housing Trust

Chester City Council

Chester Le Street District Council Chesterfield Borough Council Chichester District Council

Children's Commissioner for Wales

Chiltern District Council
China Clay Association
Chorley Borough Council
Chris Blandford Associates
Christchurch Borough Council

Church Commissioners
Churches Main Committee
City and County of Cardiff
City and County of Swansea
City of Bradford Met Council

City Of London Conservation Area

City of Sunderland

City of Wakefield District Council City of Westminster Council City of York Unitary Council

Citywest Homes

Civic Trust

Civic Trust for Wales Clearmarine Ltd Coastal Fisheries Conservation and

Management

Coastal Management for Sustainability

CoastNET Coed Cymru

Colchester Borough Council Colchester Borough Homes

Combined Heat And Power Association Commercial Horticultural Association Commission for Architecture and the

Built Environment (CABE)

Commission For Local Admin. In

England

Commission for Racial Equality
Confederation of British Industry
Confederation of British Industry (CBI)

Wales

Confederation of British Industry

(Wales)

Confederation of Construction

**Specialists** 

Congleton Borough Council
Construction Industry Council
Construction Products Association
Consumer Council For Water

Convention of Scottish Local Authorities

Conwy County Borough Council

Conwy Mussel Fishermans Association

Copeland Borough Council Corby Borough Council Cornwall County Council

Cornwall Sea Fisheries Committee

Corporation of London

Cory Env

Cotswold District Council

Council For British Archaeology Council For National Parks Council of the Isles of Scilly

Council On Tribunals

Country Land and Business Association

Countryside Agency Countryside Alliance

Countryside Council for Wales Countryside Recreation Network

County Surveyors Society Coventry City Council Craven District Council

**Craven Housing** 

Crawley Borough Council

Crewe and Nantwich Borough Council

Crewe Nantwich Borough Council

Crosskeys Homes Crown Estate

**Cumbria County Council** 

Cumbria Sea Fisheries Committee

Cyfarwydd Strategol
Cyngor Sir Ceredigion
Cyngor Sir Ynys Mon
Dacorun Borough Council
Darlington Borough Council
Dartford Borough Council

**Dartmoor National Park Authority** 

**Daventry District Council** 

Dee Valley Water Plc, Packsaddle

Deep Dock Ltd Deer Initiative Wales

**Denbighshire County Council** 

Denton Wilde Spate

Department for Constitutional Affairs Department for Culture Media and Sport Department for Environment, Food and

Rural Affairs

Department for International

Development

Department for Transport

Department for Work and Pensions
Department of Culture Media & Sport

Department of Education & Skills

Department of Health

Department of Land Economy
Department of Trade & Industry

Derby City Council Derby Homes LTD

Derbyshire County Council
Derbyshire Dales District Council
Derwentside District Council

Design Commission for Wales
Development Control Services Ltd

**Devon and Cornwall Police** 

Constabulary

**Devon County Council** 

Devon Sea Fisheries Committee

Dickinson Dees

Disabled Persons Transport Advisory

Committee (DPTAC)
District Of Bolsover

Doncaster Metropolitan Borough

Council

Dorset County Council Dover District Council

Dredging International (UK) Ltd

**Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council** 

Durham City Council Durham City Council

Dwr Cymru

**Dyfed Powys Police** 

E.ON UK plc Earthkind

**Easington District Council** 

East Cambridgeshire District Council

East Devon District Council
East Dorset District Council

East Durham Homes

East Hampshire District Council
East Hertfordshire District Council
East Lindsey District Council
East Midlands Regional Assembly
East Northamptonshire Council
East Riding of Yorkshire Council
East Staffordshire Borough Council

East Sussex County Council Eastbourne Borough Council Eastbourne Homes Ltd

Eastern Sea Fisheries Joint Committee

Eastleigh Borough Council Ecology Planning and Research

**Eden District Council** 

Electricity Association Services Limited Ellesmere Port & Neston Borough

Council

Elmbridge Borough Council

EMU Environmental Energy Institute

**Energy Networks Association** 

**Engineered Communications Solutions** 

Ltd

**English Heritage** 

**English Historic Towns Forum** 

English Nature
English Partnerships
English Tourism Council

Environment & Resources Service

**Environment Agency** 

Environment Agency Wales Environment Conservation & Management - Marine Policy

**Environment Trust** 

Environmental Advisory Service Environmental Industries Commission Environmental Services Association EnviroWatch UK

Epping Forest District Council Epsom & Ewell Borough Council Equal Opportunities Commission

Erewash Borough Council Erimus Housing Ltd Essex County Council European Affairs

European Cetacean Bycatch Campaign European Community Shipowners

Association

European Federation of Sea Anglers

Europilots

**Exeter City Council** 

Exmoor National Park Authority Fareham Borough Council

Farm Stay UK

Farmers' Union of Wales

Farningham McCreadie Partnership

Fauna and Flora International Federation of Private Residents

Associations

Federation of Small Businesses

Federation of Small Businesses (Wales)

Fenland District Council
First Choice Homes Oldham
Flintshire County Council
Flowers and Plants Association

Foreign and Commonwealth Office

Forest Authority (Wales)
Forest Heath District Council
Forest Of Dean District Council
Forestry and Timber Association

**Forestry Commission** 

Forestry Commission Wales

Forum for the Future Forum of Private Business Frere Cholmeley and Bischoff Friends of Cardigan Bay

Friends of the Earth

Friends of the Earth Cymru

Froglife

Fylde Borough Council Galvanizers Association Garden History Society Gateshead Council Gedling Borough Council

General Aviation Awareness Council

Geological Society of London

Georgian Group

Glamorgan Gwent Archaeological Trust

Ltd

Gloucester City Council

Gloucestershire County Council

Gosport Borough Council Government Office for London Government Office for the East Government Office for the East

Midlands

Government Office for the North East Government Office for the North West Government Office for the South East Government Office for the South West

Government Office for the West

Midlands

Government Office for Yorkshire and the

Humber

Gravesham Borough Council Great Crested Newt Consultancy Great Yarmouth Borough Council

Greater London Authority Green Base Exchange

Groundwork UK

Groundwork West Cumbria Guildford Borough Council

GVA Grimley Gwent Police HQ Gwent Wildlife Trust

**Gwynedd Archaeological Trust** 

Halcrow

Halliwell Landau

Halton Borough Council
HAM Dredging Limited
Hambleton District Council
Hampshire County Council
Hanson Aggregates Marine Ltd
Harborough District Council
Harlow District Council

Harmers Limited

Harrogate Borough Council

Hart District Council

Hartlepool Unitary Council
Hastings Borough Council
Havant Borough Council
Havebury Housing Partnership
Havenshead Business Park
Health and Safety Executive

Health and Safety Executive

Herefordshire Council

Herpetological Conservation Trust

Hertfordshire County Council Hertsmere District Council High Peak Borough Council

Highways Agency

Hillingdon London Borough

Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council

Historic Houses Association HM Customs and Excise

HM Treasury Home Office

Horsham District Council

Horticultural Traders Association

Hounslow Homes (Almo) House Builders Federation

House of Commons Information Bulletin

Housing Corporation HR Wallingford

Huntingdonshire District Council Hyndburn Borough Council Ian Hewitt Associates Ian Salisbury Ltd

Improvement and Development Agency Incorporated Society of Valuers and

Auctioneers

Inland Revenue – International Inshore Fishermans Association

Institute Of Directors

Institute Environmental Sciences Institute for Outdoor Learning Institute of Chartered Foresters

Institute Of Directors

Institute of Ecology and Environmental

Management

Institute of Environmental Assessment Institute of Environmental Management

& Assessment

Institute of Historic Building

Conservation

Institute of Leisure and Amenity

Management

Institute of Petroleum

Institution Of Civil Engineers

Institution of Material Minerals and

Mining

Institution of Mining Engineers International Association of Drilling

Contractors

International Association of Geophysical

Contractors

International Centre for Protected

Landscapes

International Council on Monuments and

Sites

**International Marine Contractors** 

Association

Ipswich Borough Council Isle of Wight Council Isles Of Scilly Council

Isles of Scilly Sea Fisheries Committee

J J Hatfield & Co John Gooms

Joint Fishing Communities of

Joint Fishing Communities of South &

West Wales

Joint Links Oil and Gas Consortium Joint Nature Conservation Committee Journal of Planning & Environment Law Kaolin & Ball Clay Association (UK)

Kennet District Council

Kent and Essex Sea Fisheries

Committee

Kent County Council Kerrier District Council Kettering Borough Council

King's Lynn & West Norfolk Borough Kingston upon Hull City Unitary Council

Kirklees Metropolitan Council Knowsley Borough Council

Labour Planning & Environment Group Lake District National Park Authority

Lancashire County Council
Lancaster City Council
Landscape Institute

Landscape Institute Wales

Law Society

Lee Valley Regional Park Authority

Leeds City Council

Leicester City Unitary Council Leicestershire County Council

Lewes District Council Lichfield District Council Lincoln City Council

Lincolnshire County Council

Liverpool City Council

Living Streets / Pedestrians Association

Lobster Pot

Local Government Association London Borough of Barking and

Dagenham

London Borough of Barnet London Borough Of Brent

London Borough of Bromley London Borough of Camden

London Borough of Croydon London Borough of Ealing

London Borough of Enfield London Borough of Greenwich

London Borough of Hackney

London Borough of Hammersmith &

**Fulham** 

London Borough of Haringey London Borough of Harrow London Borough of Havering London Borough of Hillingdon London Borough of Hounslow

London Borough of Hounslow London Borough Of Islington London Borough Of Lambeth London Borough of Lewisham London Borough of Merton London Borough of Newham London Borough of Redbridge

London Borough Of Richmond Upon

**Thames** 

London Borough of Southwark London Borough of Sutton

London Borough of Tower Hamlets London Borough Of Waltham Forest London Borough of Wandsworth London Development Agency

London First

London Green Belt Council Lord Crewe's Charity LP Gas Association Luton Borough Council Luton Borough Council

Macclesfield Borough Council Magistrate's Association Maidstone Borough Council Maldon District Council Malvern Hills District Council

Mammal Society Mammals Trust UK

Manchester Airport Group Plc Manchester City Council Mansfield District Council

Manweb

Marathon Oil UK Ltd

Marine Biological Association of the

United Kingdom

Marine Connection

Marine Conservation Society Marine Ecology & Sailing Marine Fisheries Agency

Marine Forum for Environmental Issues

Marine Laboratory

Marine Stewardship Council
Maritime and Coastguard Agency

Mayfair Chambers

Medway Towns Unitary District Council

Melton Borough Council Mendip District Council

Merthyr Tydfil County Borough Council

Met. Office

Metropolitan Police

Mid Bedfordshire District Council

Mid Devon District Council Mid Suffolk District Council Mid Sussex District Council Middlesbrough Council

Midland Amenity Societies Associations Milford Haven Shellfishermans Assoc

Milton Keynes Council

Mining Association of The UK

Ministry of Defence

Mole Valley District Council Monmouthshire County Council Montgomeryshire Wildlife Trust National Assembly for Wales

National Assembly Sustainable Energy

Group

National Association for Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty

National Association of British Market

**Authorities** 

National Association Of Local Councils National Botanic Garden of Wales

National Caravan Council

National Cave Karst & Mine Register

National Caving Association National Consumer Council

National Farm Attractions Network

**National Farmers Union** 

National Federation of Charter Skippers National Federation of Fishermen's

**Organisations** 

National Federation of Sea Anglers National Federation of Sea Schools

**National Forest Company** 

National Gamekeepers Organisation

National Grid Company

National Heritage Memorial Fund

National Homeless Alliance

National House Builders Federation National Housing and Town Planning

Council

National Housing Federation National Housing Federation National Joint Utilities Group National Museum of Wales

National Museums and Galleries of

Wales

National Oceanography Centre National Park Officers Group

National Playing Fields Association

**National Trust** 

National Trust, Office for Wales National Union of Residents

**Associations** 

**National Wind Power** 

Natural Environment Research Council

Natural History Museum Nautical Archaeology Society Neath Port Talbot County Borough

Council Network Rail

**New Charter Housing Trust Group** 

New Forest Committee New Forest District Council

New Forest National Park Authority Newark & Sherwood District Council Newcastle Under Lyme Borough

Council

**Newcastle University** 

Newcastle Upon Tyne City Council Newport County Borough Council

NFU Cymru NHS Executive

Non Operators' Forum Norfolk County Council

North Cornwall District Council North Devon District Council North Dorset District Council

North East Derbyshire Council Office

North East Lincolnshire Council

North Eastern Sea Fisheries Committee

North Hertfordshire District Council

North Hertfordshire Homes North Kesteven District Council North Lincolnshire Council North Norfolk District Council

North Northamptonshire Joint Planning

**Jnit** 

North Sea Regional Advisory Council North Shropshire District Council North Somerset Unitary Council

North Tyneside Council

North Wales and North Western Sea

Fisheries Committee North Wales Police

North Wales Wildlife Trust

North Warwickshire Borough Council

North West Leicestershire DC North West Regional Assembly

North West Sussex Branch Federation

of Sussex Society

North Wiltshire District Council North York Moors National Park

Authority

North Yorkshire County Council Northampton Borough Council Northamptonshire County Council Northumberland County Council

Northumberland National Park Authority

Northumberland Sea Fisheries

Committee

Northwood (Farnham) Limited

Norton Rose Solicitors Norwich City Council Norwood Society

Nottingham City Council Nottingham City Home Ltd Nottinghamshire County Council

**Npower Renewables** 

Nuneaton & Bedworth Borough Council Oadby & Wigston Borough Council

Oakwood Environmental

Office of the Deputy Prime Minister Office of the Secretary of State for

Wales

Office of Water Services

Ofgem Ofwat

Oldham Metropolitan Borough

One Voice Wales Open Spaces Society Ordnance Survey

Ornamental and Aquatic Trade

Association

Oswestry Borough Council

Oxford City Council

Oxfordshire County Council

Park Homes Residents Action Alliance Peak District National Park Authority Pembrokeshire Coast National Park

Authority

Pembrokeshire County Council

Pembrokeshire Fisheries Development

Association

Penclawd Shellfish Association

Pendle Borough Council

Penlon

Penny Environmental Penwith District Council

Personal Watercraft Partnership

Peterborough City Council

Planning Aid Wales

Planning and Environment Bar

Association

Planning Inspectorate

Plantlife

Plymouth City Council

Plymouth Marine Laboratory

Plymouth University

Pond Action

Poole Borough Council

Poole Harbour Commissioners

Port of London Authority

Port Penrhyn

Portsmouth City Council

Portsmouth University, CEMARE

Posford Haskoning

Post Office Property Holdings

Powys County Council Preston Borough Council Preston City Council

Professional Boatman's Association Proudman Oceanographic Laboratory

PS Lands Highways Agency Purbeck District Council Quarry Products Association Radiocommunications Agency Radnorshire Wildlife Trust Ramblers Association

Ramblers Association Wales

**Rawlings Trawling** 

Reading Borough Council

Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council

Redditch Borough Council

Registered Nursing Home Association

Reigate & Banstead Borough Council Reigate & Banstead Housing Trust Renewable Energy Systems Ltd Restormel Borough Council

Rhondda Cynon Taff County Borough

Council

Rhyl Fishermens Association Ribble Valley Borough Council Richmond upon Thames L B Richmondshire District Council

**RICS Wales** 

Rochdale Borough Council Rochdale Boroughwide Housing

Rochford District Council

Roger Humber

Roger Tym & Partners

Rolandon Water & Sea Freight Advisory

Services

Rossendale Borough Council

**Rother District Council** 

Rotherham Borough Council Royal Archaeological Institute

Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea

Royal Borough of Kingston Upon

**Thames** 

Royal Borough of Windsor &

Maidenhead

Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew

Royal Commission on Environmental

**Pollution** 

Royal Commission on the Ancient & Historical Monuments of Wales Royal Holloway University of London

Royal Horticultural Society

Royal Institute of British Architects
Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors
Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors

in Wales

Royal Society for the Prevention of

Cruelty to Animals

Royal Society for the Protection of Birds Royal Society of Architects in Wales

Royal Town Planning Institute

Royal Town Planning Institute in Wales

Royal Welsh Agricultural Society Royal Yachting Association

RSPB Cymru

Rugby Borough Council

Runnymede Borough Council Rural Design & Building Association Rushcliffe Borough Council

Rushcliffe Homes

Rushmoor Borough Council Rutland County Council

RWE Innogy Plc RWE Npower Ryder HKS

Ryedale District Council Salford M Borough Council

Salford City Council Salisbury District Council Salvage Association Sandwell Homes

Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Council Saundersfoot Fishermans Association

SAVE Britain's Heritage

Scarborough Borough Council School of Architecture Planning &

Landscape

School of the Built Environment Sea Fish Industry Authority Sea Mammal Research Unit

SeaWatch Foundation

SeaZone

Sedgefield Borough Council Sedgemoor District Council Sefton Borough Council Selby District Council

Serplan

Sevenoaks District Council

**Shark Trust** 

Sheffield City Council Sheffield Hallam University

Shellfish Association of Great Britain

**Shepway District Council** 

Shrewsbury & Atcham Borough Council

Shropshire County Council Slough Borough Council Small Business Service

Smiths Gore

Snowdonia National Park Authority Society for the Protection of Ancient

**Buildings** 

Society for Underwater Technology Society of Aerospace Companies Society Of County Treasurers Society of Local Council Clerks

Solace Journal

Solihull Community Housing

Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council

Somerset County Council

South & West Wales Fishermen's

Association

South & West Wales Wildlife Trust South Bedfordshire District Council South Buckinghamshire District Council South Cambridgeshire District Council

South Coast Shipping Co Ltd South Derbyshire District Council

South East Wales Biodiversity Records

Centre

South Gloucestershire Unitary Council

South Hams District Council South Holland District Council South Kesteven District Council South Lakeland District Council

South Lakes Housing

South Norfolk District Council South Northamptonshire Council South Oxfordshire District Council South Ribble Borough Council South Shropshire District Council South Somerset District Council South Staffordshire Council South Tyneside Borough Council

South Wales Police HQ

South Wales Sea Fisheries Committee

South West Interfish Project South West of England RDA South West Regional Assembly Southampton City Council

Southern Sea Fisheries Committee

SP Power Systems

Spelthorne Borough Council

Sport England Sports Council

St Albans City and District Council

St Edmundsbury B.C St Helens Borough Council Stafford Borough Council Staffordshire County council

Staffordshire Moorland District Council

Stevenage Borough Council Stevens & Bolton LLP Stockport Borough Council Stockton-on-Tees County Council

Stoke On Trent City Council

Stratford on Avon District Council

Stroud District Council

Suffolk Coastal District Council

Suffolk County Council Surrey County Council

Surrey Heath Borough Council Sussex Sea Fisheries Committee

Sustainable Land Use Sustrans Cymru

Swale Borough Council

**Swalec** 

Swansea Fishermens Association

Swindon Borough Council

Tameside Metropolitan Borough

Council

Tamworth Borough Council Tandridge District Council

Taunton Deane Borough Council Tees Valley Joint Strategy Unit Teesdale District Council Teignbridge District Council

Telford & Wrekin Borough Council

Tendring District Council
Test Valley Borough Council
Tewkesbury Borough Council
Textile Services Association Ltd

**Thames Water** 

Thanet District Council
The Woodland Trust
Theatres Trust

Three Rivers District Council

Thurrock Borough Council
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council

Torbay Council

Torfaen County Borough Council

**Torridge District Council** 

Town And Country Planning Association

(TCPA)

**Trafford Housing Trust** 

Trafford Metropolitan Borough Council

Transco

Transport For London
Transport Strategy Group
Treasury Solicitor Department

Tree Council

Trent & Dove Housing Ltd Trinity Lighthouse Service

Tunbridge Wells Borough Council

Tynedale District Council

**UK Association of Fish Producers** 

Organisation Ltd

**UK Atomic Energy Authority** 

**UK Cable Protection Committee** 

**UK Coal** 

UK Environmental Law Association UK Forest Products Association

(UKFPA)

UK Guild of Taxidermists UK Hydrographic Office UK Major Ports Group

UK Offshore Oil and Gas Association UK Offshore Operators Association UK Petroleum Industry Association

(UKPIA)

**ÙK Spill Association** 

UNISON

United Kingdom Environmental Law

Association

United Kingdom Hydrographic Office United Kingdom Land and Hydrographic

**Survey Association** 

United Marine Aggregates United Marine Dredging Limited

**United Utilities** 

University College London University of Birmingham University of Dundee University of Hull

University of Oxford DCE University of Salford

University of the West of England

University of Wales University of Westminster Uttlesford District Council Vale of Glamorgan Council

Vale of White Horse District Council

Vale Royal Borough Council Valuation Office Agency

Van Oord UK Ltd

Vegetarian Economy & Green

Agriculture (VEGA) Victorian Society Visit Britain

Volker Dredging Ltd.

Wakefield Met District Council Wales & West Coast Producer

Organisation

Wales Coastal and Maritime Partnership

Wales Environment Link Wales Planning Forum Wales Social Partners Unit

Wales TUC

Wales Wildlife and Countryside Link Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council

Walters Group Walton & Co

Wandsworth Borough Council Wansbeck District Council

Wardell Armstrong

Warrington Borough Council Warwick District Council Warwickshire County Council Waste Recycling Group

Water UK

Watford Borough Council
Waveney District Council
Waverley Borough Council
WDCS (Whale and Dolphin
Conservation Society)
Wooldon District Council

Wealden District Council
Wear Valley District Council

Wellingborough Borough Council Wellingborough Borough Council Welsh Assembly Government

Welsh Association of Community and

**Town Councils** 

Welsh Council for Voluntary Action

Welsh Development Agency
Welsh Federation of Fishermens'

Associations

Welsh Federation of Sea Anglers

Welsh Inshore Fishermens Association

Welsh Language Board

Welsh Local Government Association

Welsh Tourist Board

Welsh Water Network Development

Welsh Wildlife Centre

Welsh Women's National Coalition

Welsh Yachting Association
Welwyn Hatfield District Council

West Berkshire Council West Coast Energy Ltd

West Devon Borough Council West Dorset District Council

West Lancashire District Council

West Lindsey District Council

West Oxfordshire District Council
West Somerset District Council

West Sussex County Council

West Wiltshire District Council

West Yorkshire Ecology

Westminster Gravels Limited

Weymouth & Portland Borough Council

Whale and Dolphin Conservation

Society

Wigan and Leigh Housing Company

LTD

Wigan Council

Wilbraham & Co Solicitors Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust Wildlife & Countryside Link

Wildlife Trust of South and West Wales

Wildlife Trusts

Wiltshire City Council Winchester City Council Windjen Power Ltd Wirral District Council

Wirral M.B.C., Planning and Economic

Development Department Woking Borough Council Wokingham District Council

Wolverhampton Borough Council

Wolverton and Greenleys town council

**Woodland Trust** 

Woodland Trust Wales Worcester City Council

Worcestershire County Council Worthing Borough Council

Wragge & Co

Wrexham County Borough Council

WWF - UK WWF Cymru

Wychavon District Council
Wycombe District Council
Wye and Usk Foundation
Wyre Borough Council
Wyre Forest District Council
Yacht Charter Association
Yacht Harbour Association

York University

Yorkshire and Humber Assembly

Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority

#### Annex B

# Respondees to the Public Consultation on the Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) (Amendment) (England and Wales) Regulations 2007

**ARK Group** 

**Associated British Ports** 

Association of Burial Authorities British Association for Shooting &

Conservation

Bat Conservation Trust Bedford Borough Council British Herpetological Society

British Waterways Broads Authority

Cambridgeshire County Council

**Cherwell District Council** 

Chief Constable Richard Brunstrom

(ACPO) Chris Davis

Chris Lewis (Herpetological

Conservation Trust)

Commission for Local Admin in

England

Confederation of UK Coal Producers

Conwy County Borough Council Cornwall County Council

Council for National Parks
Country Land and Business

Association

Countryside Council for Wales

County Council and City of Cardiff

Cyngor Gwynedd Council

D. G. Royans

Doncaster Borough Council Dr Clive Cummins (British Herpetological Society)

E A Wells

East Midlands Regional Assembly

English Heritage
English Partnerships
Environment Agency
EnviroWatch UK
Exmoor Society
Friends of the Earth

Girlguiding UK

Gloucester County Council
Government Office for London

**Guild of Taxidermists** 

London Borough of Southwark

Manchester Airport Marine Connection

Merseyside Environmental Advisory

Service

Myti Mussels Ltd. National Farmers Union

National Grid Natural England

New Forest National Park Authority

Norfolk County Council

North Cornwall District Council

North York Moors National Park

Northeast Assembly

Northumberland Sea Fisheries Committee

Peterborough City Council

Plantlife International

Prof. Colin Reid Dundee University Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council Reptile and Exotic Pet Trade Association

Reptile and Exotic Pet Trade Association

RPS Planning, Transport & Environment

Ltd RSPB RSPCA

Runnymede Borough Council

**RWE Npower plc** 

Sea Fish Industry Authority

Shell

Somerset County Council

South Bedfordshire Friends of the Earth

South Gloucestershire Council

South Norfolk Council

Steven Parker

Suffolk County Council

Surrey Heath Borough Council

**Thames Water** 

The Mammal Society
The Planning Inspectorate

The Wildlife Trusts

Halcrow Group Ltd Hampshire County Council Herpetological Conservation Trust Hertfordshire County Council

Home Builders Federation

Inst. of Ecology & Environmental Management
Institute of Directors
JNCC
John Harrison-Bryant
Kerrier District Council
Klaus Armstrong-Braun
Lancashire County Council
League Against Cruel Sports

Tom Fairfield
Transport Wales
UK Civil Aviation Authority
United Utilities
Vegetarian Economy and Green Agriculture
(VEGA)

Water UK

Waverley Borough Council
Welsh Water
West Lancashire District Council
Wildlife and Countryside Link
Winchester City Council
Wokingham District Council
Woodland Trust
World Wildlife Fund

#### Annex C

#### **Code of Practice on Written Consultations**

- 8. Timing of consultation should be built into the planning process for a policy (including legislation) or service from the start, so that it has the best prospect of improving the proposals concerned, and so that sufficient time is left for it at each stage.
- 9. It should be clear who is being consulted, about what questions, in what timescale and for what purpose.
- 10. A consultation document should be as simple and concise as possible. It should include a summary, in two pages at most, of the main questions it seeks views on. It should make it as easy as possible for readers to respond, make contact or complain.
- 11. Documents should be made widely available, with the fullest use of electronic means (though not to the exclusion of others), and effectively drawn to the attention of all interested groups and individuals.
- 12. Sufficient time should be allowed for considered responses from all groups with an interest. Twelve weeks should be the standard minimum period for a consultation.
- 13. Responses should be carefully and open-mindedly analysed, and the results made widely available, with an account of the views expressed, and reasons for decisions finally taken.
- 14. Departments should monitor and evaluate consultations, designating a consultation co-ordinator who will ensure the lessons are disseminated.