THE IRAQ (SANCTIONS) (EU EXIT) REGULATIONS 2020

REPORT UNDER SECTION 18 OF THE SANCTIONS AND ANTI-MONEY LAUNDERING ACT 2018 IN RELATION TO CRIMINAL OFFENCES

A: INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is a report under section 18 of the Sanctions and Anti Money Laundering Act 2018 ("the Act") in relation to the Iraq (Sanctions) (EU Exit) Regulations 2020 ("the Regulations").
- 2. Section 18(2) of the Act requires a report to be laid before Parliament where regulations made under section 1 of the Act create offences for the purposes of enforcing any prohibitions or requirements imposed by those regulations, or for the purposes of preventing the circumvention of those prohibitions or requirements.
- 3. In accordance with section 18, this report: sets out the offences created by the Regulations (see Part B); explains why there are good reasons for the relevant prohibitions or requirements in the Regulations to be enforceable by criminal proceedings (Part C); and sets out the maximum terms of imprisonment that apply to those offences and why there are good reasons for those maximum terms (Part D).

B: THE OFFENCES

- 4. The prohibitions and requirements in the Regulations are aimed at compliance with United Nations (UN) obligations.
- 5. The UN imposed a partial asset-freeze under paragraph 23(a) of Security Council resolution 1483 on funds or economic resources of the former Government of Iraq, its state bodies, corporations and agencies which are located outside of Iraq on 22 May 2003. Paragraph 23(b) of resolution 1483 also imposed an asset-freeze on funds and resources of certain senior officials of the former Iraqi regime and their immediate family members. The Regulations state that each person for the time being named for the purposes of paragraph 23(a) of resolution 1483 is a designated person for the purposes of regulation 9 (partial asset-freeze). Those persons for the time being named for the purposes of paragraph 23(b) of resolution 1483 are designated persons for the purposes of regulations 9 to 13 (asset-freeze etc.) which provide a number of prohibitions in relation to those persons (including, no person is to deal with the assets of the person or provide funds or other economic resources to them or for their benefit).
- 6. The Regulations also impose various prohibitions including on trade in military goods and technology and on the trade in illegally removed Iraqi cultural property, which

includes items of cultural property which have been illegally removed from Iraq since 6 August 1990.

- 7. The offences created by the Regulations fall into the following categories:
 - a. contravening the principal prohibitions in the Regulations (e.g. breaching an asset-freeze or breaching a trade restriction) or trying to circumvent those principal prohibitions;
 - b. knowingly or recklessly providing false information for the purpose of obtaining a licence;
 - c. breaching the terms of a licence; and
 - d. failing to comply with requirements relating to the providing and recording of information.
- 8. Details of each of the offences created by these Regulations, the prohibitions and requirements to which those offences relate, and the maximum penalties relating to each offence, are set out:
 - a. in relation to financial sanctions, in the table in Annex A to this report;
 - b. in relation to trade sanctions, in the table in **Annex B** to this report;

C: REASONS FOR CREATING THE OFFENCES

- 9. In order to fulfil the stated purpose of this sanctions regime, the prohibitions and requirements in these Regulations need to be properly enforced.
- 10. There are several mechanisms through which these measures can be enforced without criminal proceedings. These include the imposition of monetary penalties for breaching financial sanctions and the seizure of goods being dealt with in contravention of certain trade sanctions measures.
- 11. Having the ability to take enforcement action through criminal proceedings, alongside these other enforcement measures, is appropriate for several reasons. The offences act as a deterrent in relation to the commission of serious acts and omissions which would undermine the purpose of the regime. They also allow the government to take a proportionate response where severity of the act or omission warrants it.
- 12. Importantly, the offences created by the Regulations are consistent with the offences contained in the legislation which the Regulations will replace. Failing to create offences would mean that there would be an enforcement gap between existing legislation and the Regulations. Special care has been taken to ensure that offences are consistent with existing offences while not duplicating any offences that already exist.

13. These issues are addressed in more detail below in relation to the different types of offences in the Regulations.

Breaches of, and circumvention of, the principal financial prohibitions

- 14. The prohibitions in regulation 8 place a partial asset freeze in relation to funds or economic resources located outside Iraq on 22nd May 2003 which are owned, held or controlled by the former Government of Iraq and its state bodies, corporations or agencies. The prohibitions contained in regulations 9 to 13, apply to persons connected with the former Iraqi regime, and prohibit persons from dealing with funds or economic resources owned, held or controlled by a designated person and from making funds or economic resources available to or for the benefit of a designated person where the person doing so knows or has reasonable cause to suspect that this is the case. Regulation 14 prohibits intentional conduct whose known object or effect is to circumvent any of these prohibitions.
- 15. A breach of these prohibitions is a serious matter because such actions undermine the purpose of the sanctions regime. In this case, breaches could result in the breach of UN obligations and undermine the aim of the regime, including the aim of returning funds removed from Iraq or acquired under the former regime and returning these to Iraq via successor arrangements to the Development Fund for Iraq.
- 16. The ability to institute criminal proceedings in relation to these matters serves as an effective deterrent. It also enables the government to take a proportionate response which corresponds to the severity of the breach.
- 17. The ability to institute criminal proceedings sits alongside other enforcement measures relating to financial sanctions. In particular, the Regulations provide the Office of Financial Sanctions Implementation (OFSI) with the ability to impose civil monetary penalties under Part 8 of the Policing and Crime Act 2017 to enforce breaches of these prohibitions¹ Enabling these prohibitions to be enforceable by criminal proceedings alongside these other enforcement measures ensures that a range of enforcement options is available to enforcement bodies, enabling them to take action that is proportionate to the breach in question.
- 18. The Regulations are consistent with, but will not duplicate, existing financial sanctions offences. In particular, the financial sanctions offences in the Regulations will replace financial sanctions offences and penalties that were created by the Iraq (Asset-Freezing) Regulations 2012 (S.I.2012/1489). This will ensure that there is no gap in the UK government's ability to enforce financial sanctions in relation to Iraq in compliance with the UK's UN obligations.

1

19. The offences in the Regulations are also consistent with those contained in other legislation, including: Part 1 of the Terrorist Asset-Freezing etc. Act 2010; Schedule 3 to the Anti-terrorism, Crime and Security Act 2001; and Schedule 7 to the Counter-Terrorism Act 2008. However, each of these legislative regimes have a different underlying purpose and basis for designation (involvement in terrorist activity, terrorist financing, threats to UK national security) and so cannot be directed to breaches of the financial prohibitions in the Regulations. There is therefore no overlap between the criminal offences in the Regulations and other criminal offences relating to financial sanctions that will continue in domestic legislation once the Regulations come into force.

Breaches of, and circumvention of, the principal trade prohibitions

- 20. Breaches of the principal trade prohibitions are a serious matter as they undermine sanctions which are in place for the purpose of compliance with UN obligations. Creating criminal offences serves as an effective deterrent for such serious actions. In this case, breaches could result in the breach of the UN arms embargo and impede the objective of safely returning to Iraqi institutions items of Iraqi cultural property which have been illegally removed from the country since the adoption of resolution 661 (1990) of 6 August 1990.
- 21. There are other enforcement tools available in relation to trade sanctions, most notably the powers contained in the Customs and Excise Management Act 1979 to issue compound penalties, and to seize and dispose of goods where they are being dealt with in contravention of trade sanctions. The ability to institute criminal proceedings sits alongside these other powers and provides the government with a suite of tools to police and ensure compliance with trade sanctions and ensure that there are penalties that are appropriate to the seriousness of breaches of sanctions measures.
- 22. The Regulations replace offences related to trade sanctions contained in The Iraq (United Nations Sanctions) Order 2003 (S.I.2003/1519) ("the 2003 Order"), which is made under section 1 of the United Nations Act 1946. The offences in the Regulations will replace offences in the 2003 Order and the relevant provisions of the 2003 Order will be revoked by the Regulations, ensuring that there is no overlap. The Regulations will also supplement other export control prohibitions relating to military goods and technology in the Export Control Order 2008 and regulation 67 ensures there is no direct overlap between offences committed under that Order and the Regulations.
- 23. Importantly, these Regulations do not create criminal offences where it is judged that there already exists a criminal offence that can effectively enforce the prohibitions or requirements in question. For example, the Regulations do not create a criminal offence in relation to the prohibition on exporting restricted goods, because offences relating to import and export of goods covered by these Regulations will continue to be dealt with under Section 50 and 68 (respectively) of the Customs and Excise Management Act 1979.

Breaches of prohibitions and requirements relating to licensing

- 24. The licensing offences are intended to ensure that people do not obtain licences based on false information or documents and also that any licence conditions are complied with. The creation of criminal offences will help ensure robust compliance with the Regulations. The system of licensing cannot effectively operate without a strong disincentive to breaching the terms of a licence or making misleading applications.
- 25. The licensing offences are consistent with those currently applicable under the existing Iraq sanctions regime and domestic export control legislation (including the strict liability offences in relation to purporting to act under the authority of licence).

Breaches of requirements relating to information

26. The Regulations require:

- a. banks and other relevant firms, businesses and professions to report relevant information to the Treasury in relation to financial sanctions;
- b. designated persons to provide information concerning their assets to the Treasury;
- c. persons to register or record information relevant to general trade licences.
- 27. Enabling requirements to be enforceable by criminal proceedings ensures greater compliance with the Regulations. The Treasury relies on reporting by (a) relevant firms and (b) designated persons to assess compliance with the Regulations, and is better able to target its compliance efforts according to the information received.
- 28. In relation to general trade licences, the offences will help ensure that use of any such general licences can be properly monitored and enforced. The use of a general trade licence requires auditing to ensure that activity undertaken is in line with the terms of the licence. Without criminal penalties, there would be no means to compel licence-holders to provide the relevant information. This is in line with current practice and related offences in respect of use of general licences for controlled goods and technology under the Export Control Order 2008.

D: REASONS FOR MAXIMUM PENALTIES

29. The penalties imposed by the Regulations are set out in Annexes A and B. In all cases the penalties are either consistent with penalties relating to offences in legislation that will be replaced by the Regulations or consistent with similar offences in other existing legislation. Further detail on the maximum sentences relating to the different categories of offence are set out below.

Breaches of, and circumvention of, the principal financial prohibitions

30. In relation to financial sanctions, the government committed in the White Paper consultation on sanctions² to ensure consistency of offences and penalties for financial sanctions contained across domestic legislation. In accordance with that commitment, the Regulations provide for penalties consistent with those provided for in the Policing and Crime Act 2017³. The maximum sentence on indictment for financial sanctions was increased by that Act from two years to seven years and there is no good reason for the government to revisit the level of penalties on exit from the EU. The government considers the maximum penalty provides an effective deterrent and is proportionate compared to other serious crime penalties.

Breaches of, and circumvention of, the principal trade prohibitions

- 31. The maximum term of imprisonment for offences related to breaches of the principal trade prohibitions in these Regulations, or circumvention of them, is ten years. This is in line with the penalties in the Export Control Order 2008, which contains equivalent offences. The 10-year maximum penalty is considered to be an effective deterrent and is proportionate to the seriousness of the offence.
- 32. The Regulations are also consistent with article 42 of the Export Control Order in that they modify the Customs and Excise Management Act 1979 to increase the maximum term of imprisonment for the offence of breaching export controls from seven years to ten years. This increase ensures alignment with the maximum term of imprisonment for other similar offences created by the Regulations. An industry association stakeholder has commented that such provision has "a beneficial effect in assisting export control compliance staff within companies to get the attention of their colleagues on export control matters". A 10-year maximum term of imprisonment provides an effective deterrent and is proportionate to the potential seriousness of the offence.
- 33. It should be noted that existing penalties relating to breaches of trade prohibitions in article 5 of the 2003 Order relating to military goods and technology and which are replaced by prohibitions in Chapter 2 of Part 4 of the Regulations are set at a maximum of seven years imprisonment. These penalties are currently out of line with other domestic penalties relating to other UN arms embargoes. We have therefore harmonised the penalties for these offences with the 10-year maximum penalties currently available for breaches of similar sanctions prohibitions, for example under

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/635101/consultation-uk-future-legal-framework-sanctions-government-response.pdf

³ The maximum terms of imprisonment for indictable offences under Schedule 3 to the Anti-terrorism, Crime and Security Act 2001 and Schedule 7 to the Counter-Terrorism Act 2008 were increased from two years to a maximum of seven years and, for summary offences under those provisions, the maximum terms of imprisonment were increased from three months to 12 months (this being six months for offences committed before section 154(1) of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 comes into force).

⁴ Evidence given by the Export Group on Aerospace and Defence (EGAD) to the Defence, Foreign Affairs, International Development and Trade and Industry Committees, Strategic Export Controls: 2007 Review, p75, published on 7 August 2007.

- article 34 of the Export Control Order 2008. Aligning the enforcement of trade sanctions and other export controls is appropriate because breaches of trade sanctions are equally as serious as other breaches of export controls.
- 34. It should also be noted that prohibitions in article 8 of the 2003 Order relating to illegally removed Iraqi cultural property which are replaced by prohibitions in Chapter 3 of Part 4 of the Regulations are also set at a maximum of seven years imprisonment in the 2003 Order. We have harmonised the penalties for these offences with the 10-year maximum penalties for breaches of other trade sanctions provisions in this regime which is appropriate as breaches of these sanctions prohibitions is considered to be equally as serious as breaches of the other trade sanctions in this regime.

Licensing and information offences

- 35. The Regulations provide that the maximum term of imprisonment for financial sanctions licensing offences is 7 years. Due to the scope for circumventing sanctions through improper use of a financial sanctions licence, the Secretary of State considers there are good reasons for the maximum term of imprisonment provided for licensing offences under the Regulations to be set at the same level as for breaches of the principal financial prohibitions.
- 36. The Regulations provide that the maximum term of imprisonment for financial sanctions information offences is 6 months. The level of harm associated with a failure to provide information that is not related with another form of breach, is not deemed sufficiently high to warrant a higher maximum sentence.
- 37. The Regulations provide that the maximum term of imprisonment for trade licensing and information offences is 2 years' imprisonment, which is in line with equivalent domestic export control and sanctions legislation, for example under Article 20 of the 2003 Order. The Secretary of State considers that there are good reasons to ensure that the maximum terms of imprisonment provided for under the Regulations are consistent with that legislation. There is a good reason for a lesser maximum term of imprisonment for these offences, as compared with the offences relating to the principal prohibitions, since while penalties need to be set at a level that promotes compliance, breaches are unlikely to result in the same level of harm as for breaches of the principal prohibitions.

E: CONCLUSIONS

38. As set out in this report:

a. There are good reasons for each of the prohibitions and requirements set out in the Regulations to be enforceable by criminal proceedings. The ability to enforce these measures by criminal proceedings is an effective deterrent, it is consistent with existing legislation and, in conjunction with the use of other enforcement measures, it enables the government to take a proportionate response to potentially serious acts and omissions which would undermine the purpose of the sanctions regime. Importantly, these Regulations do not create criminal offences where it has been judged that there already exists a criminal offence that can effectively enforce the prohibitions or requirements in question.

b. There are also good reasons for the maximum terms of imprisonment that attach to those offences: the maximum penalties are consistent with penalties relating to offences in legislation that will be replaced by the Regulations, or consistent with similar offences in other existing legislation; they are an effective deterrent; and they are proportionate to the seriousness of the types of offences to which they relate.

Lord Ahmad of Wimbledon

Minister of State for South Asia and the Commonwealth, on behalf of the Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs

Annex A: Table of financial sanctions offences

Type of Sanctions offences	Specific offence	Relevant prohibition or requirement	Maximum penalty
Breach of partial asset freeze in relation to the former Government of Iraq and its state bodies, corporations or agencies	1. Dealing with funds or economic resources located outside Iraq on 22 nd May 2003 owned, held or controlled by a designated person	reg. 8	to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 12 months in England and Wales (or, in relation to offences committed before section 154(1) of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 (general limit on magistrates' court's power to impose imprisonment) comes into force, 6 months) and 12 months in Scotland, and 6 months in Northern Ireland; or a fine, which in Scotland or Northern Ireland may not exceed the statutory maximum (or both); Liable on conviction on indictment - to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 7 years or a fine (or both).
Breach of asset freeze in relation to persons connected with the former Iraqi regime	1. Dealing with funds or economic resources owned, held or controlled by a designated person 2. Making funds available directly or indirectly to a designated person 3. Making funds available for the benefit of a designated person 4. Making economic resources available directly or indirectly to a designated person.		" "

Circumventi on etc. of prohibitions	5. Making economic resources available for the benefit of a designated person Circumventing directly or indirectly the prohibitions of regs. 8-13 (Part 3 Finance) or enabling or facilitating the contravention of such prohibitions.	reg. 14	" " "
Breach of requirements under Treasury licences	1. Knowingly or recklessly providing false information or providing a document that is not what it purports to be for the purpose of obtaining a Treasury licence. 2. Failing to comply with the conditions of a licence.	2. reg. 38	" "
Breach of reporting obligations	 Failure to inform the Treasury about knowledge or reasonable cause to suspect that a person is a designated person or has committed an offence under Part 3 of the Regulations or regulation 38 (finance: licensing offences) Failure to provide the Treasury with information or other matter on which the knowledge or suspicion is based or any information it holds by which the person can be identified. Failure to provide the Treasury with information about any funds or economic resources it holds for a designated person who is a customer at the time 	1. reg. 40 2. reg. 40 3. reg. 40	to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 6 months in England and Wales, 6 months in Scotland, and 6 months in Northern Ireland; or a fine, which in Scotland or Northern Ireland may not exceed level 5 on the standard scale (or both).

	when it first had knowledge or suspicion.		
Finance:	1. Failure without	1. reg. 44	
Information	reasonable excuse to	2. reg. 44	
Offences	provide information in	3. reg. 44	
	the time and manner requested under reg. 42.	4. reg. 44	11 11 11
	 Knowingly or recklessly providing false information in response to a request for information under reg. 42. Evasion of requests to provide information or produce documents made under reg. 42 or reg. 43. Obstruction of Treasury in the exercise of their powers under reg. 42 or reg. 43. 		

Annex B: Table of trade sanctions offences

Type of sanction offences	Specific offence	Iraq (Sanctions) Regulations 2020 reference to relevant prohibition or requirement (or other legislation)	Maximum penalty
Breach of controls on exporting goods.	Exporting military goods	reg 17 Offence contained within Customs and Excise Management Act 1979 S.68	Conviction To a penalty of £20,000 or of three times the value of the goods, whichever is the greater, or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 6 months, or to both Liable on conviction on indictment To a penalty of any amount, or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10 years (this is a modification to the 7 year maximum set out in CEMA).
Breach of controls on military goods and technology	1. Supplying or delivering military goods. 2. Making military goods or military technology available. 3. Transferring military technology 4. Providing brokering services relating to military goods or military technology	1. reg 18 2. reg 19 3. reg 20 4. reg 21	Liable on summary conviction To imprisonment for a term not exceeding 12 months in England and Wales (or, in relation to offences committed before section 154(1) of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 (general limit on magistrates' court's power to impose imprisonment) comes into force, 6 months) and 12 months in Scotland, and 6 months in Northern Ireland, or a fine, which in Scotland or Northern Ireland may not exceed the statutory maximum (or both). Liable on conviction on indictment To imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10 years or a fine (or both).
Breach of controls on export and import of	Export of illegally removed Iraqi cultural property.	1. reg 22(1) 2. reg 22(2) Offence contained within	Liable on summary conviction To a penalty of £20,000 or of three times the value of the

illegally removed Iraqi cultural property	2. Import of illegally removed Iraqi cultural property.	Customs and Excise Management Act 1979 S50 (import) S.68 (export)	goods, whichever is the greater, or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 6 months, or to both Liable on conviction on indictment To a penalty of any amount, or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10 years (this is a modification to the 7 year maximum set out in CEMA).
Breach of controls on illegally removed Iraqi cultural property	1. Supply or delivery of illegally removed Iraqi cultural property 2. Making available or acquisition of illegally removed Iraqi cultural property. 4. Providing financial services or funds relating to illegally removed Iraqi cultural property. 5. Providing brokering services relating to illegally removed Iraqi cultural property. 6. Failure to secure the transfer of illegally removed Iraqi cultural property held or controlled by a person to a constable	1. reg 23 2. reg 24 3. reg 25 4. reg 26 5. reg 27	Liable on summary conviction To imprisonment for a term not exceeding 12 months in England and Wales (or, in relation to offences committed before section 154(1) of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 (general limit on magistrates' court's power to impose imprisonment) comes into force, 6 months) and 12 months in Scotland, and 6 months in Northern Ireland, or a fine, which in Scotland or Northern Ireland may not exceed the statutory maximum (or both). Liable on conviction on indictment To imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10 years or a fine (or both).
Circumvention etc. of prohibitions	Intentionally participate in activities knowing that the object or effect of them is, whether directly or indirectly to circumvent any of the prohibitions in regulations 17-25 (Trade) or to enable or facilitate the contravention of any such prohibition.	reg 28	Liable on summary conviction to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 12 months in England and Wales (or, in relation to offences committed before section 154(1) of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 (general limit on magistrates' court's power to impose imprisonment) comes into force, 6 months) and 12 months in Scotland, and 6 months in Northern Ireland, or a fine, which in Scotland or Northern Ireland may not exceed the statutory maximum, (or both).

			Liable on conviction on indictment To imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10 years or a fine (or both).
Licensing offences	a. Knowingly or recklessly: i. Providing information that is false in a material respect, or ii. Providing or producing a document that is not what it purports to be, for the purpose of obtaining a trade licence. b. Purporting to act under the authority of a trade licence but failing to comply with any condition contained in the licence.	reg 39	Liable on summary conviction To imprisonment for a term not exceeding 12 months in England and Wales (or, in relation to offences committed before section 154(1) of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 (general limit on magistrates' court's power to impose imprisonment) comes into force, 6 months) and 12 months in Scotland, and 6 months in Northern Ireland, or a fine, which in Scotland or Northern Ireland may not exceed the statutory maximum, (or both).
			Liable on conviction on indictment To imprisonment for a term not exceeding 2 years or a fine (or both).
Information Offences	1. Failing to comply with record keeping requirements in reg. 46(2). 2. (a) Intentionally obstructing an official in the performance of any of the official's functions (b) Failing to produce a register, record or document when reasonably required to do so by an official.	1. reg 46 2. reg 47	Liable on summary conviction To imprisonment for a term not exceeding 12 months in England and Wales (or, in relation to offences committed before section 154(1) of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 (general limit on magistrates' court's power to impose imprisonment) comes into force, 6 months) and 12 months in Scotland, and 6 months in Northern Ireland, or a fine, which in Scotland or Northern Ireland may not exceed the statutory maximum, (or both).
			Liable on conviction on indictment To imprisonment for a term not exceeding 2 years or a

		fine (or both).
		, , ,