## STATUTORY INSTRUMENTS

## 2024 No. 230

# The Medworth Energy from Waste Combined Heat and Power Facility Order 2024

## PART 3

## **STREETS**

#### Construction and maintenance of new or altered means of access

- 12.—(1) Those parts of each means of access specified in Part 1 of Schedule 6 (access) to be constructed or altered under this Order must be completed to the reasonable satisfaction of the highway authority and must be maintained by and at the expense of the undertaker for a period of 12 months from completion and from the expiry of that period by and at the expense of the highway authority.
- (2) Those parts of each means of access specified in Part 2 of Schedule 6 (access) to be constructed or altered under this Order and which are not intended to be a public highway must be completed to the reasonable satisfaction of the street authority and must be maintained by and at the expense of the undertaker for a period of 12 months from completion and from the expiry of that period by and at the expense of the street authority.
- (3) Those restoration works carried out pursuant to article 11(3) (power to alter layout, etc., of streets) identified in Part 3 of Schedule 6 (access) which are not intended to be a public highway must be completed to the reasonable satisfaction of the street authority and must be maintained by and at the expense of the street authority.
- (4) In any action against the undertaker in respect of loss or damage resulting from any failure by it to maintain a street under this article, it is a defence (without prejudice to any other defence or the application of the law relating to contributory negligence) to prove that the undertaker had taken such care as in all the circumstances was reasonably required to secure that the part of the street to which the action relates was not dangerous to traffic.
- (5) For the purposes of a defence under paragraph (4), a court must in particular have regard to the following matters—
  - (a) the character of the street including the traffic which was reasonably to be expected to use it;
  - (b) the standard of maintenance appropriate for a street of that character and used by such traffic:
  - (c) the state of repair in which a reasonable person would have expected to find the street;
  - (d) whether the undertaker knew, or could reasonably have been expected to know, that the condition of the part of the street to which the action relates was likely to cause danger to users of the street; and
  - (e) where the undertaker could not reasonably have been expected to repair that part of the street before the cause of action arose, what warning notices of its condition had been displayed,

**Status:** This is the original version (as it was originally made). This item of legislation is currently only available in its original format.

but for the purposes of such a defence it is not relevant that the undertaker had arranged for a competent person to carry out or supervise the maintenance of that part of the street to which the action relates unless it is also proved that the undertaker had given that person proper instructions with regard to the maintenance of the street and that those instructions had been carried out.